रजिस्ट्री सं० डी० 222 II-3-(ii) सितम्बर REGISTERED No. D. 222.

भारत का राजपत्र
The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं० 36] नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, सितम्बर 6, 1969/भाद्र 15, 1891

No. 36] NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 6, 1969/BHADRA 15, 1891

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके।

Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation.

नोटिस

NOTICE

नीचे लिखे भारत के असाधारण राजपत्र 9 अगस्त, 1969 तक प्रकाशित किये गये :—

The undermentioned Gazettes of India Extraordinary were published up to the 9th August, 1969:—

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
269	S. O. 3202, dated 7th August, 1969	Ministry of Foreign Trade and Supply	Quality control and preshipment inspection of Cast Iron Pipes and Fittings.
270	S.O. 3203, dated 7th August, 1969	Ministry of Law	Biennial election to the Council of States by the elected members of the Legislative Assembly of Pondicherry.
	S.O. 3204, dated 7th August, 1969	Do.	Shri S. Sivaprakasam, elected as a member of the Council of States for the union territory of Pondicherry is notified or general information.
	एस० ओ० 3205, दिनांक 7 अगस्त, 1969।	विधि मंत्रालय	पांडिचेरी की विधान सभा के निर्वाचित सदस्यों द्वारा राज्य सभा का द्वि-वार्षिक चुनाव।
	एस० ओ० 3206, दिनांक 7 अगस्त, 1969।	तदैव	पांडिचेरी संघ राज्य की विधान सभा के निर्वाचित सदस्यों द्वारा निर्वाचित सदस्य श्री एस० सिवाप्रकासम का नाम सामान्य सूचना के लिये अधिसूचित किया जाता है।

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
271	S.O. 3207, dated 7th August, 1969.	Ministry of Information and Broadcasting	Approval of the film as specified in the Schedule therein.
	एस० ओ० 3208, दिनांक 7 अगस्त, 1969।	सूचना और प्रसारण मंत्रालय	अनुसूची में दी गई फिल्मो को स्वीकृत करना।
272	S.O. 3209, dated 8th August, 1969.	Ministry of Foreign Trade and Supply	Quality control and preshipment inspection of cast iron manhole covers and frames.
273	S.O 3210, dated 8th August, 1969.	Ministry of Finance	Exempting every Indian company from the operation of section 104 of the Income-tax Act, 1961, in respect of the previous year relevant to the assessment year commencing on the 1st day of April, 1970, and any subsequent year.
274	S. O. 3211, dated 9th August, 1969.	Ministry of Industrial Development, Internal Trade and Company Affairs.	Granting recognition to the Vanaspati Manufacturers' Association of India, Bombay for a further period of one year from the 10th August, 1969 upto the 9th August, 1970 in respect of forward contracts in ground nut oil.
	एस० ओ० 3212, दिनांक 9 अगस्त, 1969।	औद्योगिक विकास, आन्तरिक व्यापार तथा सनवाय कार्य मंत्रालय	मूंगफली के तेल की अग्रिम संविदाओं की बाबत, 10 अगस्त, 1969 से लेकर 9 अगस्त, 1970 तक एक वर्ष की अतिरिक्त कालावधि के लिये बनस्पति विनिर्माता संगम, बम्बई द्वारा मान्यता प्रदान करना।
275	S.O. 3213, dated 9th August, 1969.	Ministry of Food, Agriculture, Community Development and Company Affairs	Fixing the minimum uniform certification standard of certified seed the country for the crops.
	एस० ओ० 3214, दिनांक 9 अगस्त, 1969।	खाद्य, कृषि, सामुदायिक विकास तथा सहकारिता मंत्रालय।	प्रमाणित बीजो का देश में फसलों के लिये न्यूनतम एकरूप मानक का बनाये रखना।

ऊपर लिखे असाधारण राजपत्रों की प्रतियां प्रकाशन प्रबन्धक, सिविल लाइन्स, दिल्ली के नाम मांगपत्र भेजने पर भेज दी जाएंगी। मांगपत्र प्रबन्धक के पास इन राजपत्रों के जारी होने की तारीख से 10 दिन के भीतर पहुंच जाने चाहिएं।

Copies of the Gazettes Extraordinary mentioned above will be supplied on indent to the Manager of Publications, Civil Lines, Delhi. Indents should be submitted so as to reach the Manager within ten days of the date of issue of these Gazettes.

भाग II—खण्ड 3—उपखण्ड (ii)

PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

(रक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़कर) भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों और (संघ क्षेत्र प्रशासन को छोड़कर) केन्द्रीय प्राधिकरणों द्वारा जारी किये गए विधिक आदेश और अधिसूचनाएं।

Statutory orders and notifications issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than the Ministry of Defence) and by Central Authorities (other than the Administration of Union Territories).

ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA

New Delhi, the 21st August 1969

S.O. 3483.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 13A of the Representation of the People Act, 1950, the Election Commission, in consultation with the Government of Andhra Pradesh, hereby nominates Shri S. A. Quader Additional Chief Secretary to the Government of Andhra Pradesh, General Administration Department as the Chief Electoral Officer for the State of Andhra Pradesh from the date he takes over charge *vice* Shri A. Krishnaswamy.

[No. 154/1/69.]

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 12th August 1969

S.O. 3484.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Attar Singh, 187/188 J. J. Colony, Ring Road, New Delhi, a contesting candidate for election to the House of the People from South Delhi constituency has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses within the time and in the manner required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

2. And whereas, the said candidate even after due notice has not given any reason or explanation for the failure and the Election Commission is satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for such failure;

3. Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Attar Singh to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being, a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. DL-HP/2/67(1).]

S.O. 3485.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Bahadur Singh, J-3/184, Rajouri Garden, New Delhi, a contesting candidate for election to the House of the People from South Delhi constituency has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses in the manner required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

2. And whereas, the said candidate even after due notice has not given any reason or explanation for the failure and the Election Commission is satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for such failure;

3. Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Bahadur Singh to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being, a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. DL-HP/2/67(2).]

S.O. 3486.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Tatyarao Masaji, P. O. Gadi Goundgaon, Taluka Baswakalyan, at present residing at H. No. 12/1004, New Mazid, North Lallaguda, Secunderabad, a contesting candidate

for election to the House of People from Bidar constituency, held in February, 1967 has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses within the time and in the manner required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas, after considering the representation by the said candidate, the Election Commission is further satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for the failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Tatyarao Masaji to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being, a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this Order.

[No. MY-HP/1/67.]

By Order,

R. S. RAJAGOPALAN, Secy.

भारत निर्वाचन आयोग

नई दिल्ली, 21 अगस्त 1969

एस०ओ० 3487.—लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम 1950 की धारा 13—क की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए निर्वाचन आयोग आन्ध्र प्रदेश सरकार के परामर्श से श्री ए० कृष्णास्वामी के स्थान पर आन्ध्र प्रदेश सरकार के सामान्य प्रशासन विभाग में अतिरिक्त मुख्य सचिव श्री एस० ए० कादिर को उनके कार्यभार सम्भालने की तारीख से आन्ध्र प्रदेश राज्य के लिए मख्य निर्वाचन आफिसर के रूप मे एतद्द्वारा नाम निर्देशित करता है ।

[संख्या/154/1/69]

आदेश

नई दिल्ली 12 अगस्त 1969

एस० ओ० 3488.—यत: निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि लोक सभा के लिए निर्वाचन के लिए दक्षिणी दिल्ली निर्वाचन-क्षेत्र से चुनाव लड़ने वाले उम्मीदवार श्री अत्तार सिंह 187/188, जे० जे० कालोनी, रिंग रोड़ नई दिल्ली लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तद्धीन बनाये गये नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित समय के अन्दर तथा रीति से अपने निर्वाचन व्ययों का लेखा दाखिल करने मे असफल रहे हैं ;

और यत: उक्त उम्मीदवार उसे समुचित सूचना दिये जाने पर भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहा है और उसने अपनी इस असफलता के लिए कोई कारण अथवा स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है; तथा निर्वाचन आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उसके पास इस असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायोचित नही हैं;

अत: अब उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10—क के अनुसरण मे निर्वाचन आयोग एतद्द्वारा उक्त श्री अत्तर सिंह को संसद के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिए इस आदेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निरर्हित घोषित करता है ।

[सं० दिल्ली-लोक सभा/2/67(1)]

एस० ओ० 3489.—यत : निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि लोक सभा के लिए निर्वाचन के लिए दक्षिणी दिल्ली निर्वाचन क्षेत्र से चुनाव लड़ने वाले उम्मीदवार श्री बहादुर सिंह जे० 31/84 राजौरी गार्डन नई दिल्ली लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तद्धीन बनाये गए नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित रीति से अपने निर्वाचन व्ययों का लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे हैं ;

2. और, यत:, उक्त उम्मीदवार उसे सम्यक सूचना दिए जाने पर भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहा है और उसने अपनी इस असफलता के लिए कोई कारण अथवा स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है ; तथा निर्वाचन आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उसके पास इस असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायोचित्य नहीं है ;

3. अत:, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10-क के अनुसरण मे निर्वाचन आयोग एतद्द्वारा उक्त श्री बहादुर सिंह को संसद के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिए, इस आदेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निरर्हित घोषित करता है ।

[सं० दिल्ली-लो० स०/2/67/(2)]

एस० ओ० 3490.—यत,: निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि फरवरी 1967 मे हुए लोक-सभा के लिए निर्वाचन के लिए विदर निर्वाचन -क्षेत्र से चुनाव लड़ने वाले उम्मीदवार श्री तात्याराव मासजी डाकघर गडी गोडगाव तालुक बसवाकल्याण, वर्तमान निवासी मकान संख्या 12/1004, नई मजिद उत्तरी लल्लागुडी, हैदराबाद, लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तदधीन बनाये गए नियमों, द्वारा अपेक्षित समय के अन्दर तथा रीति से अपने निर्वाचन व्ययों का लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे है ;

और, यत:, उक्त उम्मीदवार द्वारा दिये गये अभ्यावेदन पर विचार करने के पश्चात निर्वाचन आयोग का यह भी समाधान हो गया है कि उसके पास इस असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायोचित्य नही है ।

अत:, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10-क के अनुसरण में निर्वाचन आयोग एतद्द्वारा उक्त श्री तात्याराव मासजी को संसद के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद् के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिए, इस आदेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निरर्हित घोषित करता है ।

[सं मैसूर-लो०स०/1/67]

आदेश से,

के० एस० राजगोपालन

सचिव, भारत निर्वाचन आयोग ।

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 31st July 1969

S.O. 3491.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Rupai Soren R/O village Mohanpur, Debki Millik, P. O. Harda, District Purnea (Bihar), a contesting candidate for election to the Bihar Legislative Assembly from

138-Manihari Assembly Constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

2. And whereas, the said candidate even after due notice has not given any reason or explanation for the failure and the Election Commission is satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for such failure;

3. Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Rupai Soren, to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. BR-LA/138/69(5).]

New Delhi, the 11th August 1969

S.O. 3492.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Bana Das, R/O village Sirajabad, P O. Ganipur Bejha, District Muzaffarpur (Bihar), a contesting candidate for election to the Bihar Legislative Assembly from Patepur constituency, held in February, 1969 has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses in the manner required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas, after considering the representation made by the said candidate, the Election Commission is further satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for such failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Bana Das to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. BR-LA/51/69(8).]

New Delhi, the 12th August 1969

S.O. 3493.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Raj Bahadur Lal resident of Mohalla Narghat, Post office city, Tehsil and District Mirzapur (Uttar Pradesh) a contested candidate for election to the Uttar Pradesh Legislative Assembly from 270-Mirzapur Assembly Constituency held on 23rd February, 1967 has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses at all as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

2. And whereas, the said candidate even after the due notice has not given any reason or explanation for the failure and the Election Commission is satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for such failure;

3. Now, therefore, in porsuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Raj Bahadur Lal to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being, a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. UP-LA/270/67.]

New Delhi, the 13th August 1969

S.O. 3494.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Fernandes Pio Joao Vicente, Bairo Bondir, St. Cruz, Ilhas, Goa, a contesting candidate for election to the Goa, Daman and Diu Legislative Assembly from Santa Cruz Constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses in the manner required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

2. And whereas, the said candidate even after due notice has not given any reason or explanation for the failure and the Election Commission is satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for such failure;

3. Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Fernandes Pio Joao Vicente to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being, a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. GOA-LA/12/67.]

By Order,

A. N. SEN, Secy.

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 31 जुलाई 1969

एस० ओ० 3495—यतः, निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि बिहार विधान सभा के लिए निर्वाचन के लिए 138-मनिहारी निर्वाचन-क्षेत्र से चुनाव लड़ने वाले उम्मीदवार श्री रुपाय सोरेन निवासी ग्राम-मोहनपुर देवको मिलिक पो०—हरवा, जिला पूर्णिया (बिहार) लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम 1951 तथा तद्धीन बनाए गए नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित अपने निर्वाचन व्ययों का लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे हैं;

और, यतः, उक्त उम्मीदवार उसे सम्यक सूचना दिए जाने पर भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहा है और उसने अपनी इस असफलता के लिए कोई कारण अथवा स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है; तथा निर्वाचन आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उसके पास इस असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायोचित्य नहीं हैं;

अतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10-क के अनुसरण में निर्वाचन आयोग एतद्द्वारा उक्त श्री रुपाय सोरेन को संसद के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद् के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिए इस आदेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निरर्हित घोषित करता है।

[सं० बिहार वि० स०/138/69(5)]

नई दिल्ली, 11 अगस्त, 1969

एस० ओ० 3496—अतः, निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि फरवरी, 1969 को हुए बिहार विधान सभा के लिए निर्वाचन के लिए पातेपुर निर्वाचित क्षेत्र से चुनाव लड़ने वाले उम्मीदवार श्री बाना दास निवासी ग्राम सिराजा ाद, पो० गन्नीपुर बेझा, जिला मुजफ्फरपुर, बिहार, लोकप्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तद्धीन बनाए गए नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित रीति से अपने निर्वाचन व्ययों का लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे हैं;

और, यतः, उक्त उम्मीदवार द्वारा दिये गये अभ्यावेदन पर विचार करने के पश्चात, निर्वाचन आयोग का यह भी समाधान हो गया है कि उसके पास इस असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायोचित्य नहीं है;

अतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10-क के अनुसरण में निर्वाचन आयोग एतद्द्वारा उक्त श्री बाना दास को संसद् के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद् के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिए, इस आदेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के के लिए निरर्हित घोषित करता है।

[सं० बिहार वि० सं०/51/69 (8)]

नई दिल्ली, 12 अगस्त 1969

एस० ओ० 3497—यतः, निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि 23 फरवरी 1969 को हुए उत्तर प्रदेश विधान सभा के लिए निर्वाचन के लिए 270 मिर्जापुर सभा निर्वाचन क्षेत्र से चुनाव लड़ने वाले उम्मीदवार श्री राज बहादुर लाल निवासी मोहल्ला नारघाट डाकघर शहर तहसील व जिला मिर्जापुर (उत्तर प्रदेश) लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तद्धीन बनाए गए नियमों द्वारा यथा अपेक्षित अपने निर्वाचन व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे हैं;

और, यतः, उक्त उम्मीदवार ने उसे सम्यक सूचना दिए जाने पर भी इस असफलता के लिए कोई कारण अथवा स्पष्टीकर नहीं दिया है; तथा निर्वाचन आयोग का यह सामाधान हो गया है कि उसके पास इस असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायोचित्य नहीं है;

अतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10-क के अनसरण में निर्वाचन आयोग एतदद्वारा उक्त श्री राज बहादुर लाल को संसद के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद् के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिए, इस आदेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निरर्हित घोषित करता है ।

[सं० उ०प्र० वि०स०/270/67]

नई दिल्ली, 13 अगस्त, 1969

एस० ओ० 3498—यतः, निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि गोवा, दमण और दीव विधान सभा के लिए निर्वाचन आयोग के लिए सांताक्रुज निर्वाचन क्षेत्र से चुनाव लड़ने वाले उम्मीदवार श्री फर्नान्डेज पिम्रोजोम्रो विसेन्ट बयर्ड वोन्दिर, सान्ता क्रुज इलहास गोम्रा लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तद्धीन बनाए गए नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित रीति से अपने निर्वाचन व्ययों का लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे हैं ;

2. और, यतः, उक्त उम्मीदवार उसे सम्यक सूचना दिये जाने पर भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहा है और उसने अपनी इस असफलता के लिए कोई कारण अथवा स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है; तथा निर्वाचन आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उसके पास इस असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायोचित्य नहीं है ;

अतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम का धारा 10-क के अनुसरण में निर्वाचन आयोग एतद्द्वारा उक्त श्री फर्नान्डेज पिम्रोजोम्रो विसेन्ट को संसद के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद् के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिए इस आदेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निरर्हित घोषित करता है ।

[सं० गोम्रा-वि०स० 12/67]

आदेश से,

ए० एन० सैन,

सचिव, भारत निर्वाचन आयोग ।

MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS

New Delhi, the 25th August 1969

S.O. 3499.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (2) of article 77 of the Constitution, the President hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Authentication (Orders and other Instruments) Rules, 1958, namely:—

1. (1) These rules may be called the Authentication (Orders and other Instruments) (Sixth Amendment) Rules, 1969.

(2) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the Official Gazette.

2. In rule 2 of the Authentication (Orders and other Instruments) Rules, 1958, for clause (13), the following clause shall be substituted, namely:—

(13) in the case of orders and other instruments relating to the Ministry of Food, Agriculture, Community Development and Co-operation (Department of Food), by the Chief Director of Purchase, Deputy Chief Director of Purchase, Assistant Chief Director of Purchase, a Director or a Deputy Director in the Department of Food in that Ministry; or

[No. F. 3/5/69-Pub. I.]

K. R. PRABHU, Jt. Secy.

(Office of the Competent Authority)

NOTICE

New Delhi, the 25th August 1969

S.O. 3500.—Notice is hereby given by the Competent Authority in pursuance of rule 6 of the Notaries Rules, 1956, that application has been made to the said Authority, under rule 4 of the said Rules, by Shri Bal Krishan, Advocate, Hanumangarh Town for appointment as a notary to practice in the district of Ganganagar with headquarters at Hanumangarh Town.

2. Any objection to the appointment of the said person as a notary may be submitted in writing to the undersigned within fourteen days of the publication of this notice.

[No. 28/35/68-Judl. III.]

K. THYAGARAJAN, Dy. Secy.

MINISTRY OF LAW

(Legislative Department)

New Delhi, the 20th August 1969

S.O. 3501.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 72 of the Punjab Reorganisation Act, 1966 (31 of 1966), the Central Government hereby directs that so long as the Board of Wakfs constituted for the former State of Punjab continues to function and operate as an inter State body corporate in the areas in respect of which it was functioning and operating immediately before the 1st November, 1966 the reference to 'State' in sub-section (2) of section 5 of the Wakf Act, 1954 (29 of 1954), shall in relation to the Punjab Wakf Board, be construed as a reference to the State of Punjab as it existed immediately before the 1st day of November, 1966.

[No. 6(1)/69-Waqf.]

(Waqf Section)

New Delhi, the 25th August 1969

S.O. 3502.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 5 of the Dargah Khawaja Saheb Act, 1955 (36 of 1955), and in continuation of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals No. 17(5)/64-M.W. dated the 27th August, 1964, the Central Government hereby reappoints

Shri Moinul Haque Choudhury, Advocate, Gauhati (Assam), a Hanifi Muslim, as a member of the Dargah Committee, Ajmer, with effect from the 27th August, 1969.

[No. 11(9)/68-WAQF.]

E. VENKATESWARAN, Dy. Secy.

विधि मंत्रालय

(विधायी विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 20 अगस्त, 1969

एस०ओ० 3503.—पंजाब पुनर्गठन अधिनियम, 1966 (1966 का 31) की धारा 72 की उप-धारा (1) के द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, एतद् द्वारा, निदेश करती है कि जब तक पूर्ववर्ती पंजाब राज्य के लिये गठित वक्फ-बोर्ड, ऐसे क्षेत्रों में निगमित एक अन्तर-राजकीय निकाय के रूप में कार्यरत और प्रचालित रहा है, जिनके लिये यह पहली नवम्बर 1966 से तत्काल पूर्व कार्यरत और प्रचालित रहा है, वक्फ अधिनियम, 1954 (1954 का 29) की धारा 5 की उप-धारा (2) के अन्तर्गत पंजाब वक्फ बोर्ड के संबन्ध में 'राज्य' का अर्थ उस पंजाब राज्य के प्रसंग में समझा जायेगा जैसा कि वह नवम्बर, 1966 के प्रथम दिवस के तत्काल पूर्व था।

[सं० 6 (1)/69-वक्फ]

(वक्फ अनुभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 25 अगस्त, 1969

एस०ओ० 3504.—दरगाह ख्वाजा साहब अधिनियम, 1955 (1955 का 36) की धारा 5 के द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुये, और पैट्रोलियम और रसायन मंत्रालय में भारत सरकार की अधिसूचना संख्या 17 (5)/64-एम डबल्यू, दिनांक 27 अगस्त, 1964 के क्रम में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, एतद द्वारा, श्री मोयनुल हक चौधरी, अधिवक्ता, गोहाटी, आसाम, जो एक हनीफी मुस्लिम हैं, को 27 अगस्त 1969 से दरगाह समिति, अजमेर के सदस्य के रूप में पुनः नियुक्त करती है।

[सं० 11 (9)/68 वक्फत]

ई०वैंकटेश्वरन,

उप-सचिव, भारत सरकार।

MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS AND MINES AND METALS

(Department of Petroleum)

New Delhi, the 14th August 1969

S.O. 3505.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that the transport of Petroleum from the drill sites well No. 49 to GGS III in the Kalol Oil Field, in Gujarat State, Pipelines should be laid by the Oil and Natural Gas Commission and that for the purpose of laying such pipelines, it is necessary to acquire the Right of User in the Land described in the Schedule annexed thereto.

2. Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (i) of the Section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein.

3. Any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification object to the laying of the pipelines under the land to the

Competent Authority, at Western Region, Shed No. 27, Makarpura Road, Near Central Workshop, Baroda 4, in the Office of the Gujarat Pipelines (Oil and Natural Gas Commission), Baroda. Every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by a legal practitioner.

SCHEDULE

For laying Pipeline (Feeder Line) From Point Near well No. 49 to G.G.S.III.

State—Gujrat Dist.—Mehsana Taluka—Kadi

Village	S. No.	Hectare	Are.	P.Are.
AMBAVPURA	100	0	22	98
	109/1A	0	4	65
	109/1B	0	0	50
	109/2	0	6	17
	109/1A	0	2	50
	V.P. Cart track	0	1	11
	V.P. Cart track	0	0	60
	110	0	4	77
	119/2	0	4	85

[No. 20/3/67-IOC/Lab. & Legis(a).]

S.O. 3506.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that the transport of Petroleum from the drill sites well No. 49 to GGS III in the Kalol Oil Field, in Gujarat State, Pipelines should be laid by the Oil & Natural Gas Commission and that for the purpose of laying such Pipelines, it is necessary to acquire the Right of User in the land described in the Schedule annexed thereto.

2. Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (i) of the Section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein.

3. Any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification object to the laying of the pipelines under the land to the Competent Authority, at Western Region, Shed No. 27, Makarpura Road, Near Central Workshop, Baroda, 4, in the Office of the Gujarat Pipelines (Oil and Natural Gas Commission), Baroda. Every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by a legal practitioner.

SCHEDULE

Laying Pipeline (Feeder Line) from Point Near well No. 49 to G.G S III

State.—Gujrat Dist.—Mehsana Tal.—Kalol

Village	S. No.	Hectare	Are.	P.Are.
PANSAR	1619/1&2	0	6	57

[No. 20/3/67-IOC/Lab. & Legis(c).]

New Delhi, the 16th August 1969

S.O. 3507.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that the transport of Petroleum from the drill sites well No. K-122 to GGS VI in the (Kalol) Oil Field, in Gujarat State, Pipelines should be laid by the Oil and Natural Gas Commission and that for the purpose of laying.

such Pipelines, it is necessary to acquire the Right of User in the land described in the schedule annexed thereto.

2. Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (i) of the Section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein.

3. Any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification object to the laying of the pipelines under the land to the Competent Authority, at Western Region, Shed No. 27, Makarpura Road, Near Central Workshop, Baroda 4, in the Office of the Gujarat Pipelines (Oil and Natural Gas Commission), Baroda. Evey person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by a legal practitioner.

SCHEDULE

Laying Pipeline from 122—K to G.G.S. VI.

State.—Gujrat Dist.—Mehsana Taluka.—Kadi

Village	S.No.	Hectare	Are.	P.Are.
ZULLASAN	719	0	1	00
	710	0	10	00
	711	0	10	92
	714	0	1	00
	713	0	14	69
	562	0	2	40
	559/1	0	3	90
	559/2	0	4	94
	559/3	0	5	07
	559/4	0	3	38
	560	0	0	60
	Road VWP Track	0	0	91
	558/1	0	1	95
CHADASAN	391	0	17	29
	392	0	5	33
	Road V.P. Track	0	0	65
	385	0	0	70
	384	0	10	66
	383	0	14	17
	382	0	1	82
	398	0	12	87
	399	0	0	50
	350	0	13	65
	349	0	0	50
	351	0	9	88
	348	0	2	73
	347	0	8	06
	Road	0	0	91
	403	0	5	20
	404	0	9	62
	405/1	0	2	21
	405/2	0	2	92
	406	0	5	07
	408	0	10	66
	407	0	3	32
	410	0	15	21
	Kans	0	1	37

[No. 20/8/67-IOC/Lab. & Legis.]

S.O. 3508.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that the transport of Petroleum from the drill sites well No. K-124 to GGS VI in the Kalol Oil Field, in Gujarat State, Pipelines should be laid by the Oil and Natural Gas Commission and that for the purpose of laying such Pipelines, it is necessary to acquire the Right of User in the land described in the schedule annexed thereto.

2. Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (i) of the Section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein.

3. Any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification object to the laying of the pipelines under the land to the Competent Authority, at Western Region, Shed No. 27, Makarpura Road, Near Central Workshop, Baroda 4, in the Office of the Gujarat Pipelines (Oil and Natural Gas Commission), Baroda. Every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by a legal practitioner.

SCHEDULE

For Laying Line from well No. 124 to G.G.S. VI

State - Gujarat Dist.—Mehsana Tal.—Kadi

Vilage	S.No.	Hectare	Are.	P. Are.
ZULASAN	Vilage Panchayat Cart	5		
	Track	5	5	56
	507	0	4	65
	526	0	11	33
	525/2	0	8	59
	527/2&3	0	8	89
	527/1	0	7	08
	527/4	0	1	61
	530/2/Z	0	3	34
	530/2/F	0	1	85
	530/2/D	0	5	86
	530/2/C	0	8	24
	538	0	5	76
	537/6	0	10	83
	537/5	0	4	44
	537/4	0	4	84
	555/3	0	1	00
	536/7	0	5	56
	536/3	0	4	25
	556	0	10	66
	557	0	3	74

[No. 20/3/67-IOC/Lab. & Legis.(A).]

New Delhi, the 23rd August 1969

S.O. 3509.—Whereas by notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals S.O. No. 2876 dated 7th June, 1969 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the Right of User in the lands, specified in the Schedule appended to that notification, for the purpose of laying pipelines;

And whereas the competent authority has, under sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And whereas, the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification.

Now, whereas, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of the Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipelines and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands shall, instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on this date of the publication of this declaration in the Oil and Natural Gas Commission, free from all encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

For Laying Pipeline from K-62 toK-49 (Main Collector Line).

State—Gujarat Dist.— Mehsana Taluka:—Kadi

Village	S.No.	Hectare	Are.	P. Are.
AMBAOPURA	100	0	12	64

[No. 29/6/68-IOC/Lab. & Legis.]

New Delhi, the 25th August 1969

S.O. 3510.—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals S.O. No. 2377 dated 7th June, 1969 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the Right of User in the lands specified in the schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipelines;

And whereas the competent authority has, under sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And whereas, the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification;

Now, whereas, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of the Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipelines and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands shall instead of vesting in the Central Government vest on this date of the publication of this declaration in the Oil and Natural Gas Commission free from all encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

For laying Pipeline from Junction Point to C.T.F.

State—Gujarat Dist.—Mehsana Taluka—Kalol

Village	S.No.	Hectare	Are	P.Are.
SAIJ	462	0	9	00
	475	0	4	75
	476/1	0	12	10
	484	0	3	64
	485	0	0	50
	483/1	0	16	26
	462/2	0	1	78
	482/1	0	11	36
	483/1B	0	0	50
	482/4	0	0	30
	488/1/5	0	2	26
	488/1/6	0	4	76

Village	S.No.	Hectare	Are.	P. Are
SAIJ—*contd.*	488/1/4	0	0	25
	489/1A	0	6	94
	490/1	0	6	00
	490/2	0	2	80
	491	0	57	61
	493/2	0	1	87
	553/1	0	9	10
	553/3	0	26	14
	553/2	0	7	56
	552	0	11	60
	548/2	0	5	16
	548/3	0	15	70
	549	0	9	00
	547/2	0	4	00
	547/4	0	3	60
	547/3	0	7	70
	542	0	15	60
	547/5	0	3	92
	541/2	0	0	40
	539/2	0	10	00
	V.P. Cart track	0	2	16
	662/4	0	0	40
	662/3	0	6	60
	662/2	0	5	70
	661/2	0	3	50
	661/1	0	10	34
	660/1	0	17	90
	658	0	18	26
	653	0	1	00
	654/1C	0	2	96
	V.P. Cart track	0	1	00
	681/2	0	4	00
	681/1	0	4	00
	681/3	0	3	50
	681/4	0	2	50
	682	0	3	60
	686/4	0	4	25
	686/1	0	1	50
	686/2	0	4	50
	688/3	0	2	20
	688/2	0	3	25
	688/1	0	3	50
	690/3	0	1	00
	690/2	0	1	20
	712/2	0	19	78
	V.P. Cart track	0	1	82
	700/1	0	5	70
	696/2	0	1	00
	696/1	0	23	50
	697/A	0	13	40
	699	0	15	58
	1212	0	6	00
	1213/1	0	8	70
	1213/2	0	5	50
	1216/P	0	11	20
	1210/1	0	3	50
	1210/2	0	1	50
	1216/P.	0	35	70

[No. 29/5/68-IOC/Lab. & Legis.]

M. V. S. PRASADA RAU, Under Secy.

पैट्रोलियम तथा रसायन और खान तथा धातु मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 27 जून, 1969

का० आ० स० 3511 यतः पैट्रोलियम, पाइप लाइन (भूमि के उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन भारत सरकार के पैट्रोलियम और रसायन मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना का० आ० स० 1593 तारीख 16-4-69 द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमियों के उपयोग के अधिकार को पाइप लाइनों को बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिये अर्जित करने का अपना आशय घोषित कर दिया है।

और यतः सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन सरकार को रिपोर्ट दे दी है।

और यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करते के पश्चात इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का विनिश्चय किया है।

अब, यतः उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्ति का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद् द्वारा घोषित करती है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार पाइप लाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिये एतद्द्वारा अर्जित किया जाता है और उस धारा की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार निदेश देती है कि उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार केन्द्रीय सरकार में विहित होने के बजाय तेल और प्राकृतिक गैस आयोग में, सभी विलंगमों से मुक्त रूप में, इस घोषणा के प्रकाशन की इस तारीख को निहित होगा।

अनुसूची

कुआं संख्या 46 (के सीए) से कुआं संख्या 99 (के० एच० एस०) तक पाइपलाइन बिछाना।

राज्य	गुजरात	जिला मेंहसाना	तालुका	काडी
गांव	सर्वेक्षण संख्या	हैक्टर	आर	पी आर
जुलासन	955	0	7	28
	954	0	5	06
	653	0	6	37
	959/1	0	4	35
	960	0	8	89
	961	0	23	54
	बी पी कार्ट ट्रैक	0	1	10
	664/2	0	13	65

गांव	सर्वेक्षण संख्या	हैक्टर	आर	पी आर
	वी पी कार्ट ट्रैक	0	0	50
	657/1	0	17	29
	635	0	11	93
	616/3	0	1	61
	616/1 तथा 2	0	12	84
	629/2	0	11	73

[सं० 20/3/67-आई० ओ० सी०/लेबर एण्ड लेजिस (ए)]

का० आ० सं० 3512—यतः पैट्रोलियम, पाइप लाइन (भूमि के उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन भारत सरकार के पैट्रोलियम और रसायन मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना का० आ० सं० 1592 तारीख 16-4-69 द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमियों के उपयोग के अधिकार को पाइप लाइनों को बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए अर्जित करने का अपना आशय घोषित कर दिया है ।

और अतः सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन सरकार को रिपोर्ट दे दी है ।

और यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात् इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का विनिश्चय किया है ।

अब, यतः उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत शक्ति का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा घोषित करती है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार पाइप लाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एतद्द्वारा अर्जित किया जाता है और उस धारा की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार निदेश देती है कि उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार केन्द्रीय सरकार में विहित होने के बजाय तेल और प्राकृतिक गैस आयोग में, सभी विलंगमों से मुक्त रूप में इस घोषणा के प्रकाशन की इस तारीख को निहित होगा ।

अनुसूची

कुआं संख्या 103 (के० एच० आर०) से जी० जी० एस० 6 तक पाइप लाइन बिछाना

राज्य – गुजरात जिला – मेहसाना तालुका – काडी

गांव	सर्वेक्षण संख्या	हैक्टर	आर	पी आर
चदासन	416	0	16	89
कूवासन	536/1	0	5	46

[सं० 20/3/67-आई ओ सी/लेबर तथा लेजिस]

नई दिल्ली, 28 जून, 1969

एस० ओ० 3513:—यत: पेट्रोलियम पाइप लाइन (भूमि के उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और रसायन मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना का० आ० स० 1589 तारीख 16-4-69 द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उक्त अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमिओं के उपयोग के अधिकार को पाइप लाइनों को बछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए अर्जित करने का अपना आशय घोषित कर दिया है।

और यत: सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन सरकार को रिपोर्ट दे दी है ।

और यत: केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का विनिश्चय किया है ।

अब, यत: उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्ति का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा घोषित करती है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार पाइप लाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एतद्द्वारा अर्जित किया जाता है और उस धारा की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार निदेश देती है कि उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार केन्द्रीय सरकार में विहित होने के बजाए तेल और प्राकृतिक गैस आयोग में, सभी विलंगमों से मुक्त रूप में, इस घोषणा के प्रकाशन की इस तारीख को निहित होगा ।

अनुसूची

जी० जी० एस० 6 से कुआं संख्या 90 (के० बी० एस०) तक पाइपलाइन बिछाना

राज्य — गुजरात जिला — मेहसाना तालुका — काडी

गांव	सर्वेक्षण संख्या	हैक्टर	आर	पी आर
जुलासन	557	0	1	41
	558/1 तथा 558/बी	0	8	59
	559/ए	0	6	37
	वी पी कोर्ट ट्रैक	0	2	82
	559/1	0	25	36
	559/2	0	6	27
चदासन	411	0	9	90
	416	0	18	21
	343	0	15	98
	342	0	22	04
	357	0	7	07
	358	0	6	17
	359/1	0	8	02
	वी पी रोड	0	2	12
	312	0	16	98
	301	0	1	00

[सं० 20/3/67-आई० ओ० सी/लेबर तथा लेजिस]

पेट्रोलियम तथा रसायन और खान तथा धातु मंत्रालय

(पेट्रोलियम विभाग)

अधिसूचना

नई दिल्ली, 27 जून, 1969

का० आ० सं० 3514.—यतः पेट्रोलियम, पाइप लाइन (भूमि के उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और रसायन मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना का० आ० सं० 1590 तारीख 16-4-69 द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमियों के उपयोग के अधिकार को पाइप लाइनों को बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए अर्जित करने का अपना आशय घोषित कर दिया है ;

और यतः सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन सरकार को रिपोर्ट दे दी है ;

और यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का विनिश्चय किया है ;

अब, यतः उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्ति का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा घोषित करती है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार पाइप लाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एतद्द्वारा अर्जित किया जाता है और उक्त धारा की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार निदेश देती है कि उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार केन्द्रीय सरकार में निहित होने के बजाय तेल और प्राकृतिक गैस आयोग में, सभी विल्लंगमों से मुक्त रूप में, इस घोषणा के प्रकाशन की इस तारीख को निहित होगा ।

अनुसूची

कुआं संख्या 98 (के० एच० पी०) से जी० जी० एस० 6 तक पाइप लाइन बिछाना

राज्य — गुजरात जिला — मेहसाना तालुका — कलोल

गांव	सर्वेक्षण संख्या	हैक्टर	आर	पी आर
पनसार	111/2	0	3	74
	112/6	0	18	21
	112/5	0	5	16
	112/4	0	4	65
	112/3	0	4	75
	112/1	0	7	48
	बी पी कार्ट ट्रैक्ट	0	0	45
जूलासन	बी पी कार्ट ट्रैक्ट	0	0	45
	535/4	0	3	74

1	2	3	4	5
	535/5	0	3	24
	535/3	0	1	21
	535/2	0	3	24
	535/1	0	3	52
	536/5	0	5	26
	536/1	0	4	05
	536/4	0	2	00
	557	0	12	14

[सं० 20/3/67 आई० ओ० सी०/लेबर तथा लेजस (ए)]

का०आ०स० 3515.—यतः पैट्रोलियम, पाइप लाइन (भूमि के उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन भारत सरकार के पैट्रोलियम और रसायन मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना का० आ० स० 1591 तारीख 16-4-69 द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमिओं के उपयोग के अधिकार को पाइप लाइनों को बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए अर्जित करने का अपना आशय घोषित कर दिया है ।

और अतः सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन सरकार को रिपोर्ट दे दी है ।

और यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात् इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का विनिश्चय किया है ।

अब, यतः उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्ति का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा घोषित करती है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार पाइप लाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एतद्द्वारा अर्जित किया जाता है और उस धारा की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार निदेश देती है कि उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार केन्द्रीय सरकार में विहित होने के बजाय तेल और प्राकृतिक गैस आयोग में सभी विलंगमों से मुक्त रूप में, इस घोषणा के प्रकाशन की इस तारीख को निहित होगा ।

अनुसूची

कुआं संख्या 99 (के०ए० एस०) से जी जी एस 6 तक पाइप लाइन बिछाना

राज्य गुजरात जिला मेहसाना तालुका काडी

गांव	संर्वेक्षण संख्या	हैक्टर	आर	पी आर
भूलासन . .	626/1	0	3	24
	626/2	0	8	09
	562	0	11	63

1	2	3	4	5
	560	0	9	51
	वी पी कार्ट ट्रैक्ट	0	0	90
	558/ए	0	3	24
	558/बी तथा 558/1	0	8	59
	557	0	1	41

[सं० 20/3/67-आई ओ सी/लिबर तथा लेजिस (बी)]

नई दिल्ली, 1 अगस्त, 1969

का० आ० सं० 3516:—यतः पैट्रोलियम, पाइप लाइन (भूमि के उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन भारत सरकार के पट्रोलियम और रसायन मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना का० आ० सं० 4320 तारीख 21-11-68 द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमिओं के उपयोग के अधिकार को पाइप लाइनों को बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए अर्जित करने का अपना आशय घोषित कर दिया है ।

और यतः सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन सरकार को रिपोर्ट दे दी है ।

और यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात् इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का विनिश्चय किया है ।

अब, यतः उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्ति का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा घोषित करती है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार पाइप लाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एतद्द्वारा अर्जित किया जाता है और उस धारा की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार निदेश देती है कि उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार केन्द्रीय सरकार में विहित होने के बजाय तेल और प्राकृतिक गस आयोग में, सभी विलंगमों से मुक्त रूप में, इस घोषणा के प्रकाशन की इस तारीख को निहित होगा ।

अनुसूची

कुआं संख्या 95 से जी जी एस III तक पाइप लाइन बिछाना

राज्य	गुजरात जिला	मेहसाना तालुका	काडी	
गांव	सर्वेक्षण संख्या	हैक्टर	आर	पी आर
1	2	2	4	5
छतराल	144	0	9	51
	327	0	15	48
	328	0	12	34

1	2	3	4	5
	33/2	0	1	61
	वी पी० रोड् छतराला	0	1	21
	352/1	0	10	19
	351/1	0	7	38
	वी पी रोड् छतराला	0	1	21
	351/2	0	2	72
	337	0	2	12
	340	0	2	72
	338	0	2	92

[सं० 20/3/67—आई ओ सी/लेबर एण्ड लेजिस (1)]

का० आ० सं० 3517—यतः पट्रोलियम, पाइप लाइन (भूमि के उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन भारत सरकार के पट्रोलियम और रसायन मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना का० आ० सं० 4563 तारीख 12-12-68 द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमियों के उपयोग के अधिकार को पाइप लाइनों को बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए अर्जित करने का अपना आशय घोषित कर दिया है।

और यतः सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन सरकार को रिपोर्ट दे दी है।

और यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात् इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का विनिश्चय किया है।

अब, यतः उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्ति का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा घोषित करती है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार पाइप लाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एतद्द्वारा अर्जित किया जाता है और उस धारा की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार निदेश देती है कि उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार केन्द्रीय सरकार में विहित होने के बजाय तेल और प्राकृतिक गैस आयोग में, सभी विल्लंगमों से मुक्त रूप में, इस घोषणा के प्रकाशन की इस तारीख को निहित होगा।

अनुसूची

जी जी एस II से गैस फलेर पाइनट तथा पाइप लाइन बिछाना

राज्य	गुजरात	जिला	मेहसाना	तालुका	कलोल
गांव	सर्वेक्षण संख्या		हैक्टर	आर	पी आर
सैज	706		0	1	52

[सं० 20/3/67—आई ओ सी/लेबर एण्ड लेजिस (2)]

का० आ० सं० 3518—यतः पैट्रोलियम, पाइप लाइन (भूमि के उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन भारत सरकार के पैट्रोलियम और रसायन मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना का० आ० सं० 1588 तारीख 16-4-69 द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमिओं के उपयोग के अधिकार को पाइप लाइनों को बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए अर्जित करने का अपना आशय घोषित कर दिया है।

और यतः सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन सरकार को रिपोर्ट दे दी है।

और यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का विनिश्चय किया है।

अब यतः उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा घोषित करती है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार पाइप लाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एतद्द्वारा अर्जित किया जाता है और उस धारा की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार निदेश देती है कि उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार केन्द्रीय सरकार में विहित होने के बजाय तेल और प्राकृतिक गैस आयोग में सभी विलंगमों से मुक्त रूप में, इस घोषणा के प्रकाशन की इस तारीख को निहित होगा।

अनुसूची

जी जी एस 4 से मेन कलक्टर लाइन तक पाइप लाइन बिछाने के लिए

राज्य : गुजरात	जिला : महेसाना	तालुका	कलोल

गांव	सर्वेक्षण संख्या	हैक्टरज	आर	पी आर
कलोल	251/40	0	10	99
	251/38	0	5	86
	251/35/36	0	17	22
	251/34	0	0	50
	251/33	0	12	43
	251/32	0	19	82
	251/10	0	1	0
	251/11	0	9	29
	251/13	0	7	88
	251/12	0	16	77
	251/1/2	0	1	0
	252/25	0	24	32
	252/24	0	1	00
	252/26	0	2	22

1	2	3	4	5
	222/23	9	16	44
	252/22	0	3	62
	252/3	0	27	31
	252/61	0	8	56
	252/66	0	19	00
	252/67	0	2	10
	252/69	0	3	70
	252/68	0	19	42
	वी पी कार्ट ट्रेक गांव कलोल	0	5	16
	215	0	16	89
	214	0	22	2
	252/223/पी	0	10	68
	252/223/पी	0	4	27
	252/223/पी	0	11	70
	252/225	0	4	64
	252/228	0	12	65
	252/230/पी	0	10	35
	252/230/पी	0	3	44
धमासान	894	0	4	64
	898	0	14	76
	899	0	7	05
	252/223/पी	0	1	46

[सं० 20/3/ 67–आई ओ सी/लेबर एण्ड लेजिस) (3)]

का० आ० सं० 3519—यतः पैट्रोलियम, पाइप लाइन (भूमि के उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन भारत सरकार के पैट्रोलियम और रसायन मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना का० आ० सं० 408 तारीख 20–1–69 द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमिओं के उपयोग के अधिकार को पाइप लाइनों को बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए अर्जित करने का अपना आशय घोषित कर दिया है ।

और यतः सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 का उपधारा (1) के अधीन सरकार को रिपोर्ट दे दी है ।

और यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात् इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का विनिश्चय किया है ।

अब, यतः उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्ति का प्रयोग करते केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा घोषित करती है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट उक्त

भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार पाइप लाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एतद्द्वारा अर्जित किया जाता है और उस धारा की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार निदेश देती है कि उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार केन्द्रीय सरकार में विहित होने के बजाय तेल और प्राकृतिक गैस आयोग में, सभी विल्लंगमों से मुक्त रूप में, इस घोषणा के प्रकाशन की इस तारीख को निहित होगा ।

अनुसूची

कुंआ नम्बर 1 से सी टी एफ साइड तक पाइप लाइन (कलक्टर लाइन) बिछाना

राज्य--गुजरात	जिला--गांधी नगर		तहसील--गांधीनगर	
गांव	सर्वेक्षण संख्या	हैक्टर	आर	पी आर
सेरथा	729/2	0	8	29
	730/3	0	23	65
	गांव पंचायत रोड	0	4	34
	338/2	0	9	10
	338/1	0	7	68
	338/3	0	14	76
	337	0	9	30
	335/3	0	5	86
	335/1	0	6	66
	335/1	0	8	24
	बी पी कार्ट ट्रैक आदि	0	12	03
	4	0	25	69
	5/2	0	4	45
	6/2	0	22	76
	7/2	0	1	00
	8/2	0	3	04
	8/3	0	15	57
	8/1	0	14	76
	1375/2	0	0	50
	1375/1	0	28	93
	1375/4	0	6	27
	1375/1	0	27	26
	1375/2	0	1	50
	1374	0	2	52

[सं० 20/3/67-आई ओ सी/लेबर एण्ड लेजिस (4)]

एम० बी० एस० प्रसाद राव,
अवर सचिव, भारत सरकार ।

MINISTRY OF HEALTH, FAMILY PLANNING, WORKS, HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

(Department of Health)

New Delhi, the 21st August 1969

S.O. 3520.—Whereas in pursuance of the provisions of clause (d) of section 3 of the Dentists Act, 1948 (16 of 1948), Dr. K. Narayana Pai, Professor of Medicine, Medical College, Trivandrum-11, has been elected by the University of Kerala to be a member of the Dental Council with effect from the 17th July, 1969;

And whereas, in pursuance of the provisions of clause (e) of section 3 of the said Act, the following persons have been nominated by the State Governments specified against their names to be members of the said Council with effect from the date of nomination shown against each, namely:—

Particular of Member	Name of the State which nominated him	Date of nomination
1. Dr. M. P. Dutta, LMF (SMF), L.D.S. (S.M.F.), Assistant professor, Dr. R. Ahmed, Dental college and Hospital, Calcutta.	West Bengal	3-7-1969
2. Dr. Sangameswar Sardar, Z.D.S. (Vienna) Old Cottonpet, Raichur (Mysore State)	Mysore	16-9-1969

Now, therefore, in pursuance of Section 3 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs that Dr. M. P. Dutta LMF(SMF), L.D.S. (SMF), Assistant Professor, Dr. R. Ahmed, Dental College and Hospital, Calcutta, shall continue to be a member of the Dental Council of India and makes the following further amendments in the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Health No. F.3-2/62-MII, dated the 17th October, 1962, namely:—

In the said notification:—

(i) under the heading "Elected under clause (d) of Section 3", for the entry against serial No. 9, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

"Dr. K. Narayana Pai, Professor of Medicine, Medical College, Trivandrum-11."

(ii) under the heading "Nominated under clause (e) of Section 3", for the entry against serial No. 12 the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

"Dr. Sangameswar Sardar, ZDS (Vienna), Old Cottonpet, Raichur, Mysore State".

[No. F.3-9/69-MPT.]

New Delhi, the 23rd August 1969

S.O. 3521.—Whereas in pursuance of the provisions of clause (b) of sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), Dr. R. N. Sen, D. Phil, Principal, Veer Surendra Sai Medical College, Burla, District Sambalpur (Orissa), has been elected by the Sambalpur University, Sambalpur, to be a member of the Medical Council of India with effect from the 27th June, 1969;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of sub-section (1) of section 3 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendments in the

notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Health No. 5-13/59-MI, dated the 9th January, 1960, namely :—

In the said notification, under the heading "Elected under clause (b) of sub-section (1) of section 3", after serial number 39 and the entry relating thereto, the following serial number and entry shall be inserted, namely :—

"40. Dr. R. N. Sen, D.Phil, Principal, Veer Surendra Sai Medical College, Burla, District Sambalpur (Orissa)".

[No. F. 4-29/68-MPT.]

ORDER

New Delhi, the 22nd August 1969

S.O. 3522.—Whereas by the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Health No. 17-22/59-MPT, dated the Ist April, 1960, the Central Government has directed that the Medical qualification, "M.D." granted by the University of Tubengin, Germany shall be recognised medical qualification for the purposes of the Indian Medical Council Act 1956 (102 of 1956);

And whereas Dr. Schempp Irmgard Marie Ilse, who possesses the said qualifications is for the time being attached to the B.E.M. Hospital, Udipi, S. K. Mysore State, for the purposes of charitable work;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of clause (c) of the proviso to sub-section (1) of section 14 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby specifies,—

(i) a period of two years from the date of the publication of this order in the Official Gazette, or

(ii) the period during which Dr. Schempp Irmgard Marie Ilse is attached to the said B.E.M. Hospital, Udipi, S.K. Mysore State,

whichever is shorter, as the period to which the medical practice by the aforesaid doctor shall be limited.

[No. F.19-29/69-MPT.]

R. MURTHI, Under Secy.

(Department of Health)

New Delhi, the 26th August 1969

S.O. 3523.—Whereas in pursuance of clause (d) of sub-section (2) of section 3 of the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act, 1954 (37 of 1954), the Central Government has re-nominated Shri S. Ramaswamy (Development Officer, Oils and Food) and now Officer on Special Duty (Food) in the Directorate General of Technical Development, New Delhi, as a member of the Central Committee for Food Standards representing the Ministry of Industrial Development, Internal Trade and Company Affairs (Department of Industrial Development), New Delhi;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs that Shri S. Ramaswamy shall continue to be a member of the Central Committee for Food Standards.

[No. F. 14-45/69-PH.]

M. C. JAIN, Under Secy.

स्वास्थ्य, परिवार नियोजन, निर्माण, आवास एवं नगर विकास मंत्रालय

(स्वास्थ्य विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 26 अगस्त, 1969

एस० ओ० 3524 :—यतः खाद्य उपमिश्रण निवारण अधिनियम, 1954 (1954 का 37) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (2) के खण्ड (घ) के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार ने तकनीकी विकास महानिदेशालय, नई दिल्ली के भूतपूर्व तेल एवं खाद्य विकास अधिकारी और अब विशेषाधिकारी (खाद्य) के पद पर काम कर रहे श्री एस० रामस्वामी को औद्योगिक विकास आन्तरिक व्यापार एवं कम्पनी कार्य मंत्रालय (औद्योगिक विकास विभाग), नई दिल्ली का प्रतिनिधित्व करने के लिए खाद्य मानकों की केन्द्रीय समिति में सदस्य के रूप में दुबारा मनोनीत कर दिया है ।

अब इसलिये उपर्युक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3 की उप धारा (1) के द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुये केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा आदेश देती है कि श्री एस० रामस्वामी खाद्य मानकों की केन्द्रीय समिति का सदस्य बने रहेंगे ।

[सं० 14-45/69-जन स्वास्थ्य]

मोती चन्द जैन अवर सचिव

(Department of Works, Housing and Urban Development)

New Delhi, the 26th August, 1969

S.O. 3525.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupants) Act, 1958 (32 of 1958), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the Notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Works, Housing and Supply S.O. 307 dated the 28th January, 1959, namely:—

In the table below the said Notification:—

(i) in column 1, against serial number 10, for the word "Calcutta", the following shall be substituted, namely:—

"Collector of Central Excise, Calcutta and Orissa, Calcutta";

(ii) in column 1, against the serial number 11, for the word "Simla", the word "Patiala" shall be substituted;

(iii) in column 1, against the serial number 12, the word "Cochin" shall be omitted and after the Word 'Madras', the following shall be inserted, namely:—

"Collector of Central Excise, Calcutta and Orissa, Calcutta";

[No. F. 21011(4)/66-Pol.]

S.O. 3526.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupants) Act, 1958 (32 of 1958), the Central Government hereby appoints the officers mentioned in column (1) of the table below, being Gazetted Officers of Government, to be estate officers for the purposes of the said Act who shall exercise the powers conferred, and perform the duties imposed on estate officers by or under the said Act within the local limits of their respective jurisdiction in respect of the public premises specified in the corresponding entries in column (2) of the said table.

THE TABLE

Designation of officer	Categories of public premises and local limits of jurisdiction
(1)	(2)
1. Commissioner of Income	he administrative control o
2. Commissioner of Income-ta	ax Department within th
3. Commissioner of Income-tax, Ernakulam.	their respective jurisdictio
4. Commissioner of Income-tax, Hyderabad.	
5. Commissioner of Income-tax, Bhubneswar.	
6. Commissioner of Income-tax, Nagpur.	
7. Commissioner of Income-tax, Kanpur.	
8. Collector of Central Excise, Bangalore.	Premises under the administrative control o
9. Collector of Central Excise, Hyderabad.	the Central Excise Department within th
10. Collector of Central Excise, Nagpur.	local limits of their respective jurisdiction
11. Collector of Custom and Central Excise, Panaji	
12. Collector of Central Excise, Poona.	Premises under the administraive control o
13. Collector of Central Excise, West Bengal, Calcutta.	the Central Excise Department within th local limits of their respective jurisdiction
14. Chief Chemist, Central Revenue Control Laboratory, Delhi.	

[No. F. 21011(4)/68-Pol.IV.]

S.O. 3527.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupants) Act, 1958 (32 of 1958), the Central Government hereby appoints the officer mentioned in column (1) of the table below, being Gazetted Officer of Government to be estate officer for the purposes of the said Act who shall exercise the powers conferred, and perform the duties imposed on estate officers by or under the said Act within the local limits of his jurisdiction in respect of the public premises specified in the corresponding entry in column (2) of the said table.

THE TABLE

Designation of officer	Categories of public premises and local limi of jurisdiction
(1)	(2)
Executive Engineer, Chandigarh Central Division, C.P.W.D., Chandigarh.	Premises belonging to or taken on lease or requisitioned by, or on behalf of the Central Government within the Union Territory of Chandigarh.

[No. F. 21011(4)/66-Pol.]

S.O. 3528.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupants) Act, 1958 (32 of 1958), the Central Government hereby appoints the officer mentioned in column (1) of the table below, being the officer equivalent to the rank of a Gazetted Officer of Government to be an estate officer for the purposes of the said Act, and the said officer shall exercise the powers conferred and perform the duties imposed on estate officers by or under the said Act in respect of the public premises specified in column (2) of the said table.

THE TABLE

Designation of officer	Categorries of public premises and local limits of jurisdiction.
(1)	(2)
Chief Administrative Officer-*cum*-Secretary, Ashoka Hotel, New Delhi.	Public premises owned or acquired or hired by the Ashoka Hotel and which are under the administrative control of the Managing Director, Ashoka Hotel.

[No. F. 21011(4)/66-Pol.IV.]

S.O. 3529.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupants) Act, 1958 (32 of 1958), the Central Government hereby appoints the officers mentioned in column (1) of the table below, being the officers equivalent to the rank of Gazetted Officers of Government to be estate officers for the purposes of the said Act who shall exercise the powers conferred, and perform the duties imposed on estate officers by or under the said Act within the local limits of their jurisdiction in respect of the public premises specified in the corresponding entry in column (2) of the said table.

THE TABLE

Designation of officer	Categories of public premises and local limit of jurisdiction
(1)	(2)
1. Chief Engineer, Hindustan Antibiotics Limited, Pimpri, Poona. 2. Deputy Superintendent, Engineering (S) Hindustan Antibiotics Limited, Pimpri, Poona. 3. Assistant Superintendent, Engineering (Civil), Hindustan Antibiotics, Limited, Pimpri, Poona.	Premises under the administrative control of the Hindustan Antibiotics Limited, Pimpri, Poona situated within the local limits of their respective jurisdiction.

[No. F. 21011(4)/66-Pol.IV.]

T. K. BALASUBRAMANIAM,
Deputy Director of Estates & *Ex-officio* Under Secy.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNICATIONS

(P. & T. Board)

New Delhi the 27th August 1969

S.O. 3530.—In pursuance of para (a) of Section III of Rule 434 of Indian Telegraph Rules, 1951, as introduced by S.O. No. 627, dated 8th March, 1960, the Director-General, Posts and Telegraphs, hereby specifies the 1st October 1969, as the date on which the Measured Rate System will be introduced in GUNTAKAL Telephone Exchange, Andhra Pradesh Circle.

[No. 5-46/69-PHB(5).]

D. R. BAHL,
Assistant Director General (PHB).

संचार विभाग

(डाक-तार बोर्ड)

नई दिल्ली, 27 अगस्त, 1969

एस० ओ० 3531 :—स्थायी आदेश क्रमसंख्या 627, दिनांक 8 मार्च, 1960 द्वारा लागू किये गए 1951 के भारतीय तार नियमों के नियम 434 के खण्ड III के पैरा (क) के अनुसार डाक-तार महानिदेशक ने गुन्टकल टेलीफोन केन्द्र में 1-10-1969 से प्रमापित दर प्रणाली लागू करने का निश्चय किया है।

[सं० 5-46/69-पी० एच० बी० (5)]

डी० आर० बहल,
सहायक महानिदेशक, (पी०एच०बी०)

MINISTRY OF LABOUR, EMPLOYMENT AND REHABILITATION

(Department of Labour & Employment)

New Delhi, the 22nd August 1969

S.O. 3532.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government, having regard to the location of the Government Press, Pondicherry, in an implemented area, hereby exempts the said press from the payment of the employer's special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a period of one year with effect from the 21st July, 1969 upto and inclusive of the 20th July, 1970.

[No. 6(49)/69-HI.]

New Delhi, the 29th August 1969

S.O. 3533.—Whereas the Central Government was satisfied that (1) Maharashtra State Electricity Board and (2) Stone Crusher Shri A. K. Shaikh Kuvarbao were situated in Karad and Ratnagiri areas which were sparse areas (that is, areas whose insurable population were less than 500) in the districts of North Satara and Ratnagiri in the State of Maharashtra;

And, whereas by virtue of their location in sparse areas, the aforesaid factories were granted exemption, from the payment of the employer's special contribution under section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), until enforcement of the provisions of Chapter V of the Act in those areas by the Central Government in the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Labour and Employment No. S.O. 2843, dated the 24th September, 1963;

And whereas the Central Government is satisfied that the insurable population of the Karad and Ratnagiri areas in the districts of North Satara and Ratnagiri in the State of Maharashtra has now exceeded 500, and these are no longer sparse areas;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the said notification, namely:—

In the Schedule to the said notification,—

(i) against Serial No. 9, the entry "Karad" in column 3 and the entry relating thereto in column 4 shall be omitted;

(ii) against Serial No. 13, the entry "Ratnagiri" in column 3 and the entry relating thereto in column 4 shall be omitted.

[No. F. 6/4/69-HI.]

S.O. 3534.—Whereas the Central Government was satisfied that M/s. Kisan Seva Mandal was situated in Ratnagiri area which was a sparse area (that is, an area whose insurable population was less than 500) in the district of Ratnagiri in the State of Maharashtra;

And, whereas by virtue of its location in a sparse area, the aforesaid factory was granted exemption from the payment of the employer's special contribution under section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948) until enforcement of th eprovisions of Chapter V of the Act in that area by the Central Government in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 801, dated the 9th March, 1969;

And, whereas the Central Government is satisfied that the insurable population of the Ratnagiri area in the district of Ratnagiri in the State of Maharashtra has now exceeded 500, and it is no longer a sparse area;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the said notification, namely:—

In the Schedule to the said notification, against Serial No. 6, the entry "Ratnagiri" in column 3 and the corresponding entry in column 4 shall be omitted.

[No. F. 6/4/69-HI.]

S.O. 3535.—Whereas the Central Government was satisfied that (1) M/s. Barve and Albal Stone Crusher and (2) M/s. New Trio Builders were situated in Murgud and Karad areas which were sparse areas (that is, areas whose insurable population were less than 500) in the districts of Kolhapur and North Satara in the State of Maharashtra;

And whereas by virtue of their location in sparse areas, the aforesaid factories were granted exempt from the payment of the employer's special contribution under section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), until enforcement of the provisions of Chapter V of the Act in those areas by the Central Government in the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Labour and Employment No. S.O. 3304, dated the 21st November, 1963;

And whereas the Central Government is satisfied that the insurable population of the Murgud and Karad areas in the districts of Kolhapur and North Satara in the State of Maharashtra has now exceeded 500, and these are no longer sparse areas;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the said notification, namely:—

In the Schedule to the said notification,—

(i) against Serial No 6, the entry "Murgud" in column 3 and the entry relating thereto in column 4 shall be omitted;

(ii) Serial No. 8 and the entries relating thereto shall be omitted.

[No. F. 6/4/69-HI]

S.O. 3536.—Whereas the Central Government was satisfied that M/s. Modern Builders Engineer and Contractors (Kankavli) was situated in Ratnagiri area which was a sparse area (that is, an area whose insurable population was less than 500) in the district of Ratnagiri in the State of Maharashtra;

And, whereas by virtue of its location in a sparse area, the aforesaid factory was granted exemption from the payment of the employer's special contribution under section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), until enforcement of the provisions of Chapter V of the Act in that area by the Central Government in the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Labour and Employment, No. S O. 895, dated the 4th March, 1964;

And, whereas the Central Government is satisfied that the insurance population of the Ratnagiri area in the district of Ratnagiri in the State of Maharashtra has now exceeded 500, and it is no longer a sparse area;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the said notification, namely:—

In the Schedule to the said notification, against Serial No. 8, the entry 'Ratnagiri' in column 3 and the corresponding entry in column 4 shall be omitted.

[No. F. 6/4/69-HI]

S O. 3537.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as M/s. Indopol Limited, "Mewar", 40A Pedder Road, Bombay-26, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the thirty first day of December, 1967.

[No. 8/88/69/PF-II.]

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 26th August, 1969

S.O. 3538.—In the Schedule to the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S O 2293 dated the 5th June, 1969, published at page 2422 of the Gazette of India, Part II Section 3(ii), in the Schedule, *for* "Ladhu" *read* "Ladnun."

[No. F. 6(11)/68-HI.]

DALJIT SINGH, Under Secy.

श्रम नियोजन और पुनर्वास मंत्रालय

(श्रम और नियोजन विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 29 अगस्त, 1969

का० आ० 3539.—अतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मेसर्स इण्डोपोल लिमिटेड, मेवाड, 40-ए, पेडर रोड, बम्बई-26 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिएं ।

अतः अब उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्द्वारा लागू करती है ।

यह अधिसूचना दिसम्बर 1967 के इकतीसवें दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी ।

[सं० 8/88/69/पी० आफ०-11]

दलजीत सिंह, अवर सचिव ।

(Department of Labour and Employment)

New Delhi, the 23rd August 1969

S.O. 3540.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Delhi in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Allahabad Bank Limited and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 18th August, 1969.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, DELHI

PRESENT:

Shri R. K. Baweja, Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Delhi

The 2nd August 1969

CG. I.D. No. 9 OF 1968

BETWEEN

The employers in relation to the Allahabad Bank Limited, Chandni Chowk, Delhi,

AND

Their workman, Shri Amar Singh Rathore, as represented by the Delhi State Bank Employees' Association, 898, Nai Sarak, Chandni Chowk, Delhi.

Shri G. P. Tandon—*for the bank/management.*

Shri C. L. Bhardwaj—*for the workman/union.*

AWARD

By S.O. No. 23/61/68-LRIII, dated the 10th of October, 1968, the Central Government, in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment), referred the following industrial dispute existing between the aforesaid parties for adjudication to this Tribunal :—

"Whether the action of the Agent, Allahabad Bank Limited, Chandni Chowk, Delhi in terminating the services of Shri Amar Singh Rathore peon with effect from the 26th April, 1968 was legal and justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

2. After some evidence of the parties had been concluded, they reported having arrived at an amicable settlement and a memorandum of settlement was jointly filed by Shri C. L. Bhardwaj alongwith Shri Amar Singh Rathore workman concerned and Shri G. P. Tandon on behalf of the management. Both the abovenamed parties verified the terms of the settlement Annexure "A" and sought an award in terms thereof. I, therefore, pass an award in terms of the settlement Annexure "A" which shall form part of the award.

2nd August, 1969.

(Sd.) R. K. BAWEJA,
Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Delhi.

ANNEXURE "A"

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, DELHI

REFERENCE No. 9 OF 1968

In the matter of an industrial dispute.

BETWEEN

The Management of the Allahabad Bank, Chandni Chowk, Delhi-6.—*Employers.*

AND

Its workman Shri Amar Singh Rathore, Ex-peon as represented by the Delhi State Bank Employees Association, 398, Nai Sarak, Chandni Chowk, Delhi-6.—*Workman.*

Request for making a Compromise Award

May it please the Honourable Tribunal,

The employers herein respectfully submit that upon a request having been made to them on behalf of the workman concerned in the present dispute, the parties have arrived at a compromise on terms and conditions as set out hereunder in full and final settlemennt of the dispute and accordingly it is prayed that the Honourable Tribunal may be pleased to pass an Award in terms of the aforesaid compromise.

Terms of Compromise :

1. The workman, Shri Amar Singh Rathore, will apply to the Bank for a fresh appointment against a permanent vacancy in the subordinate cadre within a period of 15 days hereof.

2. Within 30 days of the application being received from Shri Amar Singh Rathore, the Bank shall offer him subject to Clauses 3, 4 and 5 below, a fresh appointment against a permanent vacancy of a peon or peon-cum-farrash or in any other suitable post in the subordinate cadre in any Branch in India, such appointment in the first instance being for a probationary period of six months on expiry of which his confirmation to the permanent establishment of the Bank will be considered provided his work and conduct are found to be satisfactory in the interim.

3. Shri Amar Singh Rathore will be required to produce a medical certificate of fitness from a Medical Practitioner approved by the Bank and will also submit an acceptable proof of his date of birth. He will also get himself suitably introduced to the Bank by a person, firm or organisation known to the Bank.

4. No travelling expenses will be payable by the Bank in respect of the journey of Shri Amar Singh Rathore to the place of his fresh appointment.

5. By virtue of the arrangement made hereinabove, the workman will retain no claim whatsoever against the Bank in respect of his past service in the Bank.

Dated at Delhi this 21st day of July, One thousand nine hundred and sixty nine.

For the Allahabad Bank,

Sd./-

Manager, Chandni Chowk, Delhi.

The terms of compromise as set out hereinabove have been approved by me and I request the Honourable Tribunal to pass an award in the aforesaid terms.

For the Delhi State Bank Employees Association,

(Sd.) C. L. B BHARDWAJ,

President.

21-7-69.

[No. 23/61/68-LRIII.]

ORDER

New Delhi, the 23rd August 1969

S.O. 3541.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the National and Grindlays Bank Limited and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of sction 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of the National and Grindlays Bank Limited, Calcutta in refusing to treat the period of service of Shri Samar Bahadur Singh from the 29th July 1968 onwards as a probationer subordinate staff and terminating his services with effect from the 24th March, 1969 is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

[No. 23/53/69-LRIII.]

S. S. SAHASRANAMAN, Under Secy.

(Department of Labour and Employment)

New Delhi, the 26th August 1969

S.O. 3542.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Nagpur, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Hindustan Lalpeth Colliery, Post Office, Chandrapur (District Chandrapur) and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 19th August, 1969.

THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT NAGPUR

REFERENCE (CGT) NO. 4 OF 1969

PRESENT:

Shri G. V. Deo, B.A., LL.B., Presiding Officer

PARTIES:

Hindustan Lalpeth Colliery, Chandrapur

Vs.

Its Workmen.

APPEARENCES:

For Employer.—Shri L. M. Chatterjee, Authorised Representative.

For Workmen.—Absent.

STATE: Maharashtra INDUSTRY: Coal.

Nagpur dated the 11th August, 1969

AWARD

This is a reference made by the Central Government under Section 10(1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes, Act, 1947 for adjudication of a dispute between the Management of Hindustan Lalpeth Colliery, Chandrapur and Its Workmen over the following demands.

"Whether, having regard to the financial capacity of the Hindustan Lalpeth Colliery, Post Office Chandrapur, District Chandrapur, the action of the management—

(i) in not paying the arrears of wages for the period from 15th August, 1967 to 27th January 1968;

(ii) in not paying the variable dearness allowance with effect from the 1st April, 1968; and

(iii) in not granting graded annual increments from the 15th August, 1968;

as per recommendations of the Wage Board for Coal Mining Industry is justified?

If not—

(i) what should be the quantum of variable dearness allowance in this colliery?

(ii) to what reliefs if any, entitled?"

2. Since both the parties did not file their statement of demand within the prescribed time, the matter was fixed for hearing on 8th August 1969. An application was received from Shri C. G. Arya, Branch Secretary, Rashtriya Koyala Khadan Kamgar Sangh, Chandrapur, on 1st August 1969, wherein it was stated that since the issues in dispute were settled, the reference may be treated as closed. On 6th August 1969, a statement from the Management was received wherein, it was stated *inter alia*, that the Union which raised this dispute has no representative character; that the dispute raised is not an industrial dispute etc. It went on to say, without prejudice to its contention stated above, that the demands raised by the so-called Union have already been fulfilled by the Management and there is no case of reference for adjudication before this Tribunal.

3. On 8th August 1969, Shri C. M. Chatterjee appeared for the concern. No appearance for the Union. The Union states in its application that the dispute has been amicably settled and the reference may be treated as closed. Shri Chatterjee who is present has also no objection for reference being treated as disposed of.

4. In view of the above no Award could be made and the reference stands disposed of.

Sd/- G. V. DEO,
Presiding Officer.

Nagpur dated 11th August, 1969.

[No. 1/65/68-LRII.]

S.O. 3543.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Patmohana Colliery, Post Office, Sitarampur, District Burdwan and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 21st August, 1969.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, CALCUTTA

REFERENCE NO. 38 OF 1969

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Patmohana Colliery

AND

Their workmen.

PRESENT:

Shri B. N. Banerjee, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Employers } Absent
On behalf of Workman. }

STATE: West Bengal INDUSTRY: Coal Mines.

AWARD

By Order No. 6/7/69-LRII, dated April 15, 1969, the Government of India, in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment), referred the following industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Patmohana Colliery and their workmen, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, namely:—

> "Whether the suspension of Shri Deonandan Rajbhar, Miner of No. 2 Pit from the 17th September, 1968 by the management of Patmohana Colliery, Post Office Sitarampur, District Burdwan was justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

2. The disputing parties did not file their written statements. They did not appear on the date fixed for settling the date of hearing. The peremptory date of hearing was fixed in the absence of the parties and they were informed by registered post. To-day, the date of peremptory hearing, nobody appeared. I presume, in these circumstances, that there is no subsisting dispute between the parties now. I, therefore, record a 'no dispute' award.

Dated, August 19th, 1969.

Sd/- B. N. BANERJEE,
Presiding Officer.
[No. 6/7/69-LRII.]

S.O. 3544.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, (No. 3) Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Jamadoba Colliery of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited, Post Office Jealgora (Dhanbad) and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 12th August, 1969.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 2) AT DHANBAD

PRESENT

Shri Nandagiri Venkata Rao, Presiding Officer.

REFERENCE No. 4 OF 1967

In the matter of an industrial dispute under Section 10(1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Jamadoba Colliery of Messrs Tata Iron & Steel Company Limited, Post office Jealgora (Dhanbad).

AND

Their workmen

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the employers.—Shri S. S. Mukherjee, Advocate.

On behalf of the workmen.—Shri B. N. Sharma, President, Congress Mazdoor Sangh.

STATE: Bihar INDUSTRY: Coal.

Dhanbad 7th August, 1969

AWARD

The Central Government, being of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Jamadoba Colliery of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited, Post office Jealgora (Dhanbad) and their workmen, by its order No. 2/12/67-LRII dated 20th April, 1967 referred to this Tribunal under Section 10(1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication the dispute in respect of the matters specified in the schedule annexed thereto. The schedule is extracted below:

SCHEDULE

"(i) Whether the suspension of Shri Man Bahadur, Watchman, for ten days, with effect from the 26th November, 1966 and his transfer from Jamadoba Colliery to Malkera Colliery with effect from the 10th December, 1966 by the management of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited, were justified?

(ii) If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

2. Employers as well as the workmen filed their statement of demands.

3. On 20th June, 1969 Shri B. N. Sharma, President, Congress Mazdoor Sangh the union sponsoring the dispute has filed an application stating that the dispute involved in the reference has been settled, that there is no dispute calling for adjudication, that the workmen do not want to proceed further with the present reference and that no dispute Award be made. As no more dispute subsists for adjudication, no further enquiry is necessary. The award is made accordingly and submitted under Section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

(Sd.) N. VENKATA RAO,
Presiding Officer,
Central Government Industrial Tribunal (No. 2),
Dhanbad.

[No. 2/12/67-LRII.]

S.O. 3545.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, No. 3 Dhanbad in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Kenduadih Colliery, belonging to Messrs Hurriladih Coal Company, Limited, Post Office, Bhaga, District Dhanbad and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 19th August, 1969.

THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 3) At DHANBAD

REFERENCE No. 75 OF 1968

PRESENT:

Shri Sachidanand Sinha, M.A.M.L., Presiding Officer.

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Kenduadih Colliery and their workmen.

APPEARANCES:

For workmen.—Shri Shanker Bose, Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Sangh.

2. Sri P. B. Choudhury, for Colliery Staff Association and

3. Sri Mahatam Singh, Khan Mazdoor Congress.

For employers.—Shri S. S. Kapur, Advocate.

INDUSTRY: Coal STATE: Bihar.

Dhanbad, Dated the 21st of July, 1969

INTERIM AWARD

1. The Central Government, by its order No. 1/13/66-LRII dated the 19th January, 1967 and published in the Gazette of India under notification No. S.O. 322 dated the 28th of February, 1967 referred for adjudication the dispute in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule annexed thereto. The Schedule is extracted below:—

SCHEDULE

1. Whether the action of the management of Kendwadih Colliery belonging to Messrs Hurriladih Coal Company, Limited, Post Office Bhaga (Dhanbad) in closing the colliery with effect from the 24th October, 1966, was justified?

2. If so, whether they are justified in offering compensation to the affected workmen under section 25 FFF of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947)?

3. What relief are the workmen entitled?"

2. The management in their written statement alleged *inter alia* that their action in closing the colliery with effect from 24th October, 1966 is *bonafide* and genuine and hence the present dispute is not an industrial dispute at all and as there cannot be an industrial dispute in respect of a *bonafide* closure of an undertaking. According to the management since closure of the colliery was for *bonafide* and genuine reasons, for circumstances beyond their control and hence the management was justified in offering compensation to the affected workmen under section 25 FFF (Proviso) of the Industrial Disputes Act.

3. Three sets of written statements were filed on behalf of the workmen (i) workmen represented by the Union Colliery Staff Association (ii) workmen represented by the Union Khan Mazdoor Congress and (iii) workmen represented by the Union Colliery Mazdoor Sangh.

4. Their case in brief is that this is a valid reference inasmuch as it is not the closure itself which is in dispute but whether this closure is for unavoidable circumstances as alleged by the management or not. Their case is that the management was not justified in offering retrenchment compensation to their workmen under section 25 FFF (Proviso) of the Industrial Disputes Act on the closure of the colliery with effect from 24th October, 1966 and the workmen are entitled to be paid compensation under section 25F, Clause B of the Act.

5. The employers in their written statement have raised issue that the present reference is not an industrial dispute and prayed that at first the preliminary objection raised by them be heard first. I therefore, take up the question of the validity of the reference as raised by the employers in their written statement as their preliminary objection.

6. In this case the Kenduadih colliery was closed with effect from the 24th of October, 1966 and the reference was made by the notification dated 19th of January, 1967 i.e. after the closure of the mine.

7. The term 'closure' has not been defined in the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. The term, therefore, has to be understood in its ordinary connotation. A closure which is a mere pretence of closure is no closure in the eye of law. In Banaras Ice Factory's case, the Supreme Court observed "if there is no real closure, but a mere pretence of a closure or it is *mala fide* there is no closure in the eye of law and the workmen can raise an industrial dispute." But in the later case of Tea Districts Labour Association the Supreme Court Affirmed that in case of a pretence of closure, no closure in fact takes place. However, with respect to a *mala fide* closure the Court glossed upon its obsrvations and clarified that "the observations are not to be read as laying down an unqualified and categorical proposition of law that whenever a closure is *mala fide*, it must be deemed to be unreal and non-existent". Then on the facts of the case, the court held that when the establishment in question had in fact been closed it was difficult to see how the finding of *mala fide* could justify the conclusion that the establishments should be deemed to continue. Therefore, once there is a real and factual closure the *mala fides* or *bona fides* of the closure is not relevant. Hence it is not open to the tribunal to go into the motives of the employers in closing down his business and the question of motive in such a case would be irrelevant.

8. In Indian Metal and Metallurigical Corporation case [1952(I).L.L.J., 364], the Madras High Court pointed out that the right to carry on business or not to carry on business was a right protected by the Constitution. The Supreme Court also accepted the view that the right to carry on a business was business was protected by the Constitution and the exercise of that right in closing down the business cannot be prevented. The immediate effect of the decision was that non-employment following closure was left without any relief. To meet the situation, the Government rushed with an ordinance which later became an Act by which compensation was made payable in cases of unemployment following closure. Compensation was made payable at a certain rate; a lower ceiling was, however, prescribed for those bases where closure was forced upon the employer by circumstances over which he had no control.

9. It is a fundamental right of a citizen to carry on or close down business, industry or work if he so chooses and nobody can be compelled to carry on against his will. Therefore, the closure being the exercise of a right granted by the Constitution, tribunal cannot examine the *bona fides* of the closure.

10. On behalf of the employers it was submitted before me that a reference with reference to an industrial dispute relating to an industry which has become dead on account of closure shall be invalid, as the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act apply only to an existing or live industry and in this connection they have cited the case of Hind Shippers Ltd. *V.* Presiding Officer, Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, reported in 1968 Lab. I.C. 851. In that case their Lordship observed as under:

> "Where the business has been closed, any dispute with reference thereto will fall outside the ambit and purview of the Industrial Disputes Act. After the closure there is no industry in existence and therefore, a reference made by the Central Government under section 10(1)(d) in regard to compensation payable as a result of the closure and based on a notification issued after the closure is beyond the competence of the Central Government." In that case Pipraich Sugar Mills' case and Tea Districts Labour Association's case were referred to and relied upon.

11. It is now well established principle in Industrial Law that there could be no industrial dispute with regard to a business, which was not in existence. The industrial dispute to which the provisions of the Act apply is only one which arises out of an existing industry. Where business has been closed and closure is real and *bona fide*, any dispute relating thereto arising after the closure, is outside the purview of the Act. Therefore, there can be no reference in respect of that industry.

12. The leading case on the subject is Pipraich Sugar Mill's case reported in A.I.R. 1957, Supreme Court, page 95. In that case the dispute relates to a claim which arose while the industry was in existence and between persons who stood in the relationship of employer and employees. In that case *V.* Ayyar J. observed "We think that on a true construction of section 3, the power of the State to make a reference under that section must be determined with refernece not to the date on which it is made but to the date on which the right which is the subject-matter of the dispute arises, and that the machinery provided under the Act would be available for working out the rights which had accrued prior to the dissolution of the business."

13. There is a clear distinction between the two classes of cases, namely, (i) those in which the cause of action arose at the time when the business had been closed; and (ii) those in which the cause of action arose at the time when the business was being still carried on. There can be no industrial dispute in respect of the first category of cases, because the real subject-matter of the dispute has ceased to exist when the dispute arises. But in regard to the second category where the dispute actually arised before the closure of the business, it does not cease to be an industrial dispute merely because subsequently the industry is closed. There is no provision of law according to which the closure of industry extinguishes the industrial dispute which has arisen before such closure. The relevant time is the time to which the dispute relates. In other words, if the dispute relates to a period when the industry was in existence, the reference, even after the closure of the industry, can be validly made.

14. Closure being the exercise of a right guaranteed by the Constitution, tribunals cannot examine the *bona fides* of the closure. But the *bona fides* of the closure may be examined for ascertaining the measure of compensation. The tribunal may consider the question whether the closure was forced upon the employer, for the purpose of determining whether the lower ceiling of compnsation should be allowed. I may refer to the Kalinga Tubes, Ltd.'s case reported in 1969 (I)L.L.J., page 557. In that case it was held that the management closed down its principal undertaking of manufacturing and selling iron pipes and poles on 3rd October, 1967. Thereupon their Lordship considered the question whether the undertaking was closed for "any reason whatsoever" or it was "on account of unavoidable circumstances" beyond the control of the employer. Considering the entire facts and circumstances their Lordship came to the conclusion that the closure of the undertaking was due to unavoidable circumstances beyond the control of the appellant and therefore, the compensation would be payable as if the undertaking was closed down "for any reason whatsover" within section 25FFF (1) of the Act.

15. In the present reference also we have to consider whether the closure was for unavoidable circumstances beyond the control of the management. The point for determination is whether the workmen are entitled to get retrenchment compensation under the proviso under section 25FFF of the Industrial Disputes Act or whether they are entitled to be paid compensation under section 25 F(b) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

16. The same issue was also before their Lordship in the Kalinga Tubes, Ltd.'s case.

17. Moreover, it is a dispute arising simultaneously with the closure of the industry and on the extension of the same principle as laid down in Pipraich Sugar Mills' case, can also be validly referred.

18. For all these reasons I am of the opinion that the management has failed to show that the present reference was not an industrial dispute. That being so, reference made by the Government is valid.

19. It may be submitted to the Central Government under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

(Sd.) SACHIDANAND SINHA, Presiding Officer.

[No. 1/13/66-LRII.]

New Delhi the 27th August 1969

S.O. 3546.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Prasonno Dutta Kajora Colliery, Post Office Kajoragram, District Burdwan and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 19th August, 1969.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, CALCUTTA

REFERENCE NO. 35 OF 1969.

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Prasonno Dutta Kajora Colliery,

AND

Their workmen.

PRESENT:

Shri B. N. Banerjee, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Employers—Absent.

On behalf of Workmen—Shri B S. Azad General Secretary, Khan Shramik Congress.

STATE: West Bengal. INDUUSTRY: Coal Mines.

AWARD

By Order No. 6|127|68-LRII, dated April 9, 1969, the Government of India, in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment), referred the following industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Prasonno Dutta Kajora Colliery and their workmen, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, namely:

"Whether the management of Prasonno Dutta Kajora Colliery, Post Office Kajoragram, District Burdwan was justified in not paying sick wages from the 2nd September, 1968 to the 10th September, 1968 to Shri Gama Kahar, Pick Miner and stopping him from work with effect from the 11th September, 1968? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

2. The employer colliery adopted an attitude of strange non-cooperation with the Tribunal from the beginning. It did not file written statement in time. After the date had arrived for settlement of peremptory date of hearing, the management woke up and wrote a letter asking for time to file written statement, on the following ground:

"In view of the absence of the dealing clerk to attend for knowing the date and time to be fixed by your Honour for hearing the above Reference No. 35 of 1969 case, which may kindly be directed to your office to intimate me by letter, furthermore, I beg to state that replies of the W.S. of above reference is not yet ready, due to our Legal Advisor is out of station, so further 3 weeks time is solicited."

Apart from the clumsiness of the language used, the ground pleaded is flimsy. Even then, this Tribunal fixed the peremptory date of hearing for July 31, 1969, with liberty to the management to file their written statement before that date. Two days prior to the date of peremptory hearing, the management filed a written statement but did not care to appear on the date of peremptory hearing. All that it did was to send an unsworn and unverified application asking for adjournment of the peremptory hearing on the ground of manager's illness. For reason elaborately stated in the order, dated July 31, 1969, this Tribunal granted time subject to the payment of costs, as condition precedent to further participation of the management in the reference, and the case was adjourned to August 1, 1969.

3. The management did not pay the costs, as was stated before me by Mr. B. S. Azad, appearing for the Khan Shramik Congress, the trade union of the workmen. It did not even appear today, that is to say on 11th August, 1969. In the aforesaid circumstances, the tribunal proceeded ex-parte.

4. It appears from Ext. 4, the chargesheet served upon the concerned workman by the management, that the workman was charged with two-fold misconduct in the following language:

"You are absenting from your duty since 2nd September 1968 without any information or permission.

This is a mis-conduct. Further on the 12th September '68 you abused and threatened Ayub Mia, Haulage Khalasi saying that what happened to Kali Babu will happen to you. This is another serious misconduct.

You are suspended pending enquiry. Please explain within 48 hours."

To the above charges, the workman sent the following reply, Ext. 5:

"That the allegation which you have mentioned falsely in your above chargesheet that you are absenting from your duty since 2-9-68. is totally false and baseless. I have reported to you and the colliery Doctor on 2-9-68, regarding my sickness. I also requested, your colliery Doctor for my treatment. But sorry that under your instruction the colliery Doctor refused to make any treatment, in my above sickness. Thus I was bound to take the help of local doctor and under him I

was treated from 2-9-68 to 10-9-68. I approached before you and requested for my above job on 11-9-68 with the fit certificate of the said local doctor. But you totally denied to give my job. Thus I was bound to approach before you in written Regd. letter dt. 12-9-68 in which I requested for my original job but still you have not provided me my original job. Thus the question of misconduct does not arise at all.

Further I have left the organisation of the union of the Colliery Mazdoor Sabha and joined the organisation of the union of Khan Shramik Congress union. Thus you became displeased. I am also the active member of the said Khan Shramik Congress union and have taken part to organise the above union. Moreover the said Ayub Mia is the right hand of the leader of Sri Kalyan Roy of the colliery Mazdoor Sobha Union. So being instigated by the said leader Sri Roy, you have charged me falsely that you abused and threatened Ayub Mia. So it is totally false and baseless. I have never abused or threatened Sri Ayub Mia so the question of serious misconduct is totally meaningless."

Admittedly, no enquiry was held into the charges with notice to the workman.

It is stated in paragraph 10 of the written statement filed by the management:

"10. ***This employer states that the aforesaid acts of the workman concerned are serious misconduct under the relevant rules and contract governing the service conditions of the workman concerned and as he failed to explain and|or appear before the management of his aforesaid acts duly recorded in the said charge sheet, the services of the workman, concerned is deemed and|or liable to have been terminated."

It is also stated in paragraph 13 of the written statement:

"13. This employer states that the workman was suspended pending enquiry and thereafter on the failure of the workman to explain and|or attend before the management within 48 hours as requested under the aforesaid chargesheet the services of the workman is deemed and|or liable to have been permitted."

5. The concerned workman himself deposed in this case. According to his evidence he fell ill on 2nd September, 1968, but cou'd not get himself treated by the colliery doctor. On the advice of the colliery doctor himself, he approached a private doctor of the name of Dr. Satkari Pati Mukherjee and got himself treated by him. When he was confined to bed, undergoing treatment, he did not send any application for leave to the Management. When he got round, he approached the management for permission to rejoin, with a fitness certificate from Dr. Mukherjee. He was not allowed to rejoin. He was thereafter charged, as stated before. He replied to the chargesheet, denying the charges, but there was, he said, no enquiry held into the charges.

6. Thus the position is that from September 1968 till to-day the workman is under suspension on charges of misconduct, without any enquiry. He has not also been penalised on proof of the charges.

7. The idea of the management that a workman, who does not explain his conduct within 48 hours, may be dismissed without an enquiry does not find support from anywhere. Moreover, it appears from the evidence of the workman that chargesheet dated September 16, 1968, was served upon the workman on September 25, 1968. It was not, therefore, possible for the workman to reply within 48 hours. Further, under clause 17 of the certified Standing Orders of the management, there is a provision for payment of subsistance allowance during, suspension couched in the following language:

"17(1) A workman may be suspended or fined subject to the provisions of payment of Wages Act, 1936 or his increment may be stopped or he may be demoted or dismissed without notice if he is found to be guilty of misconduct provided that suspension without pay as punishment shall not exceed ten days. The workman may be suspended pending departmental enquiry and in such cases he shall be paid a subsistance allowance equal to half of his wages as defined in the payment of Wages Act, 1936, for the period of suspension upto 30 days this subsistance allowance will be at the rate of 3|4 of his wages as aforesaid but if the enquiry is delayed beyond 30 days because

of the workman, the subsistance allowance shall be reduced to 1/4 of his wages.***"

The same clause enjoins the employer to complete the enquiry within 10 days. In the instant case, the enquiry has been kept pending for too long a period. In the fitness of things, I must direct its completion now within a reasonable period. I must also direct payment of subsistance allowance to the workman according to the provisions of clause 17(1) of the Standing Orders of the colliery.

8. The award that I make, therefore, is that the management of Prasonno Dutta Kajora Colliery was justified in stopping the concerned workman from work in the sense of suspending him from work with effect from 11th September, 1968, but they were wrong in keeping him indefinitely suspended or stopped from work. The enquiry into the misconduct, during which he was kept suspended, must now commence within a fortnight from the date of publication this award. It must be completed within a reasonable time not exceeding one month, in any event. If as a result of the enquiry it be found that the workman was really sick, he must be paid his sick wages during the period from 2nd September, 1968, to 10th September, 1968. Such sick wages shall be calculated at half the wages (basic and dearness) for the entire period. In making this award I draw inspiration from the provisions in the award given in Colliery Dispute Arbitration by Shri A. Das Gupta. If the result of the enquiry goes against the workman and if it be found that he was guilty of the charges, he will be entitled only to the subsistence allowance according to clause 17(i) of the Standing Order of the colliery from 2nd September, 1968, till the final order is passed on the workman. If, on the other hand, he be found innocent of the charges, he would be entitled to reinstatement, sick wages during September 2 to September 11, 1968 and his full wages during the period of his enforced idleness thereafter.

This is my award.

(Sd.) B. N. BANERJEE,
Presiding Officer.

Dated, August 13, 1969.

[No. 6/127/68-LRII.]

New Delhi, the 28th August 1969

S.O. 3547.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Dalmia Dadri Cement Limited, Charkhi Dadri and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 20th August, 1969.

BEFORE SHRI P. N. THUKRAL, PRESIDING OFFICER.
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (CENTRAL) AT FARIDABAD

REFERENCE NO. 7/C OF 1969

BETWEEN

The workmen and the Management of M/s. Dalmia Dadri Cement Limited, Charkhi Dadri.

PRESENT:

Sarvashri Sagar Ram Gupta and Bhim Sain—*for the workmen.*
Shri V. Kaushik—*for the management.*

AWARD

Shri Chuni Lal Quarry Chowkidar was in the service of M/s. Dalmia Dadri Cement Limited, Charkhi Dadri. His services were terminated and this gave rise to an industrial dispute. The Central Government in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 7A and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, constituted his Industrial Tribunal with Headquarters at Faridabad and referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication *vide* Government of India Gazette Notification No. 36/27/68-LRI, dated 14th October, 1968:—

Whether the action of the management of Dalmia Dadri Cement Limited in terminating the employment of Shri Chuni Lal Quarry Chowkidar with effect from the 30th June, 1968, was justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?

It is not necessary to decide the case on merit. A compromise has been reached between the parties and as a gesture of good will the management have agreed to take the claimant Shri Chuni Lal concerned workman on duty with immediate effect as Chowkidar-*cum*-Points man or any other job in category 'D'. It has been agreed that the workman would not be entitled to back wages or any other benefit except continuity of service for the purpose of seniority and this concession will not be taken as precedent. I give my award accordingly. No order as to costs.

Sd/- P. N. THUKRAL,
Presiding Officer,
Central Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad.

Dated the 11th August, 1969.

FORM 'H'

Memorandum of Settlement

(Under Section 18(1) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947)

Name of parties:

1. The Management of Messrs. Dalmia Dadri Cement Ltd., Charkhi Dadri

and

2. The Workmen of Quarries, represented by the Dalmia Dadri Cement Factory Mens Union.

Representing Management:

1. Shri Vishnu Kumar, Secretary, Dalmia Dadri Cement Ltd.

2. Shri Virendra Kaushik, Legal Adviser, Dalmia Dadri Cement Ltd.

Representing Workmen:

1. Shri Bhim Sen Prabhakar, President, Dalmia Dadri Cement Factory Mens Union.

2. Shri Madan Lal Gupta, General Secretary, Dalmia Dadri Cement Factory Mens Union.

Recital of the case

The case of Shri Chunni Lal is pending before the Central Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad. The parties discussed the matter to settle the same mutually and have agreed as under:—

It is agreed between the parties that as a gesture of good will Sh. Chunni Lal concerned workman in reference No. 7-C of 1969 will be taken on duty with immediate effect as Chowkidar-*cum*-Points man or on any other job in category 'D'. However, he will not be entitled for back wages or any other benefit except continuity of service for the purpose of seniority but it will not be taken as precedent.

Charkhi-Dadri 5th August, 1969:—

Representative of the Workmen

1. (Sd.) BHIM SEN PARBHAKAR,
President,
Dalmia Dadri Cenment Factory
Mens Union.

2. (Sd.) MADAN LAL GUPTA,
General Secretary.

Representatives of the Management

7. (Sd.) VISHNU KUMAR,
Secretary.
Dalmia Dadri Cenment Ltd.,

2. (Sd.) VIRENDER KAUSHIK,
Legal Adviser.

Witnesses:

(Sd,) Illegible.
(Sd.) Illegible.

[No. 36(27)/68-LRIV.]

S.O. 3548.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, No. 3, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Selected Fatka Colliery, Post Office Nirshachatti, District Dhanbad and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 19th August, 1969.

THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 3) AT DHANBAD

REFERENCE No. 12 OF 1969

PRESENT:
Shri Sachidanand Sinha, M.A.M.L., Presiding Officer.

PARTIES.
Employers in relation to the Selected Fatka Colliery,
Vs.
Their workmen.

APPEARANCES:
For employers:—Shri T. R. Agarwala, Director & Nominated Owner.
For workmen:—Shri K. K. Mookherjee, General Secretary, Nirsha Thana Coal-field & workshop workers Union.

INDUSTRY: Coal STATE: Bihar.

Dhanbad, dated the 12th of August, 1969

AWARD

1. The Central Government, being of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employer in relation to the Selected Fatka Colliery, Post Office—Nirshachatti, District Dhanbad and their workmen, by its order No. 2/254/68-LRII dated the 4th of February, 1969 referred to this Tribunal under section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication the dispute in respect of the matters specified in the schedule annexed thereto. The schedule is extracted below:

SCHEDULE

"Whether the management of Selected Fatka Colliery, Post Office Nirshachatti, District Dhanbad, was justified in dismissing from service their workman Shri Barun Mandal, Trammer, with effect from the 29th May, 1968? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

2. Both the employers and the Union representing the workmen filed their written statements. But it is unnecessary to state the respective cases of the parties because the dispute has now been settled amicably. They have filed a compromise petition at annexure 'A'. According to the terms of the compromise the services of the concerned workman Sri Barun Mandal will be treated as retrenched with effect from 29th May, 1968 and that the concerned workman shall be paid retrenchment compensation as per section 25F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 which comes to Rs. 500.00 (Rupees Five hundred only) and that the aforesaid payment shall be made to the workman on or before 8th August, 1969.

3. The terms of compromise are fair and reasonable and the same are accepted. Accordingly an award is made in terms of the joint petition of compromise at annexure 'A' which shall form part of the award. It may now be submitted to the Central Government under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Sd/- SACHIDANAND SINHA,
Presiding Officer.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER,
CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 3), DHANBAD

REFERENCE No. 12 OF 1969

PARTIES.
Employers in relation to Selected Fatka colliery of M/s. Sri Krishna colliery Co. (P) Ltd., P.O. Nirshachatti District Dhanbad.
AND
Their Workmen—Shri Barun Mandal.

Without prejudice to the respective contentions of the parties, the above reference has been amicably settled on the following

Terms:

(1) That the services of the workman Sri Barun Mandal will be treated as retrenched with effect from 29th May, 1968.

(2) That the workman shall be paid retrenchment Compensation as per section 25 F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 which comes to Rs. 500 00 (Rupees Five hundred only).

(3) That the above payment as mentioned in Item No. 2 shall be made to the workman on or before 8th August, 1969.

(4) That, the parties will bear their own respective Costs of their proceedings.

(5) That, in view of the terms as stated above, there does not exist any dispute between the parties which needs further adjudication by the Hon'ble Tribunal.

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that this settlement may kindly be recorded and an Award passed in terms thereof.

For workman

(Thumb impression) of
BARUN MANDAL,
Workman

(Sd.) K. K. MOOKHERJEE,
General Secretary
Nirsha Thana Coal-field &
Workshop workers Union
P.O. Nirshachatti, Dist. Dhanbad.
5-8-69.

For Employers

T. R. AGARWALA,
Director & Nominated Owner
M/s. Sri Krishna colliery Co. (P) Ltd.
Selected Fatka colliery
P.O. Nirshachatti.
Dist. Dhanbad.
5-8-69.

[No. 2/254/68-LRII.]

New Delhi, the 30th August 1969

S O. 3549.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Benedih Colliery of Messrs Benedih Coal Concern, Post Office Nudkhurkee, District Dhanbad, and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 19th August, 1969.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL DHANBAD.

In the matter of a reference under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

REFERENCE NO. 10 OF 1969

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to Benedih Colliery

AND

Their workmen represented by the Bihar Koyla Mazdoor Sabha.

PRESENT :

Shri Kamla Sahai, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES :

For the Employer—Shri S. R. Mukherjee

For the Workmen—Shri Lalit Burman, General Secretary.

STATE: Bihar. INDUSTRY: Coal.

Dhandbad, the 12th August, 1969.

AWARD

By order No. 2/33/69-LRII dated the 20th March, 1969, the Central Government, in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation, has made this reference to this Tribunal for adjudication of the dispute which has been described in the schedule as follows :

SCHEDULE

"Whether the management of Benedih Colliery of Messrs Benedih Coal Concern, Post Office Nudkhurkee, District Dhanbad, was justified in dismissing Shri Nandu Bhar, Miner, with effect from the 6th September, 1968? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

The parties have entered into a compromise and have settled their dispute. As I have stated in my order, the compromise appears to be fair. The management has agreed to pay a sum of Rs. 1,000/- to the workman, Shri Nandu Bhar, as compensation and ex-gratia payment and also his legal dues, if any. The management has further agreed to employ the workman when coal relating in No. 12 seam incline is re-started. The workman has agreed that he will have no other claim upon the management. I accept the compromise.

I direct that the reference be disposed of in terms of the compromise petition which will form part of this award. Let a copy of this award be sent to the Central Government under Section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act.

(Sd.) KAMLA SAHAI,
Presiding Officer.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 1 DHANBAD.

REFERENCE NO. 10 OF 1969

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to Benedih Colliery.

AND

Their Workman.

Joint Petition of Compromise

The parties above named beg to submit that the parties have settled the dispute amicably on the following terms :

Terms of Settlement

1. That the management of Benedih Colliery hereby agree to pay to the concerned workman Sree Nandu Bhar as sum of Rs. 1,000/- Rupees One Thousand only as compensation and Ex-gratia payment for the period of idleness from 6th September, 1968 till date. Over and above this the workman will be paid his outstanding legal dues, if any.

That the management also agree to give employment to the workmar Sree Nandu Bhar as and when the work of coal raising will be resumed in No. 12 seam incline.

3. That the payment as per Clause (1) to the concerned workman shall be made within a month from date of this settlement.

4. That the workman shall have no other claim from the management except as specifically mentioned above and the dispute stands resolved.

The parties pray that the Honourable Tribunal may be pleased to pass an award on the basis of above terms of settlement.

Dated 12th August, 1969.

For the workman.

LALIT BARMAN,
General Secretary.
Bihar Koyla Mazdoor Sabha.

For the Employers:
For Benedih Coal Concern.
(Sd.) Illegible,
Partner
Benedih Coal Concern.
Benedih Colliery.

[No. 2/33/69-LRII.]

S.O. 3550.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Prasonna Dutta Kajora Colliery, Post Office Kajoragram, District Burdwan and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 23rd August, 1969.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, CALCUTTA

REFERENCE No. 22 OF 1969

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Prosonna Dutta Kajora Colliery,

AND

Their workmen.

PRESENT:

Shri B. N. Banerjee, Presiding Officer.

Vs.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Employers.—Absent.

On behalf of Workmen.—Shri B. S. Azad, General Secretary, Khan Shramik Congress.

STATE: West Bengal. INDUSTRY: Coal Mines

AWARD

By Order No. 6/128/68-LRII, dated February 10, 1969, the Government of India, in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment), referred the following dispute between the employers in relation to Prosonna Dutta Kajora Colliery and their workmen, to this Tribunal, for adjudication:

> "Whether the management of Prosonna Dutta Kajora Colliery, Post Office Kajoragram, District Burdwan was justified in terminating the services of Shri Sukhari Singh with effect from the 22nd September, 1968? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

2. On receipt of notices to file their respective written statements, the parties did not file any. Thereupon, May 26, 1969, was fixed as the date for setting a peremptory date of hearing, by an order, dated May 12, 1969. On May 26, 1969, Mr. B. S. Azad, General Secretary of the trade union of the workmen appeared for the workman but nobody appeared for the management. In the aforesaid circumstances, the tribunal fixed the date of peremptory hearing on June 4, 1969 and informed the management of the date by registered post.

3. On the same day, the trade union of the workmen made an application praying for issue of summons upon the management for production of certain documents before the tribunal. The application was allowed and summons were issued.

4. Also on the same day, the workmen filed their written statement. On June 3, 1969, one day prior to the date fixed for hearing, there was an application made on behalf of the workmen asking for adjournment of the hearing. The application was rejected. On the date fixed for hearing nobody was ready to go on with the case. Thereupon, I passed the following order:

> "The attitude exhibited by the parties to this reference is strongly non-cooperative. The management has not filed its written statement nor has it cared to appear. The workmen have filed their written statement. But yesterday, Mr. Azad, General Secretary of the union, filed an application for adjournment on the ground of alleged illness of the workman. That application I rejected, knowing full well how glibly such applications are made. Even then no body is ready to go on with the case to-day."

The matter was thereupon fixed for peremptory hearing on August 18 1969, and parties were informed accordingly.

5. On August 14, 1969, the management woke up and sent by post an application praying for one week's adjournment to file written statement. Since nobody came to move the application and since no excuse was given for the delay, I rejected the application. At the peremptory hearing to-day, Mr. B S. Azad, General Secretary of the trade union representing the workman, appeared but nobody appeared for the management.

6. The attitude exhibited by Prosonna Dutta Kajora Colliery has been throughout non-cooperative. In Reference No. 14 of 1969, I tried to pull up the management for dilatory conduct, by directing payment of costs but that

had not the desired result and the reference was to be decided *ex-parte*, on June 26, 1969. I again tried the same *modus operandi* in Reference No. 35 of 1969 but that also failed and the reference was decided *ex-parte*.

7. In these circumstances, it is useless to wait for the management any further and I proceed to decide the reference ex-parte.

8. According to the written statement filed on behalf of the workmen, the concerned workman was a permanent workman in the colliery. To prove this fact, the trade union of the workman called for certain documents from the management. One was the register in Form I, which would have shown that the workman was a permanent workman for about the 13 or 14 years. This document was not produced. Neither in the Bonus Cards (Ext. 4 series) nor in the Coal Mines Provident Fund Annual Statement of Accounts (Ext. 3 series), is the workman described as a temporary or probationery workman. The workman himself deposed as witness in this case and he stated that he was a permanent workman.

9. According to clause 3(b) of the Standing Order of the employer company a 'permanent' workman is one who is appointed for an unlimited period or who has satisfactorily put in three months' continuous service in a permanent post as a probationer. The ex-parte evidence in the case is such that the workman was a permanent workman.

10. It appears from Ext. 1, the letter of termination dated September 22, 1968, that the services of the workman was terminated in the following language:

> "As your work is unsatisfactory, the management is hereby terminating your services from the date of this letter.
>
> Please collect your dues, if any and vacate the quarters."

This is much too offhand style of termination of services of a permanent workman. The workman protested against his dismissal in the following language:

> "*I beg to state that I am not a probationer Undg. Trammer. I am working in your above colliery since long permanently and regularly without any blemish. I have also done my duties with the full satisfaction of my superiors. So the question of that 'your work is unsatisfactory' does not arise at all.
>
> **I am the active member of the Khan Shramik Congress Union. Thus you became displeased and unnecessarily harassed me by stopping my work from 25th September, 1968 with a false and baseless termination letter dt. 22nd September, 1968 without any reason. So this type of wrongful stoppage of work by a illegal termination letter is wholly unfair, unjust and victimisation in natural justice."

No reply appears to have been sent to this letter.

11. On the evidence I must hold that the management of Prosonna Dutta Kajora Colliery was not justified in terminating the services of Shri Sukhari Singh with effect from 22nd September, 1968.

12. According to the workman he was throughout unemployed during the entire period of his forced idleness. He is, therefore, entitled to his back wage until reinstatement, which I direct must be made within one week of the publication of this award.

This is my award.

Sd./- B. N. BANERJEE, Presiding Officer

Dated,
August 19, 1969.

[No. 6/28/68-LRII.]

S.O. 3551.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Bankola Colliery, Post Office Ukhra, District Burdwan and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 25th of August, 1969.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, CALCUTTA

REFERENCE No. 39 OF 1969

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Bankola Colliery,

AND

Their workmen.

PRESENT:

Shri B. N. Benerjee—Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Employers.—Shri S. B. Sanyal, Legal Adviser, Bihar Organisation of Industrial Employers.

On behalf of Workmen.—Shri Tarun Kumar Sanyal, Advocate.

STATE: West Bengal INDUSTRY: Coal Mines

AWARD

By Order No. 6/21/69-LRII, dated April 30, 1969, the Government of India, in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment), referred the following industrial dispute between the management of Bankola Colliery and their workmen, to this tribunal, for adjudication, namely:

"Whether Md. Ishak Mian, Guard, had been unjustifiably stopped from work with effect from the 17th July, 1968 by the management of Bankola Colliery, Post Office Ukhra, District Burdwan? If so, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

2. The management and the concerned workman both filed their written statements. At the hearing, however, the parties settled the dispute and filed a petition of compromise. Now that the dispute has been settled, I pass an award in terms of the settlement. Let the petition of settlement form part of his award.

Dated, August 20, 1969.

(Sd.) B. N. BANERJEE,

Presiding Officer.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, CALCUTTA

REFERENCE No. 39 OF 1969

Employers in relation to the Bankola Colliery, P.O. Ukhra, District Burdwan,

Vs.

Their workmen

The joint petition on behalf of the workmen and the management most respectfully

SHEWETH

1. That the parties have settled their dispute and difference on the following terms:

(a) The workman withdraws his allegations against the management.

(b) The management by way of good gesture agrees to give the workman some regular job on the surface of the colliery within 15 days from to-day. The job however will not be piece-rated one.

(c) The management shall not retrench him within two years from to-day except for circumstances beyond the control of the management.

(d) The workman shall work subject to all rules, regulations and certified Standing order pertaining to the establishment.

(e) The workman has no other claim whatsoever against the management.

It is therefore prayed that a consent award be made in terms stated above or your Lordship may pass such other order or orders as to your Lordships appear fit and proper.

Dated, August 20, 1969.

(Sd.) S. B. SANYAL,
Authorised Legal Adviser,
Bihar Organisation of Industrial Employers
For Employers.

(Sd.) T K. SANYAL,
Advocate
Authorised by the workmen.

(Sd.) S. K. SINGH,
Supt. (R) Bankola

(Md. Isaque Khan *alias* Mian)
Workmen

[No. 6/21/69-LRII.]

S.O. 3552.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, (No. 3), Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Central Angarpathra Colliery of Messrs Central Angarpathra Colliery Company, Post Office Sijua, District Dhanbad and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 19th August, 1969.

THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 3) AT DHANBAD.

REFERENCE NO. 36 OF 1968

PRESENT:

Shri Sachidanand Sinha, M.A.M.L —Presiding Officer.

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the Central Angarpathra Colliery.

Vs.

Their workmen.

APPEARANCES :

For employers.—Shri R. D. Sachdeva, Owner.
For the workmen.—Sri Prasanta Burman, General Secretary.

INDUSTRY: Coal. STATE: Bihar.

Dhanbad, Dated the 12th of August, 1969.

AWARD

1. The Central Government, being of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Central Angarpathra Colliery of Messrs Central Angarpathra Colliery Company, Post Office Sijua, District Dhanbad and their workmen, by its order No. 2/105/66-LRII dated the 18th of August, 1966 referred to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad under section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication the dispute in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule annexed thereto. The Schedule is extracted below:

SCHEDULE

(1) Whether the management of the Central Angarpathra Colliery of Messrs Central Angarpathra Colliery Company, Post Office Sijua, District Dhanbad, was justified in dismissing Shri Ram Saran Singh, Truck Driver, from service with effect from the 21st February, 1966?. If not, to what relief, is the workman entitled?

(2) Whether the management of the said colliery was justified in transferring Shri S. D. Mishra, Register Clerk, as Loading Clerk with

effect from the 7th February, 1966 and refusing employment to him subsequently with effect from the 10th March, 1966? If not what relief is the workman entitled?

(3) Whether the management of the said colliery was justified in refusing employment to workmen, whose names and designations are detailed below, with effect from the dates mentioned against their respective names? If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?

S.No.	Names of the workmen who have been refused employment	Designation	Date from which refused employment
1	Shri Harkhoo Rajak.	Fitter Mistry	23-3-66
2	Shri Haroo Mahato	General Mazdoor	23-3-66
3	Shri Rambriksha Nunia	Depot worker	14-2-66
4	Shmt. Subhagia Kamin	Do.	14-2-66
5	Shri Hari Charan Saw	Do.	14-2-66
6	,, Nanhak Mahato	Do.	14-2-66
7	,, Sital Bania	Mining Sirdar	5-2-66
8	,, Dwarika Prasad Barai	Attendance Clerk	23-2-66
9	,, Dukhi Mahato	U.G. Trammer	14-2-66
10	,, Mahabir Nunia	Depot worker	21-2-66
11	,, Chanarik Nunia	Do.	Do.
12	,, Raideo Nunia	Do.	Do.
13	,, Shri Sitaram Nunia	Do.	Do.
14	,, Jagdeo Nunia	Do	Do.
15	,, Panwa Kamin	Do.	Do
16	Smt. Koily Kamin	Do.	Do.
17	Smt. Bhagia Kamin	Do.	Do.
18	Smt. Ramrajia Kamin	Do.	Do.
19	Shri Deocharan Bhuian	Miner	24-1-66
20	,, Hari Bhuian	Do	Do.
21	,, Ramdeo Bhuian	Do.	Do.
22	,, Ram Prasad Bhuian	Do.	Do.
23	,, Etwari Bhuian	Do.	Do.
24	,, Chhoten Bhuian	Do.	Do.
25	,, Ram Dhani Bhuian	Do.	Do.
26	,, Deoki Bhuian	Do.	Do.
27	,, Bhagirath Mahato	Do.	31-1-66
28	,, Daulat Mahato	Do.	Do.
29	,, Somar Mahato	Do.	Do.
30	,, Gopal Mahato	Do.	Do.
31	,, Bhatoo Mahato	Do.	Do.
32	,, Prayag Mahato	Trammer	Do.
33	,, Magarm Mahato	Do.	Do.
34	,, M.C. Goswami	Attendance clerk	2-2-66

2. The Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad registered the reference as reference No. 127 of 1966 on its file. While it was pending there the Central Government by its order No. 8/25/67 LRII dated the 8th of May, 1967 transferred the dispute to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 2, Dhanbad where it was registered as reference No. 165 of 1967. The Central Government, by its subsequent order No. 8/71/68-LRII dated the 13th of August, 1968 transferred the dispute to this tribunal and here it has been renumbered as reference No. 36 of 1968.

3. Sri P. Burman, General Secretary, Bihar Koyala Mazdoor Sabha filed written statement on 7th October, 1966, on behalf of the workmen. Sri R. D. Sachdeva, Owner filed the written statement on the 25th of April, 1968 on behalf of the manage nent.

4. The parties negotiated the dispute and have settled it amicably and hence it is unnecessary to state the respective cases of the parties. The parties have filed a joint petition dated 5th August, 1969, on the 12th of August, 1969 to effect that they have amicably settled the dispute and all the concerned workmen have received their full and final payment and that there is no further pending dispute between the parties which needs further adjudication by this Tribunal. This petition dated 5th August, 1969 is duly verified by Shri R. D. Sachdeva, Owner on behalf of the employers and by Sri P. Burman, General Secretary, Bihar Koyala Mazdoor Sabha on behalf of the workmen. Sri R. D Sachdeva, Owner and Sri Prasanta Burman, General Secretary representing the workmen concerned appeared before me in person and they have submitted that the dispute has been amicably settled and that at present no dispute exists between the parties.

5. In these circumstances I find that no dispute exists between the parties and I record a "No DISPUTE" award between the parties. It may now be submitted to the Central Government under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

(Sd.) SACHIDANAND SINHA,
Presiding Officer.

[No. 2/105/68-LRII.]

S.O. 3553.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, (No. 2) Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Jamadoba Colliery of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited, Post Office Jealgora (Dhanbad) and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 21st August, 1969.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 2) AT DHANBAD.

PRESENT :

Shri Nandagiri Venkata Rao.—Presiding Officer.

REFERENCE No. 7 OF 1967

In the matter of an industrial dispute under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the Jamadoba Colliery of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited, Post Office Jealgora (Dhanbad).

AND

Their workmen

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the employers.—Shri L. H. Parvatiyar—Legal Assistant.

On behalf of the workmen.—Shri H. N. Singh, Vice President, Koyala Mazdoor Panchayat.

Dhanbad, the 16th August 1969

STATE: Bihar. INDUSTRY: Coal.

AWARD

The Central Government, being of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Jamadoba Colliery of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited, Post office Jealgora (Dhanbad) and their workmen, by its order No. 2 (50)/67-LRII dated 1st May, 1967, referred to this Tribunal under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication the dispute in respect of the matters specified in the schedule annexed thereto. The schedule is extracted below:

SCHEDULE

"Whether the management of Jamadoba Colliery of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited. Post office Jealgora (Dhanbad) were justified in terminating the lien on the appointment of Shri Bipat, Miner and placing his name in the Badli list with effect from the 14th December, 1966? If not, to what relief is the workmen entitled?"

2. The workmen filed their statement of demands, and the employers also filed their statement of demands cum rejoinder.

3. Shri Bipat (hereinafter referred to as the affected workman) was a miner in Jamadoba colliery of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Co., Ltd., (hereinafter referred to as the employers). He proceeded on granted leave of 21 days from 7th November, 1966 and he was to report to duty on 28th November, 1966. But he did not report on or before the date. He reported at the colliery on 26th December, 1966, when he was told that he had lost his lien on his permanent job as a miner and his name was placed on the badli list with effect from 14th December, 1966. These facts are not in dispute. The case of the workmen is that having gone home

on 7th November, 1966, the affected workman fell sick on 21st November, 1966, and as such he sent a registered letter to the management with a medical certificate and also a certificate from the mukhiya of Gram Panchayat. But the management neither extended the leave nor sent any reply. The affected workman also submitted an application on 28th December, 1966, but no favourable action was taken on it. Hence, the workmen pleaded that forfeitingg the lien of the affected workman on his permanent post was illegal and unjustified. The employers denied that they had received any letter for extension of leave along with the medical and other certificates as contended by the workmen and that they were justified under the Standing Orders in terminating the lien of the affected workman on his permanent post and placing him on the badli list with effect from 14th December, 1966, as per their letter dated 13th/14th December, 1966. The workmen were represented by Shri H. N. Singh, Vice President, Koyala Mazdoor Panchayat and the employers by Shri L. H. Parvatiyar, Legal Assistant. On admission by the employers Exts. W. 1 to W4 for the workmen and on admission by the workmen Exts. M1 and M2 for the employers were marked. On behalf of the workmen the affected workman was examined as WW.1 and Exts. W5 to W7 were marked. For the employers also a witness was examined and Exts. M3 and M4 were marked.

4. It is an admitted case of the parties that the effected workman went on sanctioned leave of 21 days on 7th November, 1966, he was to report to duty on 28th November, 1966, but he did not report to duty on or before 28th November, 1966, or within 8 days of the expiry of the leave. The only fact pleaded by the workmen is that on 21st November, 1966, the affected workman sent a letter by a registered post accompanied by a medical certificate and a certificate from the Mukhiya of the Gram Panchayat for extension of leave. This fact was categorically denied in the statement of the employers in para 9. Thus, the onus was lying on the workmen to prove the fact. WW.1 is the affected workman. His evidence is that on 21st November, 1966, he sent an application for extension of leave to the Manager as well as to the Assistant Manager accompanied by a certificate from the State dispensary and a certificate from the Mukhiya of Gram Panchayet and that the postal receipt for the letter sent by him to the Manager is Ext. W. 5. No postal receipt is either referred to or produced in respect of the alleged letter sent by the affected workman to the Asstt. Manager. No postal acknowledgement is produced for either of the letters. In the cross-examination the affected workman was emphatic in deposing that he had sent both the registered letters to Jamadoba colliery and denied the suggestion that he had not sent any application to Jamadoba Colliery by registered post. Ext. W5 is a postal receipt showing that the registered letter was sent to the Manager, Bhowra Colliery. The date in the postal stamp on the receipt, Ext. W5 also does not appear to be as 21st November, 1966. It appears as 21st November, 1946. Whatever that be, the receipt is in respect of letter sent by a registered post to the Manager, Bhowra colliery and not Jamadoba colliery with which the affected workman was concerned. No other witness viz., the Doctor of the State dispensary or Mukhiya of Gram Panchayat or any other person is examined to show that the affected workman had really sent an application by a registered post for extension of his leave to the Manager of Jamadoba colliery. As shown by Ext. M1, which is an admitted document, the affected workman was granted the leave by the Manager of Jamadoba colliery. Thus, there is no evidence that before the expiry of the leave granted the affected workman had sent any application for extension of leave. Clause 9 of the Standing Orders, Ext. M2 provides that a workman will loose his lien on his appointment in case he does not join his duty within 8 days of the expiry of his leave. As per the clause the question of submission of his explanation for not returning before the expiry of the leave arises only if he returns within 8 days of the expiry of the leave with the explanation and his return after 8 days of the expiry of the leave and submission of explanation is beyond the point and has no relevancy. Under similar circumstances the Supreme Court has pointed out in National Engineering Industries Ltd., Jaipur —Vs.—Hanuman (1967-II-L.L.J 383) "where therefore a Standing Order provides that a workman would loose his lien on his appointment, if he does not join his duty within certain time after his leave expires, which can only mean that his service stands automatically terminated when the contingency happens". In this view the alleged application of the affected workman dated 28th December, 1966 or his offer of explanation with it were belated. When the termination of the lien is automatic non receipt of the dismissal letter Ext M3 by the affected workman is also of no consequences. The employers had taken the plea regarding sustainability of the reference contending that it was not espoused by a representative union. But this point was not pressed by the employers at the time of arguments.

5. I, therefore, hold that the management of Jamadoba colliery of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Co., Ltd., P.O. Jealgora (Dhanbad) were justified in terminating the lien on the appointment of the affected workman, Shri Bipat, Miner and placing his name in the badli list with effect from the 14th December, 1966, and consequently, he is not entitled to any relief. The award is made accordingly and submitted under Section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Sd./- N. VENKATA RAO,
Presiding Officer,
Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal No. 2, Dhanbad.

[No. 2/50/67-LRII.]

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 20th August 1969

S.O. 3554.—Whereas an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Bhurkunda Colliery of National Coal Development Corporation Limited, Post Bhurkunda, District Hazaribagh (Bihar) and their workmen represented by the Coal Workers' Union, Bhurkunda Branch, Post Bhurkunda, District Hazaribagh (Bihar);

And whereas the said employers and their workmen have by a written agreement, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), referred the said dispute to arbitration by the person specified therein, and a copy of the said arbitration agreement has been forwarded to the Central Government;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (3) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the said arbitration agreement which was received by it on the 25th July, 1969.

FORM 'C'

Agreement

(Under Section 10-A of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947)

NAME OF PARTIES:

Representing Employer—Dy Supdt. of Collieries,/Agent Bhurkunda Colliery, N.C.D.C.

Representing Workman—Secretary, Coal Workers' Union Bhurkunda Branch.

It is hereby agreed between the parties to refer the following industrial dispute to the arbitration of Sri O. Venkatachalam, Chief Labour Commissioner (Central), Shram Shakti Bhavan, Rafi Marg, New Delhi.

(i) Specific matters in dispute:	As per enclosure.
(ii) Details of the parties to the dispute including the name and address of the establishment or undertaking involved:	Dy Supdt. of Collieries, Bhurkunda, N.C.D.C. & Coal Workers' Union, Bhurkunda.
Establishment:	Bhurkunda Colliery P.O. Hazaribagh (Bihar).
(iii) Name of the Union, if any, representing the workmen in question:	Coal Workers' Union (AITUC) P.O. Bhurkunda, Dist. Hazaribagh.
(iv) Total number of workmen employed in the undertaking affected.	4,200
(v) Estimated number of workmen affected or likely to be affected by the dispute:	1,200

We further agree that the decision of the Arbitrator shall be binding on us.

The Arbitrator shall make his award within a period of four months or within such further time as is extended by mutual agreement between us in writing. In

case the award is not made within the period aforementioned, the reference to arbitration shall stand automatically cancelled and we shall be free to negotiate for fresh arbitration.

Witnesses:

(1) Sd/- Illegible.

(2) (Sd.) Illegible,

Signature of the Parties
Representing employer
(Sd.) Illegible,
Dy. Supdt. of Collieries./Agent N.C.-D.C. Bhurkunda Colliery.

(Sd.) Illegible.
8/9/69.

Representing workers
Secretary, Coal Workers Union, Bhurkunda Branch.

Enclosure

Following a strike of Miners (Machine Cut Coal Loaders) in Bhurkunda Colliery with effect from the first shift of 25th December, 1967, led by Coal Workers Union, Bhurkunda (A.I.T.U.C.), following issues, arising out of the implementation of the Wage Board recommendations as accepted by the Govt. of India could not be settled by mutual discussions and they were referred to the arbitration of Sri Salim M. Merchant, Ex. Chairman, Wage Board, for the Coal Mining Industries, Bombay, by an agreement between the parties on 28th December 1967.

1. Whether Tub-pushing allowance paid to the Miners should be included as an earning for the purpose of working out the fall-back wage payable as a result of weekly review.

2. How should the days of 'lay off' and the compensation paid for those days be accounted in the weekly review for the purpose of fall-back wages in terms of Coal Wage Board recommendations.

3. If a Minor stays at the working place throughout the shift and is not provided any work how will the day be regarded and his wages for the day regulated taking into account the provisions of lay off under the I.D. Act and the 'fall back wages' under the Wage Board Recommendations accepted by the Government.

Sri Salim M. Merchant communicated his acceptance to act as an Arbitrator and also sent his terms for Arbitration regarding his fee etc. Accordingly, the Coal Workers' Union was requested to share the cost of the Arbitration on 29th January 1968 to which they did not agree. The matter was further discussed between the Management and the Coal Workers' Union and it has now been agreed by both the parties to refer the above issues for the Arbitration of Sri O. Venkatachalam, Chief Labour Commissioner (Central) Shram Shakti Bhawan, Rafi Marg, New Delhi and it is presumed that he would not charge any Arbitrator's fees and the question of sharing the expenses would not arise.

Signature of the Parties
Representing Employer:
(Sd.) Illegible
Dy. Superintendent of Collieries/Agent
Bhurkunda Colliery.

(Sd.) Illegible.
8/7/69

Representing workmen:
Coal Worker's Union

Dated, 8th July 1969.

[No. 2/1/68-LRII.]

New Delhi, the 23rd August 1969

S.O. 3555.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Chora No. 7 and 9 Pits Colliery, Post Office Chora, District Burdwan and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the management of Chora No. 7 and 9 Pits Colliery, Post Office Chora, District Burdwan was justified in dismissing Shri Munnaka Rajbhar, Loader with effect from 14th January, 1969, and if not, to what relief he is entitled?"

[No. 6/17/69-LRII.]

S.O. 3556.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Messrs Shaw Wallace and Company Limited, Post Office Parasia, District Chhindwara (Madhya Pradesh) and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the action of the management of Messrs Shaw Wallace and Company Limited, Parasia, in dismissing the workman Shri Mahadeo ex-Hookman, Rawanwara Colliery with effect from the 20th June, 1967, is justified?

If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?

[No. 5/3/69-LRII.]

S.O. 3557.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the New Sinidih Colliery of Messrs Bamandiha Coal Company Limited, Post Office Kharkharee District Dhanbad and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it dsirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, (No. 3) Dhanbad constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the management of New Sinidih Colliery of Messrs Bamandiha Coal Company Limited, Post Office Kharkharee, District Dhanbad, was justified in refusing work to Shri Jai Mangal Singh, Overman, with effect from the 16th June 1968? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

[No. 2(56)/69-LRII.]

S.O. 3558.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial disput exists between the employers in relation to the management of Albion Colliery Post Office Karmatand, District Dhanbad and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed ;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*d*) of sub-section(1) of the Section 10 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal (No. 3) Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the management of Albion Colliery, Post Office Marmatand, District Dhanbad was justified in stopping a the 40 workmen from work whose names and designations are shown below with effect from the 1st December, 1967.

Sl. No.	Name	Designation
1.	May Das	Miner
2.	Sitaram	,,
3.	Dumi Das	,,
4.	Joidha	,,
5.	Jitu Ram	,,
6.	Monglu	,,
7.	Sewa Ram	,,
8.	Ghasia	,,
9.	Sonaram	,,
10.	Pujari	,,
11.	Bishuha	,,
12.	Kodu Ram	,,
13.	Lal Ram	,,
14.	Guha Ram	,,
15.	Awadh Das	,,
16.	Shyam Lal	,,
17.	Ram Lal	,,
18.	Lal Das	,,
19.	Sona Das	,,
20.	Chamru	,,
21.	Jan Bai	Kamin
22.	Nan Bai	,,
23.	Nani Bai	,,
24.	Sabitri	,,
25.	Sona Bai	,,
26.	Binda Bai	,,
27.	Bysaikhin	,,
28.	Jan Bai	,,
29.	Barindo Bai	,,
30.	Phulwatia	,,
31.	Bhaiti Bai	,,
32.	Basanta Bai	,,
33.	Ram Bai	,,
34.	Teja Bai	,,
35.	Mikri Bai	,,
36.	Dhani Bai	,,
37.	Mahatrin	,,
38.	Meghai Bai	,,
39.	Laxmi Bai	,,
40.	Keja Bai	,,

If not, to what relief are they entitled ?"

[No. 1(6)/69-LRII].

New Delhi, the 26th August, 1969

S.O. 3559.—Whereas the Central Government is of the opinion that an industrial disputes exists between the employers in relation to the Sarpi Kajora Colliery, P.O. Ukhra, District Burdwan and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal Calcutta, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the dismissal of the following workmen by the management of the Sarpi Kajora Colliery with effect from the dates shown against them was legal and justified? If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled:—

Name of the workmen	Date of dismissal.
1. Shri Kharafatu Harijan, Loader,	26-8-1966.
2. Shri Munshi Bhuiya, Loader	26-8-1966.
3. Shri Nagina Harijan, Loader	26-8-1966
4. Shri Baiju Ram Kahar, S/Trammer	25-8-1966.
5. Shri Lachman Ram Kahar, S/Trammer	25-8-1966.
6. Shri Rama Bhuiya, S/Trammer	25-8-1966"

[No. 6/110/66-LR II.]

New Delhi, the 27th August 1969

S.O. 3560.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Ghatkuri Iron Ore Mines of Messrs Rungta Mines (Private) Limited and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal with Shri Udayanath Mishra, with headquarters at Bhubaneshwar and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Industrial Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the management of Ghatkuri Iron Ore Mines of Messrs Rungta Mines (Private) Limited are justified in terminating the services of the following workmen with effect from 1st January, 1969:—

(1) Durua Purty Munda—Mining Mate.

(2) Lawrence Michiari—Mining Mate.

(3) Abhiram Sinku—Mining Mate.

(4) Rangsho Hessa—Mining Mate.

(5) Ram Nath Goswamy—Mining Mate.

(6) G. N. Gope—Mining Mate.

(7) Rupus Samad—Blaster.

If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?"

[No. 37/12/69-LR IV

S.O. 3561.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Kendra Colliery of Messrs Jaipuria Samla Amalgamated Collieries Limited, Post Office Pandaveshwar, District Burdwan and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the management of Kendra Colliery of Messrs Jaipuria Samla Amalgamated collieries Limited, Post Office Pandaveshwar, District Burdwan was justified in dismissing Shri Nil Ratan Sarkar, Assistant Store Keeper from his service from 6th February 1968? If not, to what relief he is entitled?"

[No. 6/50/69-LRII.]

S.O. 3562.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Victoria West Colliery of Messrs Bengal Coal Company Limited, Post Office Dishergarh, District Burdwan and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed,

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the management of Victoria West Colliery of Messrs Bengal Coal Company Limited, Post Office Dishergarh, District Burdwan, was justified in refusing payment of profit sharing bonus for the years 1965 and 1966 to Sarvashri Dasrath Pasman, Moti Nunia, Amrit Pasman and Pir Mohammed, Sirdars? If not, to what relief are these workmen entitled?"

[No. 6/39/68-LRII.]

S.O. 3563.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Singareni Collieries Company Limited, Post Office Kothagudium Collieries (Andhra Pradesh) and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas, the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (14 of 1947) the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal with Shri Mohammad Najmuddin, as Presiding Officer with headquarters at Afzal Lodge, Tilak Road, Ramkote, Hyderabad-1, and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Industrial Tribunal

SCHEDULE

"Having regard to the recommendations of the Central Wage Board for the Coal Mining Industry prescribed for the Technical/Supervisory staff of the Engineering Department under Chapter VIII, whether the management of Singareni Collieries Company Limited, Kothagudium, is justified in allotting Sri M. A. Hannan, Junior Charge-hand, Sand Gathering Station, Kothagudium Division in Grade 'D' of Rs. 205—337. If not, to what relief the workman is entitled and from what date."

[No. 7/6/69-LRII.]

New Delhi, the 28th August 1969

S.O. 3564.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Digwadih Colliery of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited Post Office Jealgora, District Dhanbad and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, (3) Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of Digwadih Colliery of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited, in suspending Shri Budhar Mistry, Mechanical Fitter with effect from the 8th July, 1968 *vide* management's letter No. Dig/15D-68/3602 dated 25-6/1-7-1968 is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?

[No. 2/232/68-LRII.]

P C. MISRA, Under Secy.

(Department of Labour and Employment)

ORDER

New Delhi, the 25th August 1969

S.O. 3565.—Whereas an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Calcutta Licensed Measurers and their workmen represented by the Calcutta Port and Dock Workers Union and the National Union of Waterfront Workers,

And, whereas the said employers and their workmen, by a written agreement under Sub-section (1) of Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), agreed to refer the said dispute to arbitration and have forwarded to the Central Government, under Sub-Section (3) of Section 10A of the said Act, a copy of the said arbitration agreement;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of Sub-Section (3) of Section 10A of the said Act, the Central Government hereby publishes the said arbitration agreement which was received by it, on the 25th July, 1969.

Agreement under Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947

1947.

BETWEEN

Name of the Parties	Representing Employers :—
	Calcutta Licensed Measurers.
Representing Workmen	(1) Calcutta Port and Dock Workers' Union,
	(2) National Union of Waterfront Workers.
Representing Employers	(1) Mr. K. K. Mitra, Secretary, Calcutta Licensed Measurers.
	(2) Mr. M. Ghose, Labour Adviser, Bengal Chamber of Commerce and Industry.
Representing Workmen	(1) Mr. D. K. Ganguly, Joint Secretary, Calcutta Port and Dock Workers' Union.
	(2) Mr. Janaki Mukherjee, Joint General Secretary, National Union of Waterfront Workers.

It is hereby agreed between the parties to refer the following dispute to the arbitration of Sri B. N. Banerjee, (Retd. Judge, Calcutta High Court), Presiding Officer, Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, 20, British Indian Street, Calcutta :—

(1) Whether the demands for the revision with effect from 1st October, 1969 of the existing :—

(i) Scale of wages,

(ii) Dearness Allowances

(iii) Rates for extra-shift bookings, Sunday/Holiday bookings, and work beyond scheduled hours of work,

(iv) House Rent Allowance,

(v) City Compensatory Allowance, and

(vi) Khoraki Allowance,

of the Measuring Porters and Gearmen are justified?

If so, what should be the revised scale of wages and other allowances mentioned above?

(2) (i) Details of the parties to the dispute including the name and address of the establishment or undertaking involved :—

Measuring Porters and Gearmen of the Calcutta Licensed Measurers, P-78, Garden Reach Road, Calcutta-43.

(ii) Name of the workmen in case he himself is involved in the dispute or the name of the Union, if any, representing the workman or workmen in question :—

Name of Unions

(a) Calcutta Port and Dock Workers' Union 27/B, Circular Garden Reach Road, Calcutta-23.

(b) National Union of Waterfront Workers, 10, Mohan Chand Road, Calcutta-23.

(iii) Total number of 640 workmen employed in the undertaking affected.

(iv) Estimated number of workmen affected or likely to be affected by the dispute=375.

The Arbitrator(s) shall make his award within a period.....(here specify the period agreed upon by the parties), or within such further time as is extended by mutual agreement between us in writing. In case the award is not made within the period aforementioned, the reference to arbitration shall stand automatically cancelled and we shall be free to negotiate for fresh arbitration

Signature of the Parties :—

Representating Employees

Representative of Employers

1. (Sd./-) K. K. MITRA.
2.

1. (Sd./-) D. K. GANGULY.

2 (Sd./-) J. MUKHERJEE.

Witnesses :—

1.

2.

[No. 28/3/69-LWI-III.]

C. RAMDAS, Dy. Secy.

(Department of Rehabilitation)

(Office of the Regional Settlement Commissioner, Rajasthan, Fateh Tiba)

ORDERS

Jaipur, the 23rd August 1969

S.O. 3566.—In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 34 of the (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954, I hereby delegate my powers of determining under Section 7(3)/*ibid* the net compensation payable to the claimants to Shri N. B. Gorwaney, Settlement Officer and also authorise him under Rule 15 of Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Rules, 1955 to prepare summaries in Appendix VII and to sign the payment orders thereon with effect from 1st May, 1969.

[No. RSCR/ASO(Admn)/1(32)/Policy/12378.]

S.O. 3567.—In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 34 of the (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954, I hereby delegate my powers of determining under Section 7(3) *ibid* the net compensation payable to the claimants to Shri U. S. Srivastava, Asstt. Settlement Officer and also authorise him under Rule 15 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Rules, 1955 to prepare summaries in Appendix VII and sign the payment orders thereon with effect from 1st May, 1969.

[No. RSCR/AO(Admn)/1(32)/67/Policy/13390.]

RATTAN SINGH, Asstt. Settlement Commissioner
I/C., M.P. & Rajasthan, Jaipur

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Economic Affairs)

New Delhi the 27th August 1969

S.O 3568.—Statement of the Affairs of the Reserve Bank of India, as on the 22nd August 1969.

BANKING DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs
Capital paid-up	5,00,00,000	Notes	40,59,11,000
Reserve Fund	150,00,00,000	Rupee Coin	2,47,000
National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund	155,00,00,000	Small Coin	4,88,000
		Bills Purchased and Discounted :—	
National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund	35,00,00,000	(*a*) Internal	..
		(*b*) External	..
National Industrial Credit (Long-Term Operations) Fund	75,00,00,000	(*c*) Government Treasury Bills	175,37,94,000
		Balances Held Abroad*	174,01,68,000
		Investments**	146,94,02,000
Deposits :—		Loans and advances to :—	
(*a*) Government		(*i*) Central Government	
(*i*) Central Government	51,02,16,00	(*ii*) State Governments	42,39,95,000

LIABILITIES		ASSETS	
(*ii*) State Governments	10,22,27,000	Loans and Advances to :—	
(*b*) Banks		(*i*) Scheduled Commercial Banks†	71,04,95,000
		(*ii*) State Co-operative Banks††	227,66,80,000
(*i*) Scheduled Commercial Banks . .	197,36,13,000	(*iii*) Others . . .	1,80,08,000
(*ii*) Scheduled State Co-operative Banks .	11,09,24,000	Loans, Advances and Investments from National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund—	
(*iii*) Non-Scheduled State Co-operative Banks	57,50,000	(*a*) Loans and Advances to :—	
(*iv*) Other Banks	29,94,000	(*i*) State Governments . .	31,46,88,000
(*c*) Others	232,00,33,000	(*ii*) State Co-operative Banks . .	16,20,23,000
		(*iii*) Central Land Mortgage Banks	..
Bills Payable	28,97,77,000	(*b*) Investment in Central Land Mortgage Bank Debentures . . .	8,96,93,000
Other Liabilities	29,89,74,000	Loans & Advances from National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund —	
		Loans and Advances to State Co-operative Banks .	5,58,50,000
		Loans, Advances and Investments from National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund—	
		(*a*) Loans and Advances to the Development Bank	6,26,71,000
		(*b*) Investment in bonds/debentures issued by the Development Bank .	
		Other Assets .	33,03,95,000
Rupees	981,45,08,000	Rupees .	981,45,08,000

*Includes Cash, Fixed Deposits and Short-term Securities.

** Excluding Investments from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund.

@Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund, but including temporary overdrafts to State Governments.

†Includes Rs. 25,60,00,000 advanced to scheduled commercial banks against usance bills under Section 17(4)(*c*) of the Reserve Bank of India Act.

††Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund.

Dated the 27th day of August, 1969

An Account pursuant to the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934 for the week ended the 22nd day of August 1969.

ISSUE DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES	Rs.	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.	Rs.
Notes held in the Banking Department	40,59,11,000		Gold Coin and Bullion :—		
			(a) Held in India	182,53,11,000	
			(b) Held outside India	..	
			Foreign Securities	200,88,70,000	
Notes in circulation	3498,33,11,000		TOTAL		383,41,81,000
			Rupee Coin		72,74,70,000
Total Notes issued		3538,92,22,000	Government of India Rupee Securities		3082,75,71,000
			Internal Bills of Exchange and other Commercial Paper		
Total Liabilities		3538,92,22,000	Total Assets		3538,92,22,000

Dated the 27th day of August 1969.

L. K. JHA,
Governor.

[No. F. 3(3)-BC/69]

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 26th August 1969

S.O. 3569.—Whereas the Central Government is satisfied that nothing further remains to be done in order to wind up the affairs of the Champakulam Catholic Bank Ltd.;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (11) of section 38 of the State Bank of India (Subsidiary Banks) Act, 1959 (38 of 1959), the Central Government hereby directs that on and from the 1st day of September, 1969, the said Champakulam Catholic Bank Ltd. shall stand dissolved.

[No. F. 4/13/69-SB.]

S.O. 3570.—Whereas the Central Government is satisfied that nothing further remains to be done in order to wind up the affairs of the Bank of Alwaye Ltd.;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (11) of section 38 of the State Bank of India (Subsidiary Banks) Act, 1959 (38 of 1959), the Central Government hereby directs that on and from the 1st day of September, 1969, the said Bank of Alwaye Ltd. shall stand dissolved.

[No. F. 4/14/67-SB.]

K. YESURATNAM, Under Secy.

वित्त मंत्रालय

(अर्थ विभाग)

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 26 अगस्त, 1969

एस० ओ० 3571.—चूंकि केन्द्रीय सरकार को इस बात का सन्तोष हो गया है कि चम्पाकुलम केथौलिक बैंक लिमिटेड के कार्यों के समापन के लिये अब कुछ और करना बाकी नहीं रहा है;

इसलिये अब भारतीय राज्य बैंक (सहायक बैंक) अधिनियम, 1959 (1959 के 38 वें अधिनियम) की धारा 38 की उप-धारा (II) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा यह निदेश देती है कि 1 सितम्बर, 1969 को और उस तारीख से उक्त चम्पाकुलम केथौलिक बैंक लिमिटेड का विघटन हो जायेगा ।

[संख्या एफ० 4/13/69—एस० बी०]

एस० ओ० 3572.—चूंकि केन्द्रीय सरकार को इस बात का सन्तोष हो गया है कि बैंक आफ आलवे लिमिटेड के कार्यों के समापन के लिये अब कुछ और करना बाकी नहीं रहा है ;

इसलिये अब भारतीय राज्य बैंक (सहायक बैंक) अधिनियम, 1959 (1959 के 38 वें अधिनियम) की धारा 38 की उपधारा (II) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुये, केन्द्रीय सरकार

एतद्द्वारा यह निदेश देती है कि 1 सितम्बर 1969 को और उस तारीख से उक्त बैंक आफ आलव लिमिटेड का विघटन हो जायेगा ।

[संख्या एफ० 4/14/67—एस० बी०]

के० येसुरत्नम,
अनु-सचिव, भारत सरकार

(Department of Revenue and Insurance)

New Delhi the 19th August 1969

S.O. 3573.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 101-B of the Insurance Act, 1938 (4 of 1938), and in supersession of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Finance, (Department of Economic Affairs) No. S.O. 1092, dated the 10th May, 1961, the Central Government hereby constitutes an Advisory Committee for the purposes of section 101-A of the said Act, consisting of the following persons, namely:—

1. Shri C. S. Anantapadmanabhan, Director (Insurance), Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue and Insurance, New Delhi.

2. Shri R. M. Desai, General Manager, India Reinsurance Corporation Ltd., Industrial Assurance Building, Churchgate, Bombay 20 (BR).

3. Shri G. V. Jannah, General Manager, Indian Guarantee and General Insurance Company Ltd., Gresham Assurance House, Sir P. M. Road, Bombay.

4. Shri H. D. R. Edwards, Royal Exchange Assurance, P.O. Box No. 357, 6, Lyons Range, Calcutta-1.

5. Shri C. M. Tellvala, Indian Mercantile Insurance Co. Ltd. Indian Mercantile Chambers, Nicol Road, Ballard Estate, Bombay-1.

2. Shri C. S. Anantapadmanabhan shall be the Chairman of the Advisory Committee

[No. F.51(18)-INS.I/69-I.]

S.O. 3574.—In exercise of the powers conferred by rule 36 of the Insurance Rules, 1939 and in supersession of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Finance, (Department of Economic Affairs) No. S.O. 1093 dated the 10th May, 1961, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri S. R. Kumrai, Assistant Controller of Insurance, Simla to act as Secretary of the Advisory Committee constituted under the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Finance of even number and date.

[No. F.51(18)-INS.I/69-II.]

RAJ K. NIGAM, Dy. Secy.

(राजस्व और बीमा विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 19 अगस्त 1969

का० आ० 3575.—बीमा अधिनियम, 1938 (1938 का 4) की धारा 101-ख की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और भारत सरकार के वित्त मंत्रालय (आर्थिक कार्य विभाग) की अधिसूचना संख्या का० आ० 1092 तारीख 10 मई, 1961 को अधिक्रान्त करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 101-क के प्रयोजनों के लिए एक सलाहकार समिति एतद्द्वारा गठित करती है जिसमें निम्नलिखित व्यक्ति हैं, अर्थात् :—

1. श्री सी० एस० अनन्तपद्म नामन, निदेशक (बीमा), वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व और बीमा विभाग, नई दिल्ली ।

2. श्री आर० एम० वेसाई, महाप्रबन्धक, इंडिया रीइंश्योरेन्स कारपोरेशन, लिमिटेड, इंडस्ट्रियल एश्योरेन्स बिल्डिंग, चर्च गेट, बम्बई 20 (बी आर०)

3. श्री जी० वी० जाम्नाह, महाप्रबन्धक, इंडियन गारंटी एण्ड जनरल इन्श्योरेन्स कम्पनी लिमिटेड, ग्रेशम एश्योरेन्स हाउस, सर पी० एम० रोड, बम्बई

4. श्री एच० डी० आर० एडवर्डस, रायल एक्सचेंज एश्योरेन्स, पोस्ट आफिस बाक्स सं० 357, 6 त्योन्स रेंज, कलकत्ता—1

5. श्री सी० एम० तेलीवाला, इंडियन मर्केन्टाइल इन्श्योरेन्स कम्पनी लिमिटेड, इंडियन मर्केन्टाइल चैम्बर्स, निकल रोड, बलार्ड, एस्टेट, बम्बई—1

2. श्री सी० एम० अनन्त मनाइस सलाहकार समिति के अध्यक्ष होंगे ।

[सं० फा० 51(18) बीमा—1/69—II.]

का० आ० 3576—बीमा नियम, 1939 के नियम 36 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और भारत सरकार के वित्त मंत्रालय (आर्थिक कार्य विभाग) की अधिसूचना संख्या का० आ० 1093 तारीख 10 मई, 1961 को अधिक्रान्त करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार श्री एस० आर० कुभराय, बीमा सहायक-नियंत्रक, शिमला, को भारत सरकार के वित्त मंत्रालय के समसंख्यांक और तारीख वाली अधिसूचना के अधीन गठित सलाहकार समिति के सचिव के रूप में कार्य करने के लिए एतद्द्वारा नियक्त करती है ।

[सं० फा० 51(18) बीमा 1/69 II.]

राज के० निगम, उप सचिव ।

CENTRAL EXCISE COLLECTORATE, DELHI

New Delhi, the 14th August 1969

S.O. 3577.—In partial modification of the Notification issued under this office C. No. V(a) 15/9/Int/68 dated 1st November, 1968, it is notified that the growers cultivating tobacco in plots measuring 12 ares or less in area shall be exempted from the requirements of the provisions of Rule 15 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944 and curers curing a quantity of unmanufactured tobacco not exceeding 60 (sixty) kgs. shall not be required to declare the cured produce under provisions of Rule 16 ibid. The exemptions under Rule 15 and 16 are thus independent of each other and shall be read accordingly.

[C. No. V(a)15/9/Int/68.]

C. L. NANGIA,
Deputy Collector.

Customs & Central Excise, New Delhi.

THE MADRAS CENTRAL EXCISE COLLECTORATE : MADRAS.

Madras the 18th August 1969

S.O.3578 —In supersession of the notification issued in this office C. No. IV/16/122/67-CE. Pol dated 25-10-60 and in exercise of the powers conferred on me under the second provisos to Rules 15 and 16 of the Central Excise Rules 1944 as amended by the Government of India Ministry of Finance Notification No. 122/68 dated 25-5-68 I hereby declare the tobacco growing areas specified in column 4 of the appended statement as sparse growing areas. The extent of tobacco cultivation within these specified sparse growing areas upto which individual growers need not furnish their declarations under Rule 15 of Central Excise Rules 1944 is indicated in Column 5 and the limit upto which individual curers in these specified sparse areas need not furnish their declarations under rule 16 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944 is indicated in colt mn 6 of the statement appended.

Statement showing the sparse Tobacco growing area in the Madras Central Excise Collectorate.

Sl. No.	Name of the Division/ Revenue jurisdiction of the Range/Circle/ Range	Division	Area in terms of revenue jurisdiction to be declared as sparse growing areas	Extent of tobacco cultivation in the areas specified in Column 4 upto which individual growers need not declare the cultivation as per second proviso to Rule 15 of Central Excise Rules 1944	Limits upto which individual curers in the areas in Column 4 need not declare their produce as per the Second provisos to Rule 16 of Central Excise Rules, 1944	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Madras Division II.	The whole of North Arcot District (i) Chengam Range (whole of Chengam revenue Taluk excluding Thanipaid and Tandrambut revenue firka).	(Villages in Chengam Range) 1. Whole of Pudupalayam Firka. Comprising of the following 26 villages.	5 ares	30 Kg.	

1. Nayampadi
2. Endal
3. Mashan
4. Kallarpadi
5. Kanji
6. Authanmangalam
7. Pudupathu
8. Korathanpattu
9. C. Gangampet
10. Kilpudur
11. Voividanthangal
12. Melpadur
13. Padiagragaram
14. Alliandal
15. Nandimangalam
16. Panaiolnppadi
17. Alathur
18. Japthikanyandal
19. Oravandaravadi
20. Dhamarapakkam
21. Kakarasanpalayam
22. Pudupalayam
23. Unnamalaipalayam
24. Periavi
25. Karapet.
26. Veeranandal.

Whole of Eraiyur Firka comprising of 34 villages.

1. Mamalai
2. Se. Nachipet
3. Thathapettai
4. Porasapathi . . . . . 5 ares 30 Kgs.
5. Arathonadi
6. Talayuthu
7. Ariyakumgu
8. Panjyur
9. Kariamangalam
10. Melpannathur
11. Sorpanandal
12. Vehinalaikuppam
13. Se. Agaram
14. Aswanagasuvanai

1	2	3	4	5	6
			15. Perumbakkam 16. M. Nachipet 17. Sendamangalam 18. Kothakulam 19. Vasudevanpatti 20. Melapunjai 21. Annandal 22. Muthanur 23. Melmalainur 24. Visnavanur 25. Packal 26. Nallur 27. Thorappadi 28. Narasinganallur 29. Gulalvadi 30. Nathavadi 31. Kolapadi 32. Eraiyur 33. Beemandal 34. Kamnakurikai		
			Whole of Hile villages numbering 14. 1. Palpanjamarathur 2. Puliyur 3. Melthathapatti 4. Kilthathapatti 5. Nellinoy 6. Erukkampatti 7. Athipat 8. Perumatham 9. Kilapattu 10. Chinnakilpattu 11. Melpattu 12. Vannamguttai 13. Palamarathur 14. Melsilambadi.	12 ares	60 Kgs.
	Arni Range comprising of Arni Taluk. Chyyar Taluk, Wandiwash Taluk, Polur Taluk and Tirumi and Kalavai Firka of Wallajah Taluk and Tirunjapuram Firka of Tiruvannamalai Taluk.		Whole of area as indicated in Column 3(i.e.) the whole of Arni Range.	12 ares	60 Kgs.

		Tandrampet Range comprising of Tandrambut and Thanipadi Firkas of Chengam Taluk.	Entire Thanipadi Firka of Chengam Taluk except the villages, Mothakkal, Chinniampet, Thanipadi, Andapet, Bondai, Royandapuram, Thandanur Olagalpadi, Perungalathur Vaghanachanur, Malaimamjanur, Vepoor and velur.	12 ares	60 Kgs.
		Tiruvannamalai Range (whole of Tiruvannamalai Revenue Taluk except Thurinjapuram Firka.	1. Tiruvannamalai Tirka except Tiruvannamalai Town 2. Vavaiyur Firka except Andampallam and Madurainpet villages 3. Kilpennathur Firka except Valuthalangunam village.	12 ares	60 Kgs.
		Gudiyatham MOR (The whole of Gudiyatham Taluk and a part of Vellore Taluk in North Arcot Dist.	Perrycorm village and its hamlet. Puthureripalli village of and its hamlets Gudiyatham East Firka of gudiyatham Taluk.	12 ares	60 Kgs.
2	Salem Division Thammampatty MOR	Whole of revenue taluk of Attur excluding the whole of Thalaivasal Pethanaiyakampalayam Ethapur Firkas and Portion of Attur Firka	Whole of Veeragunur Firka except the village of Odayathur in Veeraganur Firka in Salem District.	12 ares	67 Kgs.
	Namakkal	Portion of Namakkal Taluk	Namakkal. Puduchatram. Sendannangalam. Erummaipatti Firkas in Salem District.	12 ares	60 Kgs.
	Rasipuram	Rasipurm Taluk in Salem Division.	Margalapuram Firka, Nanagiripet Firka of Rasipura Taluk, and Rasipuram Firka except Gurusamipalayam and K. Komarapalayam h/o Pillanallur village and Korulikupuram and Ponkuruchi villages of Rasipuram Firkas in Salem District where tobacco is grown in commercial basis.	12 ares	60 Kgs.
	Dharmapuri	Whole of Dharampuri Taluk	Dharmapuri Taluk in Dharmapuri District.	12 arees	60 Kgs.
	Velur	Mohanur Paramathi, Kabilarmalai Firkas of Namakkal Taluk.	Mohanur, Paramathi Kabilarmalai Firkas in Salam District	12 arees	60 Kgs.
	Krishnagiri	Krishnagiri Taluk except Santhur and Mafur Firkas.	Krishnagiri Taluk except Santhur and Matur Firkas in Dharmapuri District.	10 arees	50 Kgs.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	Uthangarai	The whole of Uthangarai Sub. Taluk consisting of 4 Firkas, viz. Uthangarai. Kallavi, Mathur and Santhur.	The whole of Uthangarai Sub Taluk consisting of 4 revenue firkas viz. Uthangarai, Kallavi, Mathur and Santhur in the Dharmapuri District.	12 ares	60 Kgs.	
	Harur	Entire Harur Taluk §	Entire Harur Taluk in Dharmapuri District	10 ares	60 Kgs.	
	Hosur	Hosur Taluk and Denkanikottai Taluk of Dharmapuri District.	Hosur and Denkanikittai Taluk of Dharmapuri Distt.	10 ares	50 Kgs.	
	Tirnchengodu	Thiruchengodu Ellachipalayam Sankari Durg. Thevoor Firkas in the Trinchengodu Taluk.	Molasai, Koothanpoondi. Musiri Kolandondai, Marur and Aravasipatti villages of Tiruchengodu Taluk in Salem District.	12 ares	60 Kgs.	
	Komarapalayam	Pallipalayam Revenue Firka in Tirnchengodu Taluk of Salem District.	Elandakuttai. Komarapalayam Thathankuttai, Kodachanallur, Samayasargili. Pallakkapalayam, Kaliyanoor, Pallipalayam Padaveedu, Sowdapuram, Kokkardeynpettai, Pappampalayam, Pudupalayam, Odapalli villages of Thirnchengodu Taluk in Salem District.	12 ares	60 Kgs.	
	Idapaddi	Omalur Taluk, Kadayampatti Firka.	Five villages in Kadayampattti Firka of Salem District viz. Kethumarikar patti, Pudur, Kamavaripudur. Vippilai. Sikkarapatti and Pelapatti, Kombai.	12 ares	40 Kgs.	
	Attur	Part of Attur Firka (i.e.) Seelaimpatti, Mallikkarai Chokanathapuram. Thandavarayapuram. Narasingapuram. Attur, Appammasamundram Thulukanur, Kallanatham villages.	Kalpaganoor, Kathambadi and Ramanaickanpalayam Firka of Attur Taluk.	12 ares	60 Kgs.	
		Whole of Thalaivasal Firka and part of pethanayakampalayam Firka (ie) Kalpaganur, Kothambadi and Ramanickanpalaam.	Malaikarai Chokanathapuram. Thandavarayapuram. Narasingapuram. Attur. Ammapalayam, Appamasundram, Thulukanur, Malliwod and Keelanatham villages of Attur Firka of Attur Taluk Salem District. Whole of Thalaivasal Firka except Siruvachur and Varagur villages in Salem District.	12 ares	60 Kgs.	

Pondicherry Division Panruti	Revenue Firkas of Panruti, Kadambuliyoor and Kurunjipadi and 4 villages in Nellikupam Firka of Cuddalore Taluk South Arcot District.	K. Namarkuppam, Mettukuppam, (Portion of the village coming under the revenue firka of Kurungipadi) Karunguzhi, Abathanapuram, Parathipuram, Vadthalampatti chatram village located in Kurinjipadi Firka of Cuddalore Taluk of South Arcot district.	12 ares	60 Kgs.
Pondicherry Cuddalore	Cuddalore O.T. Manjakuppam Kullanchavadi Nellikuppam Firkas except Emmedu, Pallanarayanatham, Palur, and Sanniyasipettai villages of Cuddalore Taluk South Arcot District.	As in column 3.	12 ares	60 Kgs.
Pondicherry Tindivanam	Tindivanam Taluk	Tindivanam Taluk in South Arcot District.	12 ares	60 Kgs.
Pondicherry Villupuram	Villupuram Taluk consisting of Villipuram, Kamaikanjnoor, Vikarandi, Valavanoor, Kandamangalam Firkas and Gingee, Senthamangalam, Melmalaiyanoor, Sathanpadi, Melolakkur Firkas.	All the firkas in Villipuram and Gingee Taluks of South Arcot District.	12 ares	60 Kgs.
Pondicherry Tirukoilur	Five Firkas of Tirukoilur Taluk viz., Tirukoilur, Manalurpet, Elavanasurkottai Mugaiyur and Thiruvannainallur.	Amthangudi Edayur, Kollapparai Nariendal, Tirupalapandal, Ponnaiendal Poomeiyur, Solarandapuram, Thagadi Tirukoilur @ Thyanoor Verapandy of Tirukoilur Firka, South Arcot Dist. Sorayapattu, Manalurpet Firka South Arcot Dist. Anganoor, Kattuedayur, Kunjaram, Pinnalvadi, Melputtamangalam, Vedakurumboor Perindal, Poomalayanoor of Elavanasurkottai Firka South Arcot District.	12 ares	60 Kgs.
Pondicherry Ulundurpet	Ulundurpet in South Arcot of Rishyavandiyam Firka Thyagadurgam Firka, Ulundurpet Firka, Tirunavalur Firka.	Villages of Mandagapadi Iruthiyam Kilpadi, Rishyvandiyam Vadatherasalur, Sathaputhur, Melapalangoor, Nooroolai, Sipalapattu, Royapuram, Pasal, Munirazhi, Magyanuyal and Sagudaloor of Rishyavandiyam Firka of Kallakuruchi Taluk South Arcot District. Thyagadurgam, Ninniyur, Kottayur, Malavarayanur, Gurupudapuram, Koonthalur, Thyagai, Vengai-	12 ares	60 Kgs.

1	2	2	3	4	5	6
			vadee, Kudiyanallur, Eyyanur O. Ogainyur, Chinnammapattu, Anthyur, Kunniyur, Sithalar, Panayarigal, Velakkuruchi, Virugavoor in Thyagadurgam Firka of Kallakuruchi Taluk South Arcot District. Asanur, Edaikkal, Vellayur, Koraluradi, Kiliyur, Pooranoor, Malayadi, Kunnathoor villages in Ulundurpet Firka of Tirukoilur Taluk South Arcot District Chinnakuppam and Illuppaur in Tirunavalur Firka of Tirukoilur Taluk South Arcot District.			
Pondicherry Kallakuruchi	The whole of Kallakuruchi Taluk except Thagadurgam and Rishivandyram Firkas in South Arcot District.	The whole of Kallakuruchi Taluk except Thyagadurgam and Rishivandyam Firkas in South Arcot District.		4 ares	40 Kgs.	
Erode Division Perundurai	In Coimbatore District Erode Taluk 3 revenue Firka viz. Chennimalai, Uthukuli and Perundurai and the villages of Attavanai, Ammampalli and Arachalur of Sivagiri Firka and the villages of Aralpoondurai, Thooyam Perundurai and Kamakapuram of Modakuri Firka.	The entire revenue jurisdiction of Perundurai Firka. The villages of Thooyampundurai and Komakapuram of Modkurichi Firka. The village Mukeri Ammampalli of Sivagiri Firka and the ten villages viz., Sundakkampalayam Karamdipalayam, Muthampalayam, Virumandampalayam, Navakkadu, Karumanchirai, Pachampalayam, Kumnathur, Kurichi and Agrahara Kathankannu of Uthukuli Firka of Erode Taluk in Coimbatore Dist.		12 ares	60 Kgs.	
Coimbatore Division Coonoor	The Nilgiri Dist. Pollachi Udumalpet and Coimbatore Taluks and Mettupalayam Firka of Avanashi Taluk in Coimbatore Dist.	Denad village, Kotagiri Firka of Coonoor Taluk, Nilgiri District.		12 ares	60 Kgs.	
Trichy Division M.O.R. 'A'	The following ares in Tiruchirappalli, Chinthamani, Teppakulam, Palakkarai Beemanagar, and a part of Cantonment and Lalgudy Pullambadi Perunvallappur Firkas of Lalgudy Taluk Tiruchirappali District.	As in Column 3.		5 ares	40 Kgs.	

M.O.R. 'B'	Places in Trichy Town not covered by the M.O.R. 'A' Range Tiruchi, Manachanallur Valadu, Kariamanickam Firkas of Lalgudy Taluk and Srirangam Andavallur, Tiruvambur Firkas of Tiruchi District.	Same as in column 3.	5 ares	40 Kgs.
Pudukottai . .	Alangudi, Kolathur and Tirumanyam Taluk of Tiruchirappalli Dist.	Same as in col. 3.	5 ares	40 Kgs.
Karur Range .	Karur Vellianai Pugalur and Paramathi Firkas of Karur Taluk Tiruchirappalli District.	Karur, Vellianai and Pugalur Firkas of Karur Taluk, Tiruchirappalli District.	5 ares	40 Kgs.
Kulithalai . .	Whole of Kulithalai Taluk Tiruchirappalli District.	Same as in column 3.	5 ares	40 Kgs.
Elangakuruchi .	Whole of Manappara Taluk Tiruchirappalli District.	Same as in column 3.	5 ares	40 Kgs.
Thuraiyur . .	Whole of Musiri and Perambalur Taluks of Tiruchirappalli District.	Same as in column 3.	5 ares	40 Kgs.
Ariyalur . .	Ariyalur and Jayamkondam Taluks of Tiruchirappalli District.	Same as in column 3.	5 ares	40 Kgs.
Aravakuruchi .	Chinnadharapuram and Aravakuruchi Firkas of Karur Taluk Tiruchirappalli District.	Nil	Nil	Nil
Tanjore Range .	Tanjore, Orathanad, Pattukottai and Aranthangi Taluks of Tanjore District.	Same as in column 3.	5 ares	40 Kgs.
Vedaranyam Range	Whole of Vedaranyam Firkas and six villages viz., Vellapallam Nabnvedhapatty, Kallimedu, Tavanaikulam Avarikadu and Koilpattu of Talainayar Firka and 3 villages viz., Thillaivalagam, Idumbavanam and Karpaganathikulam of Machikulam Firka of Tiruthuraipoondi Taluk Tanjore District.	Nil	Nil	Nil
Tiruvarur . .	Nagapattinam and Mannargudi and rest of Tiruthurai Poondi Taluk not covered by Vedaranyam Range.	As in column 3.	5 ares	40 Kgs.
Mayuram Range .	Mayuram, Sirkali and Vannilam Taluks and Pandanallur Firka of Kumbakonam Taluk.	Mayuram and Nannilam Taluks Pandanalur Firka of Kumbakonam Taluk and Sirkali Taluk except Madanam and Sirkali Firkas of Sirkali Taluk, Tanjavoor District.	5 ares	40 Kgs.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	Kumbakonam .	Papanasam Taluk and Kumbakonam Taluk except Pandanallur Fira of Kumbakonam Taluk.	Same as in Column 3.	5 ares	40 Kg.	
	Madurai Division Tiruvadanai Range	Tiruvandanai Taluk of Ramanathapuram District.	The whole of Tiruvandanai Taluk of Ramanathapuram District.	2 ares	27 Kg.	
	Sivaganga Range .	Sivaganga Taluk and Manamadurai sub-Taluk of Paramakudi Taluk of Ramanathapuram District.	The whole of Sivaganga Taluk and Manamadurai Sub-Taluk of Paramakudi Taluk of Ramanathapuram District.	2 ares	27 Kg.	
	Karaikudi Range .	Natham and Kottampatty Firka of Melur Taluk Madurai District. 2. Tiruppatur Taluk of Ramanathapuram District.	The whole of Tirupathur Taluk of Ramanathapuram District. The whole of Natham and Kottampatty firka of Mellur Taluk of Madurai District.	2 ares	27 Kg.	
	Paramakudy Range	Ramanathapuram Taluk and Paramakudi Taluk excluding Manamadurai sub-Taluk.	The whole of Paramakudi Taluk of Ramanathapuram except Manamadurai sub-taluk. The whole of Ramanathapuram Taluk of Ramanathapuram District.	2 ares	27 Kg.	
	MOR I Madurai .	Tirumangalam Taluk including Usilampatti Taluk (newly formed Taluks of old Tirumangalam of Madurai District.	The whole of Tirumangalam Taluk of Madurai District. The whole of Usilampatty Taluk of Old Tirumangalam Taluk of Madurai District.	2 ares	27 Kg.	
	Sivakasi Division .	Whole of Kanyakumari District and Tirunelveli District and Sattur and Srivilliputhur Taluks of Ramanathapuram District and Soolakarai village and Ayyanar Spinning Mills at Mallankinar of Aruppukottai Taluk.	The whole of Kanyakumari District and Tirunelveli District and Srivilliputhur and Sattur taluks of Ramanathapuram Taluk.	12 ares	60 Kg.	

[C. No. V/4/30/48/68-CX. III.]

S. VENKATARAMAN,
Collector of Central Excise,
Madras-34.

MINISTRY OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT, INTERNAL TRADE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS

(Department of Industrial Development)

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 7th August 1969

S.O. 3579.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of sub-section (1) of Section 18A of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951 (65 of 1951), the Central Government hereby makes the following amendments in the order of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Industrial Development and Company Affairs (Department of Industrial Development) No. S.O. 3091/18A/IDRA/67, dated the 2nd September, 1967, namely:

In clause 2 of the said Order, sub-clause (v) shall be omitted.

[No. 9(1)Lic.Pol./67.]

New Delhi, the 26th August 1969

S.O. 3580.—IDRA/6/3/69.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 6 of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951 (65 of 1951), read with rules 5(1) and 8 of the Development Councils (Procedural) Rules, 1952, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri V. H. Dalmia, President, Indian Sugar Mills Association, to be a member, till the 30th April, 1971, of the Development Council Established by the Order of the Government of India, in the Ministry of Industrial Development, Internal Trade and Company Affairs No. S.O. 175/IDRA/6/3/69, dated the 1st May, 1969 for the Scheduled industries engaged in the manufacture or production of sugar and directs that the following amendment shall be made in the said Order, namely:—

In the said Order, for entry No. 3 relating to Shri D. C. Kothari, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:

"3. Shri V. H. Dalmia, President, Indian Sugar Mills Association, 4, Scindia House, New Delhi."

[No. 13(8)/68-L.C.]

R. C. SETHI, Under Secy.

(Department of Industrial Development)

(Indian Standards Institution)

New Delhi, the 11th August 1969

S.O. 3581.—In partial modification of the notification published in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3 (ii) dated 19th April, 1969 under number S.O. 1455 dated 3rd April, 1969, it is hereby notified that the Note with an asterisk pertaining to IS:1030-1957, referred to in Col. 3 of Sl. No. 6 of the schedule thereof, shall be amended to read as follows:

'For the purpose of ISI Certification Marks Scheme IS:1038-1957 shall also run concurrently with IS:1038-1968 up to 30th November, 1969'.

[No. CMD/13:2.]

New Delhi, the 18th August 1969

S.O. 3582.—In pursuance of sub-regulation (1) of Regulation 5 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955, as amended from time to time, and consequent upon publication of IS : 446-1968 Specification for air hose of rubber with woven textile reinforcement (*second revision*), it is, hereby, notified that IS: 3557-1965 Specification for air hose of rubber, heavy duty, with woven reinforcement details of which were published under notification number S. O. 2687 dated 26 August 1966, in the Gazette of India Part II, Section 3—sub-section (ii) dated 10 September 1966, has been cancelled.

[No. CMD/13:7.]

New Delhi, the 21st August 1969

S. O. 3583.—In pursuance of sub-regulation (3) of regulation 7 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that the marking fee (s) per unit for various products— —details of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed, have been determined and the fee(s) shall come into force with effect from 1 August 1969 :

THE SCHEDULE

Serial No.	Product/Class of Products	No. and Title of Relevant Indian Standard	Unit	Marking Fee per Unit
1	2	3	4	5
1	Thiram water dispersible powder	IS : 4766-1968 Specification for thiram water dispersible powder	One kg.	0·3 paise
2	Thiram seed dressing formulations	IS : 4783-1968 Specification for thiram seed dressing formulations	One kg.	0·3 paise

[No. CMD/13:10]

S. O. 3584.—In pursuance of sub-rule (1) of rule 4 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Rules, 1955 the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that the Standard Mark(s), design(s) of which together with the verbal description of the design(s) and the title(s) of the relevant Indian Standard(s) are given in the Schedule hereto annexed, have been specified.

These Standard Mark(s) for the purpose of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Act 1952 and the Rules and Regulations framed thereunder, shall come into force with effect from 1 August 1969 :

THE SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Design of the Standard Mark	Product/Class of Product	No. and Title of the Relevant Indian Standard	Verbal description of the Design of the Standard Mark
1	2	3	4	5
1	IS:4766 	Thiram water dispersible powder	IS:4766-1968 Specification for thiram water dispersible powder	The monogram of the Indian Standards Institution, consisting of letters 'ISI', drawn in the exact style and relative proportions as indicated in col. (2), the number, designation of the Indian Standard being superscribed on the top side of the monogram as indicated in the design.
2	IS:4783 	Thiram seed dresseing formulations	IS:4783-1968 Specification for thiram seed dressing formulations	The monogram of the Indian Standards Institution, consisting of letters 'ISI', drawn in the exact style and relative proportions as indicated in col. (2). the number, designation of the Indian Standard being superscribed on the top side of the monogram as indicated in the design.

[No. CMD/13:9]

S. O. 3585—In pursuance of sub-regulation (1) of Regulation 8 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulation 1955, as amended from time to time, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that thirtysix licences, particulars of which are given in the following Schedule, have been granted authorizing the licensees to use the Standard Marks:

THE SCHEDULE

Serial No.	Licence No. (CM/L-)	Period of Validity		Name and Address of the Licensee	Article/Process covered by the Licence and the Relevant IS : Designation
		From	To		
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	CM/L-2007, 7-7-1969	16-7-1969	15-7-1970	K.T. Rolling Mills Private Limited, Badalpur Road, Ambernath, Central Railway, Distt. Thana Maharashtra) having their office at K. T. Building, Broach Street, Dana Bunder, Bombay-9.	Structural steel standard quality)—IS : 226-1962.
2	CM/L-2008, 7-7-1969.	1-7-1969	30-6-1970	National Insulated Cable Co. of India Ltd., Shamnagar, 24 Parganas, West Bengal, having their Registered Office at NICCO House, 2 Hare Street, Calcutta-1.	Thermoplastic insulated weatherproof cables polythelene insulated, taped, braided and compounded: Flat twin 250/440 and 650/1 100 Volts grade with Aluminium conductors— IS : 3035 (Part I)-1965.
3	CM/L-2009 7-7-1969	16-7-1969	15-7-1970	Omega Insulated Cable Co. (I) Ltd., Plot No. 16-17, Ambattur Industrial Estate, Madras-58, having their office at 1-A, Mungamleakkam High Road, Madras-34	Thermoplastic insulated weatherproof cables polythelene insulated, taped, braided and compounded : (i) Single core 250/440 volts grade with aluminium conductors. (ii) Twin core flat 250/440 volts grade with aluminium conductors— IS : 3035 (Part II)—1965.
4	CM/L-2010 8-7-1969	1-8-1969	31-7-1970	Shaw Wallace & Co. Ltd., 84 Industrial Suburbs, Yeshwantpur, Bangalore-22 having their office at 8/9, Thambuchetty Street, Madras-1.	Compounded feeds for cattle—IS : 2052-1968
5	CM/L-2011 8-7-1969	1-8-1969	31-7-1970	Neyveli Lignite Corporation Limited, Neyveli-1 (South Arcot District).	Processed solid smokeless domestic fuel—IS : 4286-1967.
6	CM/L-2012, 8-7-1969	16-7-1969	15-7-1970	Central Insecticides & Fertilizers Saki Naka, Vihar Lake Road, Bombay-72.	BHC emulsifiable concentrates—IS : 632-1966.

1	2	3	4	5	6
7	CM/L-2013 9-7-1969	16-7-1969	15-7-1970	D. & H. Secheron Electrodes Private Limited, 44/46, Industrial Estate, Laxmibai Nagar, Indore-2 (M.P.).	Covered electrodes for metal arc welding of mild steel, normal penetration type, sizes 2 mm to 6 mm only—IS : 814-1967.
8	CM/L-2014 9-7-1969	1-7-1969	31-1-1970	Indiclay, Plot No. 2, Udyog Nagar, S.V. Road, Goregaon (West), Bombay-62.	DDT water dispersible powder concentrates—IS : 565-1961.
9	CM/L-2015 9-7-1969	16-7-1969	15-7-1970	V.K. Engineering Works, 44, Ideal Industrial Estate, Mathuradas Mill Compound, 124 Delisle Road, Bombay-13.	Three-phase squirrel cage induction motors, 0·75 kw (1 HP) 4400/440 volts ratings only with class 'A' insulation—IS : 325-1961
10	CM/L-2016 9-7-1969	16-7-1969	15-7-1970	International Industries, 10 Bombay Timber Market, Signal Hill Avenue, Reay Road, Bombay.	Horizontal cylinderical, high speed steam sterilzers. pressure type—IS : 4510-1968
11	CM/L-2017 10-7-1969	16-7-1969	30-6-1970	E.I.D. Parry Ltd., Ranipet (North Arcot Distt.), having their office at Dare House, Post Box No. 12, Madras-1	Flushing cisterns for water closets and urinals (valveless siphonic type), vitreous china : Low level, 15 Litre capacity only—IS :774-1964.
12	CM/L-2018, 11-7-1969	16-7-1969	15-7-1970	Jay Chemicals, 14/1, Mathura Road, Faridabad.	Endrin emulsifiable concentrates—IS : 1310-1958.
13	CM/L-2019 11-7-1969	16-7-1969	15-7-1970	Rhylons Pesticides & Insecticides Plot No. 15, Nacharam Industrial Area, Hyderabad-39, having their Office at Shop No. 20, Lal Bahadur Stadium, Hyderabad-1.	DDT water dispersible powder concentrates-IS : 565-1961.
14	CM/L-2020 15-7-1969	16-7-1969	15-7-1970	Krishna Miners & Traders, 12, Industrial Area, Jaipur West, Rajasthan.	Endrin emulsifiable concentrates—IS : 1310-1958.
15	CM/L-2021 22-7-1969	16-7-1969	15-7-1970	Henley Cables India Ltd., Henley House, Hadapsar Industrial Estate, Post Box No. 22, Poona-1, having their Registered Office at Henley House, Ballard Estate, Bombay-1.	Thermoplastic insulated weatherproof cables polythelene insulated, taped, braided and compounded, single core, 650/1 100 volt grade with aluminium conductors only IS : 30535(Part-I)-1965.
16	CM/L-2022 23-7-1969	1-8-1969	31-7-1970	Gupta Engineering Works, Railway Road, Kapurthala.	Domestic pressure cookers, 6-Litre capacity—IS: 2347-1966.
17	CM/L-2023 23-7-1969	1-8-1969	31-7-1970	Agia Ram Khanna & Sons, 308/1-E, Shahzada Bagh. Old Rohtak Road, Delhi-7.	Watercloset seats and covers type 'A'—IS: 2548-1967.
18	CM/L-2024 23-7-1969	1-8-1969	31-10-1970	Alkali & Chemical Corporation of India Ltd., P.O. Rishra Distt. Hooghly, West Bengal having their Registered Office at 34 Chowringhee Road, Calcutta-16.	Thiram seed dressing formulations—IS : 4783-1968.
19	CM/L-2025 23-7-1969	1-8-1969	31-10-1970	Ditto.	Thiram water dispersible powder—IS : 4766-1968.
20	CM/L-2026 28-7-1969	16-8-1969	15-8-1970	Jairamdas Udyog Private Limited, 8th Mile, Mysore Road, Kengeri P.O Bangalore South (Mysore State), having their office at Silver Jubliee Park Road, Bangalore-2.	Screwing taps of the following tapes : (i) Hand tape, ground coarse pitch ; (ii) Macine tape ground caorse pitch, types A & C and (iii) Machine tap ground fine pitch—IS :1988 1962.

21	CM/L-2027 28-7-1969	1-8-1969	31-7-1970	Devendra Wire Works, A-3, Plot No. 22-23, Udhna Industrial Estate. Udhna. Distt Surat, Gujarat State, having their office at Kala Mehta's Street, Sagrampura Surat-2, Gujarat State.	Stranded aluminium condectors (AAC) only-IS : 398-1961.
22	CM/L-2028, 25-7-1969	1-8-1969	31-7-1970	Mukand Iron & Steel Works Limited, Belapur Road, Kalwe, Thana.	Hot rolled mild steel medium tensile steel and high yield strength steel deformed bars for concrete reinforcements —IS : 1139-1966.
23	CM/L-2029 25-7-1969	1-8-1969	31-7-1970	Ditto.	Cold twisted steel bars for concrete reinforcement IS : 1786-1966.
24	CM/L-2030 25-7-1969.	1-8-1969	31-7-1970	Kwality Ice Creams Co., 7 Regal Buildings. New Delhi-1	Ice-cream, variety "Vanila"—IS : 2802-1964.
25	CM/L-2031 25-7-1969	1-8-1969	31-7-1970	Mukh Raj Madhusudan Lal & Co., E-8, Industrial Area. Yamuna Nagar, Distt. Ambala (Harayana).	Plywood tea-chest battens—IS : 10-1964.
26	CM/L-2032 28-7-1969	16-8-1969	15-8-1970	Vijaya Industries, S.M. Street, Sharanur-1, Distt. Palghat, Kerala State.	Mortice locks (vertical type), 65 mm, Size 4 lever type.—IS : 2209-1966.
27	CM/L-2033 28-7-1969	16-8-1969	15-8-1970	Addison & Company Limited, 4 & 18 Smith's Road. Mount Road, Madras-2, having their Regd. Office at 158, Mount Road, Madras-2.	Milling cutters of the following types : (*i*) Shell end mills HSS, types N ; and (*ii*) Parallel shank end mills, HSS type N—IS : 1830-1961.
28	CM/L-2034 28-7-1969	16-8-1969	15-8-1970	Do.	Reamers of the following types : (*i*) Machine bridge reamers HSS and (*ii*) Shell Reamers HSS—IS : 1836-1961.
29	CM/L-2035 28-7-1969	1-8-1969	31-7-1970	Shree Vishnu Rolling Mills, 2 Kumar Para Lane, Lilooah Howrah having their office at 205 Ravindra Sarani, Calcutta-1.	Structural steel (standard quality)-IS : 226-1962.
30	CM/L-2036 28-7-1969	1-8-1969	31-7-1970	Do.	Structural steel (ordinary quality)—IS : 1977-1962.
31	CM/L-2037 28-7-1969	1-8-1969	31-7-1970	Bhagsons Paints Industries (India). 16-A. DLF Industrial Area, Najafgarh Road, New Delhi-15.	Linseed oil, boiled for paints—IS : 77-1950.
32	CM/L-2038 31-7-1969	1-8-1969	31-7-1970	Sanifix India Private Ltd., '5' N , Road, P.O. Dassnagar. Belgachhia, Howrah.	C.I. flushings cisterns for water closets and urinals (valveless spihonic type) high level ; 10 Litre and 12·5 litres capacity only—IS : 774-1964.
33	CM/L-2039 31-7-1969	1-8-1969	31-7-1970	S.K. Baker Ali & Co., 65 Phear Lane, Calcutta-12.	Tea-Chest metal fittings—IS : 10-1964.
34	CM/L-2040 31-7-1969	1-8-1969	31-7-1970	E.I.D. Parry Limited, Ranipet, North Arcot District, Tamil Nadu having their office at Dare House, Post Box No. 12, Madras-1.	Endosulfan emulsifiable concentrates—IS: 4325-1967.

1	2	3	4	5	6
35	CM/L-2041 31-7-1969	16-8-1969	15-8-1970	Salt Glazed Pipe Factory, Junction Road, Vriddhachalam, South Arcot, District (Tamil Nadu).	Salt glazed stoneware straight pipes of diameter 100 mm only—IS : 651-1965.
36	CM/L-2042 31-7-1969	16-8-1969	15-8-1970	Ideal Thermometers, Raj Garh Road, Solan (H.P.)	Clinical thermometers—IS : 3055-1965.

[No. CMD/13:11]

A. K. GUPTA,
Deputy Director General.

CENTRAL BOARD OF DIRECT TAXES

INCOME TAX

New Delhi, the 27th May, 1969

S.O.3586—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 122 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) and of all other powers enabling it in that behalf and in supersession of all previous notifications in this regard, the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby directs that the Appellate Assistant Commissioners of Income-tax of the Ranges specified in Column 1 of the Schedule below shall perform their functions in respect of all persons and incomes assessed to Income-tax or Super-tax in the Income-tax Circles, Wards or Districts specified in the corresponding entry in column 2 thereof.

SCHEDULE

Range	Income-tax Circles, Wards or Districts
1	2
A-Range, Madras	1. Company Circle II (all Sections), Madras. 2. City Circle I Madras. 3. City Circle III, Madras. 4. City Circle V, Madras. 5. City Circle VI, Madras. 6. Foreign Sections, Madras. 7. Estate Duty-cum-Income-tax Circle, Madras. 8. Tax Recovery Circle, Madras.
B-Range, Madras	1. Special Investigation Circle 'A', Madras. 2. Special Investigation Circle 'B', Madras. 3. Central Circles I to XVII, Madras. 4. Cuddalore Circle (all Sections). 5. Pondicherry Circle (all Sections).
C-Range, Madras	1. Companies Circle I (all Sections), Madras. 2. Hundi Circle I Madras. 3. Special Survey Circle (all Sections), Madras. 4. Hundi Circle II, Madras. 5. Salaries Circle, Madras. 6. Salaries Circle I, Madras. 7. Salaries Circle II, Madras.
D-Range, Madras	1. City Circle II (all Sections), Madras. 2. Kancheepuram Circle. 3. Thanjavur Circle (all Sections). 4. Estate Duty-cum-Income tax Circle, Thanjavur. 5. Nagapattinam Circle (all Sections). 6. Kumbakonam Circle (all Sections).
E-Range, Madras	1. City Circle IV Madras. 2. City Circle VII (all Sections), Madras. 3. Vellore Circle.
Tiruchirapalli Range	1. Tiruchirapalli Circle. 2. City Circle I (all Sections), Tiruchirapalli. 3. Company Circle, Tiruchirapalli. 4. Pudukottai Circle (all Sections). 5. Karaikudi Circle (all Sections).
Madurai Range	1. Madurai Circle. 2. Company Circle Madurai. 3. Special Survey Circle Madurai. 4. Estate-Duty-cum-Income-tax Circle, Madurai. 5. Virudhunagar Circle. 6. Tuticorin Circle. 7. Tirunelveli Circle. 8. Nagercoil Circle. 9. Dindigul Circle (all Sections).

Range	Income-tax Circles, Wards or Districts
1	2
Salem Range	1. Salem Circle. 2. Company Circle, Salem. 3. Circle I, Salem. 4. Circle II, Salem. 5. Erode Circle (all Sections). 6. Cotacamund Circle (all Sections).
Coimbatore Range	1. Coimbatore Circle. 2. Special Survey Circle, Coimbatore. 3. Special Investigation Circle, Coimbatore. 4. Circle I, Coimbatore. 5. Circle II, Coimbatore. 6. City Circle I, (all Sections), Coimbatore. 7. City Circle II (all Sections), Coimbatore. 8. Central Circles I and II, Coimbatore. 9. All Company Circles, Coimbatore. 10. Salary Circle, Coimbatore. 11. Estate Duty-cum-Income-tax Circle, Coimbatore. 12. Pollachi Circle (all Sections). 13. Tirupur Circle. 14. Excess Profits Tax Circles, Coimbatore and Erode.

Where an Income-tax Circle, Ward or District or part thereof stands transferred by this notification from one Range to anothdr Range, appeals arising out of assessments made in that Income-tax Circle, Ward or District or part thereof and pending immediately before the date of this notification before the Appellate Assistant Commissioner of the Range from whom that Income-tax Circle, Ward or District or part thereof is transferred shall, from the date this notification shall take effect be transferred to and dealt with by the Appellate Assistant Commissionerg of the Range to whom the said Circle, Ward or District or part thereof is transferred.

This notification shall take effect from the *2nd June*, 1969.

Explanatory Note

The amendments have become necessary on account of re-organisation of the AAC's Ranges.

(The above note does not form a part of the notification but is intended to be merely clarificatory).

[No. 58 (F. No. *50/32/69-*J)]

New Delhi, the 28th May 1969

S.O. 3587.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 122 of the I.T. Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) and all other powers enabling it in this behalf, the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby makes the following further amendments in the Schedule appended to its notification No. 12 (F. No. 50/7/66-ITJ) dated 14-1-1966 as amended from time to time, namely :

In the said Schedule against—

I. 'E'—Range, Calcutta, following shall be substituted :

1. Companies District I, Calcutta.
(Other than 'A' to 'E' Wards)

II. 'Z'—Range, Calcutta, following shall be substituted :

1. Companies District I, Calcutta.
('A' to 'E' Wards).

III. 'I'—Range, Calcutta, following shall be substituted :

1. Dist. VII, Calcutta.
2. Dist. V(2), Calcutta.
3. Special Circle-II, Calcutta.

IV. 'J'—Range, Calcutta, following shall be substituted :

1. Dist. IV(I), Calcutta.
2. Special Survey Circle-VI.

V. 'N'—Range, Calcutta, following shall be substituted :

1. Midnapore.
2. Cinema Circle-I, Calcutta.
3. Cinema Circle-II, Calcutta.
4. Cinema Circle, Calcutta.
5. Dist. V(A), Calcutta.
6. Nadia.

VI. 'H'—Range, Calcutta, following shall be substituted :

1. Dist. III(I), Calcutta.
2. Project Circle-II, Calcutta.
3. F. D. Cum-I.T. Circle.
4. Special Circle-I, Calcutta.

VII. 'V'—Range, Calcutta, following shall be substituted :

1. Dist. III(2), Calcutta.
   (Other than 'A' to 'H'—Wards)
2. Special Survey Circle-IX, Calcutta.
   (newly created)
3. Special Survey Circle-I, Calcutta.

VIII. 'L'—Range, Calcutta, following shall be substituted :

1. I(I), Calcutta.
   (Other than 'A' to 'E'—Wards)
2. Special Survey Circle-II, Calcutta.
3. Murshidabad.

IX. 'T'—Range, Calcutta, following shall be substituted :

1. Dist. I(2), Calcutta.
2. Special Circle IV, Calcutta.

This notification shall take effect from *2nd June*, 1969.

Explanatory Note

The amendment has become necessary on account of reallocation of AACs' jurisdiction after revlewing the pendecy position.

(This note does not form part of the notification but is intended to be merely clarificatory).

[No. 59 (F. No. 50/46/69-ITIJ)]

S. O. 3588.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (I) of Section 122 of the Income-tax Act. 1961 (43 of 1961) and of all other powers enabling it in that be half and in supersession of all the previous notifications in this regard the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby directs that the Appellate Assistant Commissioners of Income-tax of the ranges specified in column I of the Schedule below shall perform their functions in respect of all persons and incomes assessed to Income-tax or Super-tax in the Income-tax Circles, Wards and Districts specified in the corresponding entry in column 2 thereof:—

SCHEDULE

Range.	Income-tax Circles, Wards and Districts.
1.	2.
A—Range, Amritsar.	1. In respect of orders passed by Income-tax Officers up to and including 14-7-1967. (i) District. I, Amritsar. (ii) Distt. II (i) to II (v), Amritsar. (iii) Distt. III(iv), Amritsar. (iv) Special Survey Circle, Amritsar [in respect of persons who have their principal place of business in or reside in the jurisdiction of Disgtt.I, II & III (iv), Amritsar].

1	2
	(v) Salary circle, Jullundur [in respect of persons who reside in the jurisdiction of Distts. I, II and III(iv), Amritsar]. 2. In respect of orders passed by the Income-tax Officers after 14-7-1967. (i) Distt. I(i), Amritsar. (ii) Distt. I (ii), Amritsar. (iii) Addl. Distt. I (ii), Amritsar. (iv) Distt. I (iii), Amritsar. (v) Addl. Distt. I(iii), Amritsar. (vi) Distt. I(iv), Amritsar. (vii) Distt. I(v), Amritsar. (viii) Addl. Distt. I(v), Amritsar. (ix) Distt. I(vi), Amritsar. (x) Addl. Distt. I(vi), Amritsar. 3. All Income-tax Circles Wards or Districts having headquarters at Mandi. 4. Special Survey Circle, Patiala (in respect of persons who reside in the district of Mandi).
B—Range, Amritsar.	1. All Income-tax Circles, Wards or Districts having headquarters at Batala. 2. In respect of the orders passed by the Income-tax Officers upto and including 14-7-1967. (i) Districts III(i), III(ii), III(iii) III(v) and III(vi), Amritsar. (ii) Central Circles I, II, III, Amritsar. (iii) Special Survey Circle, Amritsar [in respect of persons who have their principal place of business in or reside in the jurisdiction of Distt. III(i), III(ii), III(iii), III(v) and III(vi), Amritsar, Central Circles I, II, III, Amritsar and Batala.] (iv) Salary Circle, Jullundur [in respect of personswho reside in the jurisdiction of Distts. III(i), III(ii), III(iii), III(v) and III(vi), Amritsar Central Circles I, II, III, Amritsar and Batala]. 3. In respect of orders passed by the Income-tax Officers after 14-7-1967. (i) Distt. 17(i) to II(iii), Amritsar. (ii) Central Circles, Amritsar.
C—Range, Amritsar.	1. All Income-tax Circles, wards or Districts having headquarters at Amritsar other than those mentioned in column 2 above against A—Range, Amritsar and B—Range Amritsar. 2. All Income-tax Circles, Wards or Districts having headquarters at Hoshiarpur. 3. Special Survey Circle Amritsar [in respect of persons who have their principal place of business in or reside in the jurisdiction of Income-tax Officers, Hoshiarpur.) 4. Salary Circle Jullundur (in respect of persons who reside in the jurisdiction of Income-tax Officers, Hoshiarpur.)

1	2
Jullundur.	1. All Income-tax Circles, Wards or Districts having headquarters at :— (i) Jullundur, (ii) Khanna. 2. Special Survey Circle, Amritsar (in respect of persons who have their principal place of business in or reside in the jurisdiction of Income-tax Officers, Jullundur and Khanna). 3. Salary Circle, Jullundur (in respect of persons who reside in the jurisdiction of Income-tax Officers Jullundur and Khanna).
A-Range, Ludhiana.	1. All Income-tax Circles, Wards or Districts having headquarters at:— (i) Sirsa, (ii) Moga, (iii) Sangrur 2. Addl. A-Ward, Ludhiana. 3. B-Ward, Ludhiana. 4. Addl. B-Ward, Ludhiana. 5. E-Ward, Ludhiana. 6. Central Circle, Ludhiana. 7. Special Survey Circle, Amritsar (in respect of persons who have their principal place of business in or reside in the jurisdiction of Income-tax Officers, Addl. A-Ward, Ludhiana, B-Ward, Ludhiana, Addl. B-Ward, Ludhiana and E-Ward, Ludhiana, Central Circle, Ludhiana and Moga). 8. Special Survey Circle, Patiala (in respect of persons who have their principal place of business in or reside in the jurisdiction of Income-tax Officers, Sirsa and Sangrur). 9. Salary Circle , Jullundur (in respect of persons who reside in the jurisdiction of Income-tax Officers, Addl. A-Ward, Ludhiana, B-Ward, Ludhiana, Addl. B-Ward, Ludhiana, E-Ward, Ludhiana, Central Circle, Ludhiana, Sirsa, Moga and Sangrur).
B-Range, Ludhiana.	1. All-Income-tax Circles, Wards or Districts having headquarters at Ludhiana other than those mentioned in column 2 above against A-Range, Ludhiana. 2. Special Survey Circle, Amritsar (in respect of persons who have their principal place of business in or reside in the jurisdiction of Income-tax Officers at Ludhiana other than those mentioned in column 2 above against A-Range, Ludhiana). 3. Salary Circle, Jullundur (in respect of persons who reside in the jurisdiction of Income-tax Officers at Ludhiana other than those mentioned in column 2 above against A-Range, Ludhiana).
A-Range, Patiala.	1. All Income-tax Circles, Wards or Districts having headquarters at:— (i) Chandigarh, (ii) Hissar.

1	2
	2. Companies Circle, Patiala (except in respect of persons presently assessable by the Income-tax Officers, Companies Wards, Rohtak). 3. B-Ward, Patiala. 4. Additional B-Ward, Patiala. 5. C-Ward, Patiala. 6. Special Survey Circle, Patiala (in respect of persons who have their principal place of business in or reside in the jurisdiction of Income-tax Officers, Chandigarh, Hissar, B-Ward, Patiala, Addl. B-Ward, Patiala, C-Ward, Patiala, and Companies Circle, Patiala except in respect of persons presently assessable by the Income-tax Officer, Companies Ward, Rohtak). 7. Salary Circle, Jullundur (in respect of persons who reside in the jurisdiction of Income-tax Officers, Chandigarh, Hissar, B-Ward, Patiala, Addl. B-Ward, Patiala, C-Ward, Patiala and Companies Circle, Patiala, (except in respcet of persons presently assessable by the Income-tax-Officer, Companies Ward, Rohtak).
B-Range, Patiala.	1. All Income-tax Circles, Wards or Districts having headquarters at :— (i) Bhatinda, (ii) Ferozepur, (iii) Abohar, (iv) Jind. 2. All Income-tax Circles, Wards or Districts having headquarters at Patiala other than those mentioned in column 2 above against A-Range, Patiala. 3. Special Survey Circle, Amritsar (in respect of persons who have their principal place of business in or reside in the jurisdiction of Income-tax Officers, Ferozepur and Abohar). 4. Special Survey Circle, Patiala (in respect of persons who have their principal place of business in or reside in the jurisdiction of Income-tax Officers, Bhatinda, Jind and Income-tax Officers at Patiala other than those mentioned in Column 2 above againt A-Range, Patiala). 5. Salary Circle, Jullundur (in respect of persons who reside in the jurisdiction of Income-tax Officers, Bhatinda, Jind, Ferozepur, and Abohar and Income-tax Officers at Patiala olther than those mentioned in column 2 above against A-Range, Patiala).
Ambala.	1. All Income-tax Circles, Wards or Districts having headquarters at:— (i) Ambala, (ii) Yamunanagar, (iii) Karnal, (iv) Panipat, (v) Simla.

1	2
	2. Special Survey Circle, Patiala (in respect of persons who have their principal place of business in or reside in the Districts of Ambala, Karnal and Simla.
	3. Salary Circle, Jullundur (in respect of persons who reside in the Districts of Ambala and Karnal).
A-Range, Rohtak.	1. All Income-tax Circles, Wards or Districts having headquarters at Sonepat. 2. A-Ward, Rohtak. 3. B-Ward, Rohtak. 4. Companies Ward, Rohtak. 5. Companies Circle, Patiala (in respect of persons presently assessable by the Income-tax Officers, Companies Ward, Rohtak).
	6. Special Survey Circle, Patiala (in respect of persons who have their principal place of business in or reside in the jurisdiction of Income-tax Officers, Sonepat, A-Ward, Rohtak, B-Ward, Rohtak, Companies Ward, Rohtak and Companies Circle, Patialia in respect of persons who are presently assessable by the Income-tax Officer, Companies Ward, Rohtak).
	7. Salary Circle, Jullundur (in respect of persons who reside in the jurisdiction of Income-tax Officers, Sonepat, A-Ward, Rohtak, B-Ward, Rohtak, Companies Ward, Rohtak and Companies Circle, Patiala in respect of persons who are presently assessable by the Income-tax Officer, Companies Ward, Rohtak).
B-Range, Rohtak.	1. All Income-tax circles, Wards or Districts having head-quarters at:— (i) Gurgaon, (ii) Faridabad.
	2. All Income-tax Circles, Wards or Districts having headquarters at Rohtak other than those mentioned in column 2 against A-Range, Rohtak.
	3. Special Survey Circle, Patiala (in respect of persons who have their principal place of business in or reside in the jurisdiction of Income-tax Officers, Gurgaon, Faridabad and the Income-tax Officers at Rohtak other than those mentioned in column 2 against A-Range, Rohtak).
	4. Salary Circle, Jullundur (in respect of persons who reside in the jurisdiction of Income-tax Officers, Gurgaon, Faridabad, and the Income-tax Officers at Rohtak other than those mentioned in column 2 against A-Range, Rohtak).
Jammu.	1. All Income-tax Circles, Wards or Districts having headquarters at:— (i) Jammu, (ii) Srinagar, (iii) Pathankot.

1	2
	2. Special Survey Circle, Amritsar (in respect of persons who have their principal place of business in or reside in the jurisdiction of Income-tax Officers, Pathankot).
	3. Salary Circle, Jullundur (in respect pf persons who reside in the jurisdiction of Income-tax Officers, Pathankot).

Where an Income-tax Circle, Ward and District or part thereof stands transferred by this notification from one Range to another Range, appeals arising out of assessments made in that Income-tax Circle, Ward or District or part thereof and pending immediately before the date of this notification before the Appallate Assistant Commissioner of Range, from whom that Income-tax Circle, Ward or District or part thereof is transferred shall, from the date this notification shall take effect, be transferred to and dealt with by the Appellate Assistant Commissioner of the Range to whom the said Circle, Ward or District or part thereof is transferred.

This notification shall take effect from 1-6-1969.

Explanatory Note.—

The revision of jurisdiction has become necessary on account of re-organisation of the appellate Ranges in the Commissioners charge.

(The above note does not form a part of the notification but is intended to be merely clarificatory).

[No. 60 (F. No. 50/41/69-ITJ)].

S. O. 3589.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of the Section 122 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) and of all other powers enabling it in that behalf and in supersession of all the previous notifications in this regard, the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby directs that the Appellate Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax of the Ranges specified in column 1 of the Schedule below, shall perform their functions in respect of all persons and incomes assessed to income-tax or Super-tax in the Income-tax Circles, Wards and Districts, specified in the corresponding entry in column 2 thereof.

SCHEDULE

Range	Income-tax Circles, Wards and Districts
1	2
A-Range, Jaipur.	1. B, C, E, J, K & M. Wards, Jaipur. 2. All Companies circles having headquarters at Jaipur. 3. Special Survey circles I & II, Jaipur.
B-Range, Jaipur.	1. A. D, F, G, H wards, Jaipur and Special Ward, Jaipur. 2. Special Investigation Circles, A&B Jaipur. 3. All central circles having headquarters at Jaipur. 4. Estate Duty Circle, Jaipur. 5. All salary circles having Headquarters at Jaipur. 6. Special Assessment circles I, II & III, Jaipur. 7. Special Assessment Circle, Jaipur.

1	2
A-Range, Jodhpur.	1. A, D, F & M Wards, Jodhpur. 2. Companies circle, Jodhpur. 3. Special Survey Circle, Jodhpur. 4. All Income tax Wards having Headquarters at Pali. 5. All Income tax wards having Headquarters at Sirohi.
B-Range, Jodhpur.	1. B,C,E, & G wards, Jodhpur. 2. Special Assessment Circle, Jodhpur. 3. All wards having Headquarters at Nagaur. 4. Special Assessment Circles, I & II, Jodhpur 5. A-I Ward, Jodhpur.
Udaipur Range, Udaipur.	1. All Income tax wards and circles having head quarters at Udaipur. 2. Chittorgarh Circle. 3. Bhilwara Circle.
Bikanar Range, Bikaner.	1. All Income tax wards having headqarters at Bikaner. 2. All Income tax wards having headquarters at Sriganganagar.
Ajmer Range, Ajmer.	1. All Income tax wards having headquarters at Ajmer. 2. Beawar Circle. 3. All Income tax wards having headquarters at Alwar. 4. Sikar Cicle. 5. Jhunjhunu Circle.
Kota Range, Kota.	1. All Income tax wards having headquarters at Kota. 2. Bharatpur Circle. 3. Swaimadhopur Circle.

Where an Income tax Circle, Ward or district or part thereof stands transferred by this notification from one Range to another Range, appeal arising out of assessments made in that Income-tax circle, ward or district or part thereof and pending immediately before the date of the notification before the Appellate Assistant Commissioner of Income tax of the Range from whom that Incometax circle, ward or district or part thereof is transferred shall, from the date this notification shall take effect, be transferred to and dealt with by the Appellate Assistant Commissioner of Incometax of the range to whom the said circle, ward or district or part thereof is transferred.

This notification shall take effect from 1st June, 1969.

Explanatory note

The amendment has become necessary on account of reallocation of jurisdiction of AAOs.

(The above note does not form a part of the notification but is intended to be merely clarificatory).

[No. 61 (F. No. 50/42/69-ITJ).]

S.O. 3590.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 122 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) and of all other powers enabling it in that behalf, the Central Board of Direct Taxes, hereby makes the following amendments in the Schedule appened to its Notification No. 12 (F. No. 50/4/68-ITJ) dated 14th January, 1969, namely :—

In the said Schedule under the existing entries in Col. 2 against (1) A-Range, Hyderabad, (2) C-Range, Hyderabad, (3) Vijayawada and (4) Guntur, the following shall be substituted, namely:—

SCHEDULE

Range	Income-tax Circles, Wards and Districts
1	2
A-Range, Hyderabad . . .	1. Circle —I, Hyderabad. 2. Ward—I-A, Hyderabad Circle. 3. Ward—I-B, Hyderabad Circle. 4. Ward—I-C, Hyderabad Circle. 5. Ward—I-D, Hyderabad Circle. 6. Ward—I-E, Hyderabad Circle. 7. Circle—I (old), Hyderabad. 8. A—Ward, Hyderabad. 9. I. T.-*cum*-W. T. Circle,—I, Hyderabad. 10. Salary Circle, Hyderabad. 11. Warangal. 12. Karimnagar. 13. Khammam.
C-Range, Hyderabad . . .	1. Circle—III, Hyderabad. 2. Company Ward of Secunderabad Circle, Hyderabad. 3. Company Circle, Hyderabad. 4. Company Circle (old), Hyderabad. 5. Ward—III-A, Sacunderabad Circle, Hyderabad. 6. Ward—III-B, Secunderabad Circle, Hyderabad. 7. Ward—III-C, Secunderabad Circle, Hyderabad. 8. Ward—III-D, Secunderabad Circle, Hyderabad. 9. Ward—III-E, Secunderabad Circle, Hyderabad. 10. Circle—III (old), Hyderabad. 11. C—Ward, Hyderabad. 12. I. T.-*cum*-W.T. Circle—III, Hyderabad. 13. Central Circle, Hyderabad. 14. Central Circle (old), Hyderabad. 15. Project Circle, Hyderabad. 16. M.P.P. Circle, Hyderabad. 17. Kurnool. 18. Mahaboobnagar. 19. Kothagudem. 20. Recovery Circle, Hyderabad.
Vijayawada Range, Vijayawada .	1. Vijayawada. 2. Masulipatam. 3. Gudivada. 4. Tanuku. 5. Bluru.
Guntur Range, Guntur .	1. Guntur. 2. Tenali. 3. Bapatla. 4. Nellore. 5. Nica Circle, Nellore (old). 6. Tirupathi.

This notification shall take effect from *1-7-69*.

Explanatory Note:

The amendments have become necessary on account of the re-allocation of the jurisdiction of the AACs, (1) A-Range, Hyderabad (2) C-Range, Hyderabad (3) Vijayawada (4) Guntur.

(The above note does not form a part of the notification but is intended to be merely clarificatory).

[No. 62(F. No. 50/34/69-ITJ).]

New Delhi, the 12th June, 1969.

S.O. 3591.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section (1) of section 122 of the Income-Tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) and of all other powers enabling it in that behalf, the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby makes the following amendments in the schedule appended to its Notification No. 97(F. No. 50/12/68—ITJ) dated the 7th October, 1968 as modified by its Notification No. 112 (F. No. 50/12/68—ITJ) dated the 8th November, 1968 namely :

In the said schedule against A-Range, G-Range, H-Range, I-Range, M-Range, N-Range, New Delhi under column 2 the following shall be substituted.

Ranges	Income-tax Circles, Wards and Districts
1	2
A-Range, New Delhi	Companies Circles IV, VII, VIII, X, XIII, XIV, XV, XVI, XVII & XVIII, New Delhi.
C-Range, New Delhi	1. District III, Wards, B, C, D, E, F, F (Addl.), G. H & N, New Delhi. 2. B-II, B-II(I), B-III, B-III(I), B-IV, B-IV(I), B-V, B-V(I), B-X, B-XI and B-XI(I) Districts, New Delhi. 3. Income-tax *cum* Wealth-tax Circle I and V, New Delhi.
H-Range, New Delhi	1. B-XVII, B-XVII(I), B-XVII(2), B-XVII(3) and B-XVII(4) Districts, New Delhi. 2. District VI, Wards A, B, C, A(Addl.), B(Addl.), C(Addl), D and E, New Delhi. 3. Distt. IV, Wards C and D, New Delhi
I-Range, New Delhi	1. District III, Wards H, I, J, K, L, L(Addl.) and P, New Delhi. 2. Evacuee Circle, New Delhi. 3. B-VI, B-VI(I), B-VII, B-VII(I), (Addl.), B-VII, B-VIII, B-VIII(I), B-IX (Addl.), B-IX, Districts, New Delhi. 4. Income-tax *cum* Wealth Tax Circles II and VI, New Delhi.
M-Range, New Delhi	Companies Circles II, V, VI, XI & XII, New Delhi.
N-Range, New Delhi	1. District IV, Wards A and B, New Delhi. 2. District V, Wards A(I), B(I), C(I), F(I), I(I), (Addl.), F(II) and F(III). New Delhi. 3. B-(II), B-III(I), B-XIII, and B-XIII(I), Districts, New Delhi. 4. Income-tax *cum* Wealth Tax Circles XI and X, New Delhi.

This notification shall take effect from *1st July, 1969.*

Explanatory Note :

The amendments have become necessary for re-allocation of work load amongst the Appellate Assistant Commissioners.

(The above note does not form part of the notification but is intended to be merely clarificatory).

[No. 73 (F. No. 50/43/69—ITJ)]

New Delhi, the 13th June 1969

S.O. 3592.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 122 of the Incometax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), and all other powers enabling it in that behalf, the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby make the following further amendment in the schedule appended to its notification No. 72 F. No. 50/6/68-ITJ dated 20th August, 1968, viz. :—

"Against AAC 'A' Range, Nagpur, Under Col. 2 the following shall be added :—

S. No. (19) ITO Asstt. I, Gondia.
S. No. (20) ITO Asstt. II, Gondia.
S. No. (21) ITO Betul.

This Notification shall take effect from 16th June, 1969.

Explanatory Note.

The amendment has become necessary on account of creation of new Circles, namely, Asst. I, Gondia, Asst. II, Gondia and Batul and to assign appellate jurisdication to the newly created circles in the Commissioner's charge.

(The above note does from not form part of the notification but it intended to be merely clarificatory).

[No. 74(F. No. 50/35/69-ITJ.)]

S.O. 3593.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 122 of the Income-tax Act 1961 (43 of 1961) and all other powers enabling it in that behalf, the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby make the following further amendment in the schedule appended to its Notification No. 72 F. No. 50/6/68-ITJ dated 20th August, 1968, viz:—

(1) Against AAC 'A' Range, Indore Under Col. 2, the following shall be added:—

S. No. (9) ITO Mhow.

(2) Against AAC Ratlam, Under Col. 2, the following shall be added:—

S. No. (7) ITO Dhar.

This notification shall take effect Ist July, 1969.

Explanatory Note.

The amendment has become necessary on account of the creation of new Circles, namely Mhow and Dhar, and to assign appellate jurisdiction to these newly created circles, in the Commissioner's Charge.

(The above note does not form part of the notification, but is intended to be merely clarificatory).

[No. 75 (F. No. 50/35/69-ITJ)].

New Delhi, the 20th June 1969

S.O. 3594.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section (i) of Section 122 of the Incometax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) and of all other powers enabling it in that behalf and in supersession of all previous notifications in this regard, the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby directs that the Appellate Assistant Commissioners of Incom etax of the Ranges specified in column 2 of the Schedule below shall perform the functions inrespect of all persons and incomes assessed to incometax or supertax in the Incometax Circles, Wards and Districts specified in the corresponding entry in column 3 thereof:—

SCHEDULE

S. No.	Ranges	Incometax Circles, Wards & Districts
1	2	3
1	Range I, Allahabad . . .	1. A, B & C Wards, Allahabad. 2. Salary, Circle, Allahabad. 3. Estate, Duty cum Incometax Circle, Allahabad 4. Gonda. 5. Faizabad.

1	2	3
2	Range II, Allahabad . . .	1. D, E & F Wards, Allahabad. 2. Mirzapur.
3	Bareilly	1. Bareilly. 2. Nainital. 3. Shahjahanpur. 4. Haldwani. 5. Pilibhit. 6. Budaun. 7. Almora. 8. Kashipur.
4	Range I, Lucknow	1. Circle I, Lucknow. 2. Circle II, Lucknow which existed upto 31-5-1968. 3. Lakhimpur Kheri. 4. Lucknow.
5	Range II, Lucknow	1. Circle II, Lucknow (created w.e.f. 1-8-68). 2. Companies Circle, Lucknow. 3. Salary Circle, Lucknow. 4. Special Survey Circle, Lucknow. 5. Estate Duty *cum* Income-tax, Circle, Lucknow. 6. Hardoi. 7. Project Circle, Lucknow. 8. Sitapur.
6	Moradabad	1. Moradabad. 2. Rampur. 3. Bulandshahr. 4. Najibabad.
7	Range I, Varanasi	1. A to F Wards, Varanasi. 2. Special Circle, Varanasi. 3. I.T.O. Collection, Varanasi. 4. Gorakhpur. 5. Jaunpur. 6. Basti.
8	Range II, Varanasi	1. G, H & J Wards, Varanasi. 2. Special Survey Circle, Varanasi. 3. Project Circle, Varanasi. 4. Ballia. 5. Azamgarh. 6. I.T.O. Administration, Varanasi.

Where an Income-tax Circle, Ward or District or part thereof stands transferred by this notification from one range to another Range, appeals arising out of assessments made in that Income-tax Circle, Ward or District or part thereof and pending immediately before the date of this notification before the Appellate Assistant Commissioner of the Range from whom that Income-tax Circle, Ward or District or part thereof is transferred shall, from the date this notification take effect, be transferred to and dealt with by the Appellate Assistant Commissioner of the Range to whom the said circle, Ward or part thereof is transferred.

This notification shall take effect from the 23*rd June*, 1969.

[No. 83 (F. No. 50/44/69-ITJ).]

New Delhi, the 30th June, 1969

S.O. 3595.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 122 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) and of all other powers enabling it in that behalf and in supersession of all the previous notifications in this regard, the Central Board of Direct Taxes, hereby directs that the Appellate Assistant Commissioners of Income-tax, of the Ranges specified in column (1) of the Schedule below shall perform their functions in respect of all persons and incomes assessed to Income-tax or Super-tax in the Income-tax Circles by the Income-tax Officers specified in the corresponding entry in Column (2) thereof.

SCHEDULE

Range	Income-tax Circles & Income-tax Officers
1	2
Appellate Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax, "A" Range, Bangalore.	1. Bangalore City Circle-I. 2. Bangalore City Circle-III. 3. Kolar Circle. 4. Company Circle, Bangalore. 5. Chitradurga Circle. 6. Tumkur Circle. 7. Estate Duty *cum* Income-tax Circle, Mangalore. 8. Mangalore Circle. 9. Udipi Circle. 10. In respect of orders passed under the Income-tax Act by the following Income-tax Officers of Bangalore Circle, Bangalore. I.T.O., Admn., I.T.O., Asst. (1) I.T.O., Asst. (2) I.T.O., Asst. (3) I.T.O., Asst. (8) I.T.O., Asst. (9) 11. Circle-I, Bangalore.
II. Appellate Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax, "B" Range, Bangalore.	1. Bangalore City Circle-II. 2. Special Survey Circle, Bangalore. 3. Salary Circle, Bangalore. 4. Central Circle-I & II, Bangalore. 5. Mysore Circle. 6. Coorg Circle, Mercara. 7. E.D. *cum* I.T. Circle, Bangalore. 8. In respect of orders passed under the Income-tax Act by the following Income-tax Officers of Bangalore Circle, Bangalore. I.T.O., Asst. (4) I.T.O., Asst. (5) I.T.O., Asst. (6) I.T.O., Asst. (7) I.T.O., Asst.(10) I.T.O., Collection. 9. Circle-II, Bangalore.
III. Appellate Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax, Dharwar Range, Dharwar.	1. Dharwar Circle. 2. Hubli Circle. 3. Karwar Circle. 4. Bellary Circle. 5. E.D. *cum* I.T. Circle, Dharwar. 6. Shimoga Circle. 7. Hassan Circle. 8. Davangere Circle.

1	2
IV. Appellate Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax, Belgaum Range, Belgaum.	1. Belgaum Circle. 2. Bijapur Circle. 3. Panaji Circle, Goa. 4. Raichur Circle. 5. Gulbarga Circle. 6. Margao Circle.

Where an Income-tax Circle, Ward or District or part thereof stands, transferred by this Notification from one range to another range, appeals arising out of assessments made in that Income-tax Circle, Ward, District or part thereof and pending immediately before the date of this notification before the Appellate Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax of the Range from whom the Income-tax Circle, Ward or District or part thereof is transferred shall, from the date of this notification take effect, be trasferred to and dealt with by the Appellate Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax of the Range to whom the said Circle, Ward or District or part thereof is transferred.

This notification shall take effect from 1-7-1969.

Explanatory Note

The amendment has become necessary in order to assign appellate jurisdiction over two newly created circles nemely, Bangalore City Circle I and Bangalore City Circle II and to revise appellate jurisdiction over Estate Duty *Cum* I.T. Circle, Bangalore and E.D. *Cum* I.T. Circle, Mangalore.

(This does not form a part of the notification but is intended to be merely clarificatory).

[No. 90 (F. No. 50/33/69—III)]

New Delhi, the 5th July, 1969.

S.O. 3596-In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (1) of Section 122 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) and of all other powers enabling it in that behalf, the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby makes the following amendments in the Schedule appended to its Notification No. 60 (50/41/69—ITJ), dated 28th May, 1969 namely:—

In the said Schedule, against B-Range, Amritsar, A-Range, Ludhiana and Ambala Range for the entries under column 2, the following entries shall be substituted namely :—

B—Range, Amritsar	1. All Income-tax Circles, Wards or Districts having headquarters at Batala. 2. In respect of the orders passed by the Income-tax Officers upto and including 14-7-1967. (*i*) District III(i) III(ii), III(iii), III(v) and III(vi), Amritsar. (*ii*) Central Circles, I, II and III, Amritsar. (*iii*) Central Circles I and II, Ludhiana. (*iv*) Central Circle, Ambala. (*v*) Special Survey Circle, Amritsar [in respect of persons who have their principal place of business in or reside in the jurisdiction of Distt. III(i), III(ii), III(iii), III(v) and III(vi), Amritsar, Central Circle, I, II, III, Amritsar, Central Circles, Ludhiana and Batala].

	(*vi*) Special Survey Circle, Patiala (in respect of persons who have their principal place of business in or reside in the jurisdiction of Central Circle, Ambala). (*vii*) Salary Circle, Jullundur [in respect of persons who reside in the jurisdiction of Distt. III(i), III(ii), III(iii), III(v) and III(vi) Amritsar, Central Circles, I, II, III, Amritsar and Batala].
	3. In respect of orders passed by the Income-tax Officers after 14-7-1967. (*i*) Distt. II(i) to II(iii), Amritsar. (*ii*) Central Circles, Amritsar. (*iii*) Central Circles, Ludhiana. (*iv*) Central Circle, Ambala.
A-Range Ludhiana	1. All Income-tax Circles, Wards or Districts having headqaurters at:— (*i*) Sirsa. (*ii*) Moga. (*iii*) Sangrur.
	2. Addl. A-Ward, Ludhiana.
	3. B-Ward, Ludhiana.
	4. Addl. B-Ward, Ludhiana.
	5. E-Ward, Ludhiana.
	6. Special Survey Circle, Amritsar (in respect of persons who have their principal place of business in or reside in the jurisdiction of income-tax Officers Addl. A-Ward, Ludhiana, B-Ward, Ludhiana, Addl. B-Ward, Ludhiana and E-Ward, Ludhiana and Moga).
	7. Special Survey Circle, Patiala (in respect of persons who have their principal place of business in or reside in the jurisdiction of Income-tax Officers, Sirsa and Sangrur).
	8. Salary Circle, Jullundur (in respect of persons who reside in the jurisdiction of Income-tax Officers, Addl. A-Ward, Ludhiana, B-Ward, Ludhiana, Addl. B-Ward, Ludhiana, E-Ward Ludhiana, Sirsa, Moga and Sangrur).
Ambala	1. All Income-tax Circles, Wards or Districts having headquarters at:— (*i*) Ambala, Except Central Circle, Ambala. (*ii*) Yamunanagar. (*iii*) Karnal. (*iv*) Panipat. (*v*) Simla.
	2. Special Survey Circle, Patiala (in respect of persons who have their principal place of business in or reside in the Districts of Ambala, Karnal and Simla).
	3. Salary Circle, Jullundur (in respect of persons who reside in the Districts of Ambala and Karnal).

This notification shall take effect from *8th July*, 1969.

Explanatory Note

The amendments have become necessary on account of re-allocation of work amongst the above appellate ranges.

(The above note does not form part of the notification but is intended to be merely clarificatory).

[No. 92(F. No. 50/41/69-ITJ).]

S. V. SUBBA RAO, Under Secy.

प्रत्यक्ष कर केन्द्रीय बोर्ड

आयकर

नई दिल्ली, 27 मई, 1969

एस० ओ० 3597.—आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 122 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों और इस निमित्त अपने को समर्थ बनाने वाली अन्य सभी शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए तथा इस सम्बन्ध की सभी पूर्व अधिसूचनाओं को अधिक्रान्त करते हुए केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड एतद्द्वारा निदेश देता है कि नीचे की अनुसूची के स्तंभ 1 में विनिर्दिष्ट रेंजों के अपीली सहायक आयुक्त आयकर उन सभी व्यक्तियों के और उस आय के सम्बन्ध में अपने कृत्यों का पालन करेंगे जो उस अनुसूची के स्तंभ 2 की तत्स्थानी प्रविष्टि में विनिर्दिष्ट आयकर सर्किलों, बोर्डों, या जिलों या जिलों में आयकर या अधिकर के लिए निर्धारित हुए/हुई है ।

अनुसूची

रेंज	आयकर सर्किल, बोर्ड या जिले
(1)	(2)
क. रेंज, मद्रास . .	1. कंपनी सर्किल II, (सभी खंड) मद्रास 2. नगर सर्किल I, मद्रास 3. नगर सर्किल III, मद्रास 4. नगर सर्किल V, मद्रास 5. नगर सर्किल VI, मद्रास 6. विदेश खंड, मद्रास 7. सम्पदा शुल्क-एवं आयकर सर्किल, मद्रास 8. कर वसूली सर्किल, मद्रास
ख. रेंज, मद्रास . .	1. विशेष अन्वेषण सर्किल, 'क' मद्रास 2. विशेष अन्वेषण सर्किल, 'ख' मद्रास 3. केन्द्रीय सर्किल I से X VII मद्रास 4. कुड्डालूर सर्किल, (सभी खंड) 5. पांडिचेरी सर्किल, (सभी खंड)

(1)	(2)
ग. रेंज, मद्रास	1. कंपनी सर्किल I (सभी खंड), मद्रास
	2. हुंडी सर्किल I, मद्रास
	3. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सर्किल (सभी खंड), मद्रास
	4. हुंडी सर्किल II, मद्रास
	5. वेतन सर्किल, मद्रास
	6. वेतन सर्किल I, मद्रास
	7. वेतन सर्किल II, मद्रास
घ. रेंज, मद्रास	1. नगर सर्किल II (सभी खंड), मद्रास
	2. कांची पुरम् सर्किल
	3. थंजावुर सर्किल (सभी खंड)
	4. सम्पदा शुल्क-एवं-आयकर सर्किल, थंजावुर
	5. नागा पट्टीनम सर्किल (सभी खंड)
	6. कंबा कोनम सर्किल (सभी खंड)
ङ. रेंज, मद्रास	1. नगर सर्किल IV, मद्रास
	2. नगर सर्किल VII, (सभी खंड) मद्रास
	3. वेल्लूर सर्किल
तिरू चिरापल्लि रेंज	1. तिरूचिरापल्लि सर्किल
	2. नगर सर्किल I (सभी खंड), तिरूचिरापल्लि
	3. कंपनी सर्किल, तिरुचिरापल्लि
	4. पुदुकोट्टई सर्किल, (सभी खंड)
	5. कनई कुदी सर्किल (सभी खंड)
मदुराई रेंज	1. मदुराई सर्किल
	2. कंपनी सर्किल, मदुराई
	3. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सर्किल, मदुराई
	4. सम्पदा शुल्क-एवं-आयकर सर्किल, मदुराई
	5. विरुदु नगर सर्किल
	6. तूती कोरिन सर्किल
	7. तिरु नेल वेली सर्किल
	8. नगर कोयल सर्किल
	9. डिंडीगुल सर्किल (सभी खंड)
सालेम रेंज	1. सालेम सर्किल
	2. कंपनी सर्किल, सालेम
	3. सर्किल I, सालेम
	4. सर्किल II, सालेम
	5. इरोड सर्किल (सभी खंड)
	6. ऊटाकमंड सर्किल (सभी खंड)

(1)	(2)
ऊटाकमंड रेंज	1. कोयम्बटूर सर्किल 2. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सर्किल, कोयम्बटूर 3. विशेष अन्वेषण सर्किल, कोयम्बटूर 4. सर्किल I कोयम्बटूर 5. सर्किल II, कोयम्बटूर 6. नगर सर्किल I (सभी खंड), कोयम्बटूर 7. नगर सर्किल II (सभी खंड), कोयम्बटूर 8. केन्द्रीय सर्किल I और II, कोयम्बटूर 9. सभी कंपनी सर्किल, कोयम्बटूर 10. वेतन कंपनी सर्किल, कोयम्बटूर 11. सम्पदा शुल्क-एवं-आयकर सर्किल कोयम्बटूर 12. पोलाची सर्किल (सभी खंड) 13. तिरुपुर सर्किल 14. अतिरिक्त लाभ कर सर्किल कोयम्बटूर और इरोड ।

जहां कि इस अधिसूचना द्वारा कोई आय-कर सर्किल, वार्ड या जिला या उसका कोई भाग एक रेंज से दूसरे रेंज को अन्तरित हो गया हो वहां उस आय-कर सर्किल वार्ड या जिले या उसके किसी भाग में निर्धारणों के परिणामस्वरूप की गई अपीलें, जो इस अधिसूचना की तारीख से ठीक पहले उस रेंज के अपीली सहायक आयुक्त के समक्ष लम्बित थीं, जिससे वह आय-कर सर्किल, वार्ड या जिला या उसका कोई भाग अन्तरित कर दिया गया है, इस अधिसूचना के प्रभावी होने की तारीख से उस क्षेत्र के, जिसको उक्त सर्किल, वार्ड या जिला या उसका कोई भाग अन्तरित कर दिया गया है, अपीली सहायक आयुक्त को अन्तरित कर दी जाएगी और उनके सम्बन्ध में कार्यवाही उक्त अपीली आयुक्त द्वारा की जाएगी ।

यह अधिसूचना 2-6-1969 से प्रभावी होगी ।

[सं० 58(सं० फा० 50/32/69-आ०क०अधि)]

नई दिल्ली, 28 मई 1969

एस० ओ० 3598.—आयकर अधिनियम 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 122 की उप-धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों और इस निमित्त अपने को समर्थ बनाने वाली अन्य सभी शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्षकर बोर्ड समय समय पर यथासंशोधित अपनी अधिसूचना संख्या 12 (एफ० संख्या 5/7/66-आय कर अधि०) तारीख 14-1-1966 से संलग्न अनुसूची में एतद् द्वारा निम्नलिखित अतिरिक्त संशोधन करता है । अर्थात् :—

उक्त अनसूची में—

'ङ' 1. 'ङ'—रेंज कलकत्ता के सामने निम्नलिखित प्रतिस्थापित किया जाएगा ।

1. कम्पनी जिला I कलकत्ता ('क' से 'ङ' तक के वार्डों से भिन्न)

2. 'य' रेंज कलकत्ता के सामने निम्नलिखित प्रतिस्थापित किया जाएगा :

1. कम्पनी जिला I कलकत्ता ('क' से 'ङ' तक के वार्ड)

3. 'झ' रेंज कलकत्ता के सामने निम्नलिखित प्रतिस्थापित किया जाएगा :
   1. जिला VII कलकत्ता
   2. जिला V (2) कलकत्ता
   3. विशेष सर्किल–II कलकत्ता

4. 'ञ'–रेंज कलकत्ता के सामने निम्नलिखित प्रतिस्थापित किया जाएगा :
   1. जिला IV (1) कलकत्ता
   2. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सर्किल–VI

5. 'ट'–रेंज कलकत्ता के सामने निम्नलिखित प्रतिस्थापित किया जाएगा :
   1. मिदनापुर
   2. सिनेमा सर्किल–I कलकत्ता
   3. सिनेमा सर्किल––II कलकत्ता
   4. सिनेमा सर्किल कलकत्ता
   5. जिला V (क) कलकत्ता
   6. नाडिया

6. 'ज'–रेंज कलकत्ता के सामने निम्नलिखित प्रतिस्थापित किया जाएगा:
   1. जिला III (1) कलकत्ता
   2. परियोजना सर्किल–II कलकत्ता
   3. उत्पाद शुल्क एवं आयकर सर्किल
   4. विशेष सर्किल––I कलकत्ता

7. 'फ––रेंज कलकत्ता के सामने निम्नलिखित प्रतिस्थापित किया जाएगा :
   1. जिला III (2) कलकत्ता ('क' से 'ज' तक के वार्डों से भिन्न)
   2. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सर्किल–– IX, कलकत्ता 'नव सृजित
   3. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सर्किल––I कलकत्ता

8. 'ठ'-रेंज कलकत्ता के सामने निम्नलिखित प्रतिस्थापित किया जाएगा :
   1. 1(1) कलकत्ता ('क' से 'ङ' तक के वार्डों से भिन्न)
   2. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सर्किल––II कलकत्ता
   3. मुर्शिदाबाद

9. 'न'–रेंज, कलकत्ता के सामने निम्नलिखित प्रतिस्थापित किया जाएगा :
   1. जिला 1 (2) कलकत्ता
   2. विशेष सर्किल–– VI, कलकत्ता

यह अधिसूचना 2 जून, 1969 से प्रवृत्त हो जाएगी।

[सं० 59 (एफ सं० 50/46/66-आयकर अधि०)]

एस० ओ० 3599.—आय-कर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 122 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियो और इस निमित्त उसे समर्थ बनाने वाली अन्य सभी शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए तथा इस सम्बन्ध की सभी पूर्व अधिसूचनों को अधिक्रान्त करते हुए, केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड एतद्द्वारा निदेश देता है कि नीचे की अनुसूची के स्तम्भ 1 में विनिर्दिष्ट रेंजों के अपीली

सहायक आयुक्त आयकर उन सभी व्यक्तियों के और उस आय के सम्बन्ध में अपने कृत्यों का पालन करेंगे जो उस अनुसूची के स्तंभ 2 की तत्स्थानी प्रविष्टि में विनिर्दिष्ट आयकर सर्किलों, वार्डों या जिलों में आयकर या अधिकर के लिए निर्धारित हुए/हुई हैं ।

अनुसूची

रेंज	आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड और जिले
1	2
क—अमृतसर रेंज . . .	1. आय-कर अधिकारियों द्वारा 14-7-1967 तक, जिसमें यह तारीख भी सम्मिलित है, पारित आदेशों के विषय में । (i) जि०—I, अमृतसर । (ii) जि०—II (i) से (v) तक अमृतसर । (iii) जि० (iv), अमृतसर । (iv) विशेष सर्वेक्षण सर्किल, अमृतसर (उन व्यक्तियों के सम्बन्ध में जिनके कारबार का प्रधान स्थान जि० I, II और III ( ) अमृतसर में है या जो उनकी अधिकारिता में निवास करते हैं । (v) वेतन सर्किल, जलंधर (उन व्यक्तियों के सम्बन्ध में जो जि० I, II, और III (iv), अमृतसर की अधिकारिता में निवास करते हैं ।) 2. आय-कर अधिकारियों द्वारा 14-7-1967 के पश्चात् पारित आदेशों के विषय में । (i) जि० I(i), अमृतसर । (ii) जि० I (ii), अमृतसर । (iii) अति० जि० (ii), अमृतसर । (iv) जि० I (iii) अमृतसर । (v) अति० जि० I (iii), अमृतसर । (vi) जि० I (iv), अमृतसर । (vii) जि० I (v) अमृतसर । (viii) अति० जि० I (v) अमृतसर । (ix) जि० I (vi), अमृतसर । (x) अति० जि० I (vi), अमृतसर ।

क्षेत्र	आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड और जिले
1	2
	3. सभी आय-कर सर्किल, वार्ड या जिले जिनके मुख्यालय मंडी में हैं ।
	4. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सर्किल, पटियाला (उन व्यक्तियों के सम्बन्ध में जो मंडी जिले में निवास करते हैं ।)
ख—रेंज अमृतसर . . .	1. सभी आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड या जिले जिनके मुख्यालय बटाला में हैं ।
	2. आय-कर अधिकारियों द्वारा 14-7-1967 तक जिस में यह तारीख भी सम्मिलित है । पारित आदेशों के विषय में ।
	(i) जिला III (i), III (ii), III (iii), III (v), और III (vi), अमृतसर ।
	(ii) केन्द्रीय सर्किल, I, II, III, अमृतसर ।
	(iii) विशेष सर्वेक्षण सर्किल, अमृतसर (उन व्यक्तियों के सम्बन्ध में जिनके कारबार का प्रधान स्थान जि० III (i), III (ii), III (iii), III (v) और III (vi), अमृतसर, केन्द्रीय सर्किल, I, II, III, अमृतसर और बटाला में है या जो उनकी अधिकारिता में निवास करते हैं ।)
	(iv) वेतन सर्किल, जलंधर (उन व्यक्तियों के सम्बन्ध में जो जि० III (i), III (ii), III (iii), III (iv) और III (vi), अमृतसर, केन्द्रीय सर्किल I, II, III, अमृतसर और बटाला भी अधिकारिता में निवास करते हैं ।)
	3. आय-कर अधिकारियों द्वारा 14-7-1967 के पश्चात् पारित आदेशों के विषय में ।
	(i) जि० II (i) से II (iii) तक, अमृतसर ।
	(ii) केन्द्रीय सर्किल, अमृतसर ।

क्षेत्र	आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड और जिले
1	2
ग—क्षेत्र अमृतसर . . .	1. सभी आय-कर सर्किल, वार्ड या जिले जिनके मुख्यालय अमृतसर में है उनसे भिन्न जो क-रेंज, अमृतसर—तथा ख—रेंज, अमृतसर के सामने ऊपर के स्तंभ 2 में वर्णित है । 2. सभी आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड या जिले, जिनके मुख्यालय होशियारपुर में है । 3. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सर्किल, अमृतसर (उन व्यक्तियों के सम्बन्ध में जिनके कारबार का प्रधान स्थान होशियारपुर में है या जो होशियारपुर के आयकर अधिकारियों की अधिकारिता में निवास करते है ।) 4. वेतन सर्किल, जलंधर (उन व्यक्तियों के सम्बन्ध में जो होशियारपुर के आय-कर अधिकारियों की अधिकारिता में निवास करते हैं ।)
जलधर . . .	1. सभी आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड या जिले, जिनके मुख्यालय (i) जलंधर, तथा (ii) खन्ना में हैं । 2. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सर्किल, अमृतसर (उन व्यक्तियों के सम्बन्ध में जिनके कारबार का प्रधान स्थान जलंधर और खन्ना में है या जो उनके आयकर अधिकारियों की अधिकारिता में निवास करते हैं ।) 3. वेतन सर्किल, जलंधर (उन व्यक्तियों के सम्बन्ध में जो जलंधर और खन्ना के आयकर अधिकारियों की अधिकारिता में निवास करते हैं ।)
क—रेंज, लुधियाना	1. सभी आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड या जिले, जिनके मुख्यालय (i) सिरसा (ii) मोगा और (iii) संगरूर में है । 2. अति० क—वार्ड, लुधियाना । 3. ख—वार्ड, लुधियाना । 4. अति० ख—वार्ड, लुधियाना ।

क्षेत्र	आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड और जिले
1	2
	5. ङ–वार्ड, लुधियाना ।
	6. केन्द्रीय सर्किल, लुधियाना ।
	7. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सर्किल, अमृतसर (उन व्यक्तियों के सम्बन्ध में जिनके कारबार का प्रधान स्थान अति० क–वार्ड, लुधियाना, ख–वार्ड, लुधियाना, अति० ख–वार्ड-लुधियाना तथा ङ–वार्ड, लुधियाना, केन्द्रीय सर्किल, लुधियाना और मोगा में है या जो उनके आयकर अधिकारियों की अधिकारिता में निवास करते हैं)
	8. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सर्किल, पटियाला (उन व्यक्तियों के सम्बन्ध में जिनके कारबार का प्रधान स्थान सिरसा और संगरूर में है या जो उनके आयकर अधिकारियों की अधिकारिता में निवास करते हैं ।)
	9. वेतन सर्किल, जलंधर (उन व्यक्तियों के सम्बन्ध में जो अति० क–वार्ड, लुधियाना, ख,–वार्ड, लुधियाना, केन्द्रीय सर्किल, लुधियाना, सिरसा, मोगा और संगरूर के आयकर अधिकारियों की अधिकारिता में रहते हैं ।
ख—रेंज, लुधियाना	1. सभी आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड या जिले, जिनके मुख्यालय लुधियाना में है, उनसे भिन्न जो क–रेंज लुधियाना, के सामने ऊपर के स्तम्भ 2 में वर्णित हैं ।
	2. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सर्किल, अमृतसर (उन व्यक्तियों के सम्बन्ध में जिनके कारबार का प्रधान स्थान लुधियाना में है या जो उसके आयकर अधिकारियों की अधिकारिता में निवास करते हैं, उनसे भिन्न जो क–रेंज के सामने ऊपर के स्तम्भ 2 में वर्णित है ।)
	3. वेतन सर्किल, जलंधर (उन व्यक्तियों के सम्बन्ध में जो लुधियाना के आयकर अधिकारियों की अधिकारिता में निवास करते हैं, उनसे भिन्न जो क–क्षेत्र के सामने ऊपर के स्तम्भ 2 में वर्णित है ।)

रेंज	आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड और जिला
1	2
क-रेंज, पटियाला । . . .	1. सभी आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड या जिले जिनके मुख्यालय (i) चण्डीगढ़ और (ii) हिसार में हैं ।
	2. कम्पनी सर्किल, पटियाला (सिवाय उन व्यक्तियों के सम्बन्ध में जो इस समय आयकर अधिकारी, कम्पनी वार्ड, रोहतक द्वारा निर्धारणीय हैं)।
	3. ख-वार्ड, पटियाला ।
	4. अतिरिक्त ख-वार्ड, पटियाला ।
	5. ग-वार्ड, पटियाला ।
	6. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सर्किल, पटियाला (उन व्यक्तियों के सम्बन्ध में जिनके कारबार का प्रधान स्थान चण्डीगढ़, हिसार, ख-वार्ड, पटियाला, अतिरिक्त ख-वार्ड पटियाला, ग-वार्ड, पटियाला और कम्पनी सर्किल, पटियाला में है या जो उनके आयकर अधिकारियों की अधिकारिता में रहते हैं (सिवाय उन व्यक्तियों के सम्बन्ध में जो इस समय आयकर अधिकारी, कम्पनी वार्ड, रोहतक द्वारा निर्धारणीय हैं) ।
	7. वेतन सर्किल, जलंधर उन व्यक्तियों के सम्बन्ध में जो चण्डीगढ़, हिसार, ख-वार्ड, पटियाला, अति० ख-वार्ड, पटियाला, ग-वार्ड, पटियाला और कम्पनी सर्किल, पटियाला के आयकर अधिकारियों की अधिकारिता में निवास करते हैं (सिवाय उन व्यक्तियों के सम्बन्ध में, जो इस समय आयकर अधिकारी, कम्पनी वार्ड, रोहतक द्वारा निर्धारणीय है)।
ख-रेंज, पटियाला । . .	1. सभी आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड या जिले, जिनके मुख्यालय (i) भटिंडा (ii) फिरोजपुर, (iii) एबोहर, (iv) जिंद में हैं ।
	2. सभी आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड या जिले, जिनके मुख्यालय पटियाला में है, उनसे भिन्न जो क-क्षेत्र, पटिलाया के सामने ऊपर के स्तंभ--2 में वर्णित है ।

रेंज	आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड और जिले
1	2
	3. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सर्किल, अमृतसर (उन व्यक्तियों के सम्बन्ध में जिनके कारबार का प्रधान स्थान फिरोजपुर और एबोहर में हैं या उन के आयकर अधिकारियों की अधिकारिता में निवास करते हैं)।
	4. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सर्किल, पटियाला (उन व्यक्तियों के सम्बन्ध में जिनके कारबार का प्रधान स्थान भटिंडा, जिंद और पटियाला में है या जो उन के आयकर अधिकारियों की अधिकारिता में निवास करते हैं, उनसे भिन्न जो क-क्षेत्र, पटियाला के सामने ऊपर के स्तम्भ–2 में वर्णित है ।)
	5. वेतन सर्किल जलंधर (उन व्यक्तियों के सम्बन्ध में जो भटिंडा, जिंद, फिरोजपुर, एबोहर, आयकर अधिकारियों और पटियाला क-रेंज के सामने ऊपर के स्तम्भ 2 में वर्णित आयकर अधिकारियों से भिन्न अधिकारियों की अधिकारिता में निवास करते हैं)।
अम्बाला	1. सभी आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड या जिले, जिनके मुख्यालय (i) अम्बाला, (ii) यमुना नगर, (iii) करनाल (iv) पानीपत, (v) शिमला में हैं ।
	2. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सर्किल, पटियाला (उन व्यक्तियों के सम्बन्ध में जिनके कारबार का प्रधान स्थान अम्बाला, करनाल और शिमला के जिलों में है या जो उन जिलों में निवास करते हैं)।
	3. वेतन सर्किल, जलंधर (उन व्यक्तियों के सम्बन्ध में जो अम्बाला और करनाल के जिलों में निवास करते हैं) ।
क-रेंज, रोहतक ।	1. सभी आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड या जिले जिनके मुख्यालय सोनीपत में हैं ।
	2. क-वार्ड, रोहतक ।
	3. ख-वार्ड, रोहतक ।
	4. कम्पनी वार्ड, रोहतक ।

रेंज	आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड और जिले
1	2
	5. कम्पनी सर्किल, पटियाला (उन व्यक्तियों के सम्बन्ध में जो इस समय आयकर अधिकारी कम्पनी वार्ड, रोहतक द्वारा निर्धारणीय हैं ।)
	6. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सर्किल, पटियाला (उन व्यक्तियों के सम्बन्ध में जिनके कारबार का प्रधान स्थान सोनीपत, क—वार्ड, रोहतक, ख—वार्ड, रोहतक कम्पनी वार्ड, रोहतक और कम्पनी सर्किल, पटियाला—(उन व्यक्तियों के सम्बन्ध में जो इस समय आयकर अधिकारी, कम्पनी वार्ड, रोहतक द्वारा निर्धारणीय हैं, में हैं या जो इनके आयकर अधिकारियों की अधिकारिता में निवास करते हैं ।
	7. वेतन सर्किल, जलंधर (उन व्यक्तियों के सम्बन्ध में जो सोनीपत, क—वार्ड, रोहतक, ख—वार्ड, रोहतक, कम्पनी वार्ड, रोहतक तथा कम्पनी सर्किल, पटियाला उन व्यक्तियों के सम्बन्ध में जो उस समय आयकर अधिकारी, कम्पनी वार्ड, रोहतक द्वारा निर्धारणीय है, के आयकर अधिकारियों की अधिकारिता में निवास करते हैं)।
ख—रेंज, रोहतक । . . .	1. सभी आयकर, सर्किल, वार्ड या जिले जिनके मुख्यालय (i) गुड़गांव, (ii) फरीदाबाद में हैं ।
	2. सभी आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड या जिले जिनके मुख्यालय रोहतक में हैं, उन से भिन्न जो क—क्षेत्र, रोहतक के सामने ऊपर के स्तंभ 2 में वर्णित है ।
	3. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सर्किल, पटियाला (उन व्यक्तियों के सम्बन्ध में जिनके कारबार का प्रधान स्थान गुड़गांव, फरीदाबाद तथा रोहतक में हैं या जो इनके आयकर अधिकारियों की अधिकारिता में निवास करते हैं, उनसे भिन्न जो क—क्षेत्र रोहतक के सामने ऊपर के स्तम्भ 2 में वर्णित है)।

रेंज	आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड और जिले
1	2
	4. वेतन सर्किल, जलंधर (उन व्यक्तियों के सम्बन्ध में जो गुड़गांव, फरीदाबाद और रोहतक (उनसे भिन्न जो क-क्षेत्र, रोहतक के सामने ऊपर के स्तंभ 2 में वर्णित है) के आयकर अधिकारियों की अधिकारिता में निवास करते हैं ।
जम्मू	1. सभी आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड या जिले जिनके मुख्यालय (1) जम्मू, (ii) श्रीनगर, (iii) पठान कोट में है ।
	2. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सर्किल, अमृतसर (उन व्यक्तियों के सम्बन्ध में जिनके कारबार का प्रधान स्थान पठानकोट में है या जो पठानकोट के आयकर अधिकारी की अधिकारिता में निवास करते हैं)।
	3. वेतन सर्किल, जलंधर (उन व्यक्तियों के सम्बन्ध में जो पठानकोट के आयकर अधिकारियों की अधिकारिता में निवास करते हैं)।

जहां कि इस अधिसूचना द्वारा कोई आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड या जिला या उसका कोई भाग एक रेंज से दूसरे रेंज को अन्तरित हो गया हो वहा उस आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड या जिले या उसके किसी भाग में निर्धारणों के परिणामस्वरूप की गई अपीलें, जो इस अधिसूचना की तारीख से ठीक पहले उस रेंज के अपीलो सहायक आयुक्त के समक्ष लम्बित थी, जिससे वह आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड या जिला या उसका कोई भाग अन्तरित कर दिया गया है, इस अधिसूचना के प्रभावी होने की तारीख से उस क्षेत्र के, जिसको उक्त सर्किल, वार्ड या जिला या उसका कोई भाग अन्तरित कर दिया गया है, अपीली सहायक आयुक्त को अन्तरित कर दी जाएंगी और उनके सम्बन्ध में कार्यवाही उक्त अपीली सहायक आयुक्त द्वारा की जाएगी ।

यह अधिसूचना 1-6-1969 से प्रभावी होगी ।

[सं० 60 (फा० सं० 50/41/69-आयकर अधि०)]

एस० ओ० 3600.—आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 122 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों और इस निमित्त अपने को समर्थ बनाने वाली अन्य सभी शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए तथा इस सम्बन्ध की सभी पूर्व अधिसूचनाओं को अधिक्रान्त करते हुए केंद्रीय प्रत्यक्ष

कर बोर्ड एतद्द्वारा निदेश देता है कि नीचे की अनुसूची के स्तम्भ 1 में विनिर्दिष्ट क्षेत्रों के अपीली सहायक आयुक्त आयकर उन सभी व्यक्तियों के और उस आय के सम्बन्ध में अपने कृत्यों का पालन करेंगे जो उस अनुसूची के स्तम्भ 2 की तत्स्थानी प्रविष्टि में विनिर्दिष्ट आयकर सर्किलों, वार्डों या जिलों में आयकर या अधिकर के लिए निर्धारित हुए हुई हैं।

अनुसूची

रेंज	आय-कर सर्किल, वार्ड और जिले
(1)	(2)
क-रेंज, जयपुर . . .	1. ख, ग, ङ, ञ, ट तथा ड वार्ड, जयपुर। 2. सभी कम्पनी सर्किल, जिनके मुख्यालय जयपुर में हों। 3. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सर्किल, I और II, जयपुर।
ख-रेंज, जयपुर . . .	1. क, घ, च, छ, ज वार्ड, जयपुर और विशेष वार्ड जयपुर। 2. विशेष अन्वेषण सर्किल, क और (ख) जयपुर। 3. सभी केन्द्रीय सर्किल जिनके मुख्यालय जयपुर में हैं। 4. सम्पदा शुल्क सर्किल, जयपुर 5. सभी वेतन सर्किल जिनके मुख्यालय जयपुर में हैं। 6. विशेष निर्धारण सर्किल I, II और III, जयपुर। 7. विशेष निर्धारण सर्किल, जयपुर।
क-रेंज, जोधपुर . . .	1. क, घ, च और ज वार्ड, जोधपुर। 2. कम्पनी सर्किल, जोधपुर। 3. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सर्किल, जोधपुर। 4. सभी आयकर वार्ड जिनके मुख्यालय पाली में हैं। 5. सभी आय-कर वार्ड जिनके मुख्यालय सिरोही में हैं।
ख-रेंज, जोधपुर . . .	1. ख, ग, ङ, छ वार्ड, जोधपुर। 2. विशेष निर्धारण सर्किल, जोधपुर। 3. सभी एसे वार्ड जिनके मुख्यालय नगौर में है। 4. विशेष निर्धारण सर्किल, I और II, जोधपुर। 5. क—I वार्ड, जोधपुर।

(1)	(2)
उदयपुर रेंज, उदयपुर	1. सभी आय-कर वार्ड और सर्किल जिनके मुख्यालय उदयपुर में हैं। 2. चित्तौरगढ़ सर्किल । 3. भिलवारा सर्किल ।
बीकानेर रेंज, बीकानेर	1. सभी आय-कर वार्ड जिनके मुख्यालय बीकानेर में हैं । 2. सभी आय-कर वार्ड जिनके मुख्यालय श्री गंगानगर में हैं ।
अजमेर रेंज, अजमेर	1. सभी आय-कर वार्ड जिनके मुख्यालय अजमेर में हैं । 2. बेंवर सर्किल । 3. सभी आय-कर वार्ड जिनके मुख्यालय अलवर में हैं । 4. सिकार सर्किल । 5. झुनझुनू सर्किल ।
कोटा रेंज, कोटा	1. सभी आय-कर वार्ड जिनके मुख्यालय कोटा में हैं । 2. भरतपुर सर्किल । 3. सवाईमाधोपुर सर्किल ।

जहां कि इस अधिसूचना द्वारा कोई आय-कर सर्किल, वार्ड या जिला या उसका कोई भाग एक रेंज से दूसरे रेंज को अन्तरित हो गया हो वहां उस आय-कर सर्किल वार्ड या जिले या उसके किसी भाग में निर्धारणों के परिणामस्वरूप की गई अपीलें, जो इस अधिसूचना की तारीख से ठीक पहले उस क्षेत्र के अपीली सहायक आयुक्त के समक्ष लम्बित थी, जिसमें वह आय-कर सर्किल, वार्ड या जिला या उसका कोई भाग अन्तरित कर दिया गया है, इस अधिसूचना के प्रभावी होने की तारीख से उस रेंज के, जिसको उक्त सर्किल वार्ड या जिला या उसका कोई भाग अन्तरित कर दिया गया है, अपीली सहायक आयुक्त को अन्तरित कर दी जाएगी और उनके सम्बन्ध में कार्यवाही उक्त अपीली सहायक आयुक्त द्वारा की जाएगी ।

यह अधिसूचना 1-6-1969 से प्रभावी होगी ।

[सं० 61 (फा० सं० 50/42/69-आ० क० अधि.)]

एस ०ओ० 3601.—आयकर अधिनियम 1961 (1961 का 43,) की धारा 122 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों और इस निमित्त अपने को समर्थ बनाने वाली अन्य सभी शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड, अपनी अधिसूचना संख्या 12 (फा० संख्या 50/4/68-

आयकर अधि०) तारीख 14 जनवरी 1969 से संलग्न अनुसूची में एतद् द्वारा निम्नलिखित संशोधन करता है, अर्थात् :—

उक्त अनुसची में, स्तम्भ 2 में विद्यमान प्रविष्टियों के नीचे (1) क-क्षेत्र, हैदराबाद, (2) ग-क्षेत्र, हैदराबाद, (3) विजयवाड़ा और (4) गुंटूर के सामने निम्नलिखित प्रतिस्थापित किया जाएगा, अर्थात् :—

अनुसूची

रेंज	आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड और जिले
(1)	(2)
क-रेंज, हैदराबाद . . .	1. सर्किल—I, हैदराबाद । 2. वार्ड-I-क, हैदराबाद सर्किल । 3. वार्ड—I-ख, हैदराबाद सर्किल । 4. वार्ड—I-ग, हैदराबाद सर्किल । 5. वार्ड—I-घ, हैदराबाद सर्किल । 6. वार्ड—I-ङ, हैदराबाद सर्किल । 7. वार्ड—I (पुराना), हैदराबाद । 8. क-वार्ड, हैदराबाद । 9. आयकर एवं सम्पत्तिकर सर्किल-I, हैदराबाद । 10. वेतन सर्किल, हैदराबाद । 11. वारंगल । 12. करीम नगर । 13. खम्मम ।
ग-रेंज, हैदराबाद . . .	1. सर्किल—III हैदराबाद । 2. कम्पनी वार्ड, सिकन्दराबाद सर्किल, हैदराबाद । 3. कम्पनी सर्किल, हैदराबाद । 4. कम्पनी सर्किल (पुराना), हैदराबाद । 5. वार्ड—III-क, सिकन्दराबाद सर्किल, हैदराबाद । 6. वार्ड—III-ख, सिकन्दराबाद सर्किल, हैदराबाद । 7. वार्ड—III-ग, सिकन्दराबाद सर्किल, हैदराबाद । 8. वार्ड—III-घ, सिकन्दराबाद सर्किल, हैदराबाद । 9. वार्ड—III-ङ, सिकन्दराबाद सर्किल, हैदराबाद ।

(1)	(2)
	10. सर्किल—III (पुराना), हैदराबाद ।
	11. ग—वार्ड, हैदराबाद ।
	12. आयकर एवं सम्पत्तिकर सर्किल—III, हैदराबाद ।
	13. केन्द्रीय सर्किल, हैदराबाद ।
	14. केन्द्रीय सर्किल (पुराना), हैदराबाद ।
	15. प्रायोजना सर्किल, हैदराबाद ।
	16. एम० पी० पी० सर्किल, हैदराबाद ।
	17. कुरनूल ।
	18. महबूब नगर ।
	19. कोठागुडेम ।
	20. वसूली सर्किल, हैदराबाद ।
विजय वाड़ा रेंज, विजयवाड़ा . .	1. विजयवाड़ा
	2. मसुलीपट्टम
	3. गुडी वाड़ा
	4. तनुकू
	5. एलूर
गुन्टूर रेंज, गुन्टूर . . .	1. गुंटूर
	2. तेनाली
	3. बापटला
	4. नेल्लूर
	5. माइका सर्किल, नेल्लूर (पुराना)
	6. तिरुपति

यह अधिसूचना 1-7-1969 से प्रवृत्त हो जाएगी ।

स्पष्टीकरण टिप्पण

(1) क—क्षेत्र, हैदराबाद (2) ग—क्षेत्र, हैदराबाद (3) विजयवाड़ा (4) गुंटूर क्षेत्रों के अपीलीय सहायक आयुक्तों की अधिकारिता के पुनः आवंटन के परिणाम-स्वरूप संशोधन करने आवश्यक हो गये हैं ।

(उपर्युक्त टिप्पण अधिसूचना का अंक नहीं है, किन्तु केवल स्पष्टीकरण है)

[सं० 62(फा० सं 50/34/69-आयकर अधि०)]

नई दिल्ली 12 जून 1969

एस० ओ० 3602.—आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 122 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों और इस निमित्त अपने को समर्थ बनाने वाली अन्य सभी शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड अपनी अधिसूचना सं० 112 (फा० सं० 50/12/60-आ० क० न्या०) तारीख 8 नवम्बर, 1968 द्वारा संशोधित अपनी अधिसूचना संख्या 97(फा० सं० 50/12/68-आ० का० न्या०), तारीख 7 अक्तूबर, 1968 से संलग्न अनुसूची में एतद्द्वारा निम्नलिखित संशोधन करता है, अर्थात् :

उक्त अनुसूची में रेंज-क, रेंज-, रेंज-झ, रेंज-ड और रेंज-ढ़/ नई दिल्ली के सामने स्तम्भ 2 के नीचे निम्नलिखित प्रतिस्थापित किया जाएगा ।

रेंज	आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड और जिले
क-रेंज, नई दिल्ली ।	कम्पनी सर्किल, IV, VII, VIII, X, XII, XIV, XV, XVI, XVII एवं XVIII नई दिल्ली ।
क-रेंज, नई दिल्ली ।	1. जिला III, ख, ग, घ, ङ, च, च, (अतिरिक्त) छ, ङ और ढ़ वार्ड, नई दिल्ली । 2. ख-II, ख-II (1), ख-III(1), ख-IV, ख, IV(1), ख-V, ख-V (1) ख-X, ख-XI और ख-XI (1) जिले, नई दिल्ली । 3. आयकरएवं संपत्ति कर सर्किल I और IV, नई दिल्ली ।
ज-रेंज, नई दिल्ली ।	1. ख-XVII, ख-XVII (1), ख-XVII, (2), ख-XVII(3) और ख-XVII(4) । . 2. जिला VI, वार्ड, क, ख, ग, क (अतिरिक्त)ख (अतिरिक्त) ग(अतिरिक्त), घ और ङ, नई दिल्ली । 3. जिला XV, वार्ड ग और घ ।
झ-रेंज, नई दिल्ली ।	1. जिला- III, वार्ड, ज, झ, भ, ट, ठ, ठ (अतिरिक्त) और त, नई दिल्ली । 2. निष्क्रान्त सर्किल, नई दिल्ली । 3. ख-VI, ख VI (1), ख-VII (1), (अतिरिक्त) ख-VII, ख-VIII, ख-VIII (1), ख-IX अतिरिक्त, ख-IX जिले, नई दिल्ली । 4. आयकर एवं सम्पत्ति कर सर्किल-II और VI नई दिल्ली ।
ड-रेंज नई दिल्ली ।	कम्पनी सर्किल, II, V VI, XI, और XII नई दिल्ली ।
ढ-रेंज, नई दिल्ली .	1. जिला IV, वार्ड क और ख नई दिल्ली । 2. जिला V, वार्ड, क(1), ख (1)· ग(1), च(1), च (1) अतिरिक्त च(II) और च(III), नई दिल्ली । 3. ख-XII, ख-XII(1),ख-XIII, और ख-XIII और ख-XIII(1), जिले नई दिल्ली । 4. आयकर एवं सम्पत्ति कर, सर्किल IX और X, नई दिल्ली ।

यह अधिसूचना 1 जुलाई, 1969 को प्रवृत्त हो जाएगी ।

[संख्या 73 (फा० सं० 50/43/69-आ० क० न्या०)]

नई दिल्ली, 13 जून, 1969

एस० ओ० 3603.—आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 122 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों और इस निमित्त अपने को समर्थ बनाने वाली अन्य सभी शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड, अपनी अधिसूचना संख्या 72 (फा० सं० 50/6/68 आ० क० न्या०) तारीख 20 अगस्त, 1968 में एतद्द्वारा निम्नलिखित अतिरिक्त संशोधन करता है, अर्थात् :—

"सहायक अपील आयुक्त 'क' रेंज, नागपुर के सामने, स्तम्भ 2 के नीचे निम्नलिखित जोड़ दिया जाएगा :—

क० सं० (19) आयकर अधिकारी सहायक 1, गोन्दिया
क० सं० (20) आयकर अधिकारी सहायक, 11, गोन्दिया
क० सं० (21) आयकर अधिकारी, बेतुल

यह अधिसूचना 16 जून, 1969 को प्रवृत्त हो जाएगी।

[सं० 74 (फा० सं० 50/35/69-आ० क० न्या०)]

एस० ओ० 3604.—आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 122 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों और इस निमित्त अपने को समर्थ बनाने वाली अन्य सभी शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड, अपनी अधिसूचना संख्या 72 (फा० संख्या 50/6/68 आ० क० न्या०) तारीख 20 अगस्त, 1968 से संलग्न अनुसूची में एतद्द्वारा निम्नलिखित अतिरिक्त संशोधन करता है, अर्थात् :—

(1) सहायक अपील आयुक्त 'क' रेंज इन्दौर के सामने स्तम्भ 2 के नीचे निम्नलिखित जोड़ दिया जाएगा :—

क० सं० (9) आयकर अधिकारी, महू

(2) सहायक अपील आयुक्त, रतलाम के सामने स्तम्भ 2 के नीचे निम्नलिखित जोड़ दिया जाएगा :—

क० सं० (7) आयकर अधिकारी, धार

यह अधिसूचना 1 जुलाई, 1969 को प्रवृत्त हो जाएगी।

[सं० 75 (फा० सं० 50/35/69 आ० क० न्या०)]

नई दिल्ली, 20 जून, 1969

एस० ओ० 3605.—आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 122 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों और इस निमित्त अपने को समर्थ बनाने वाली अन्य सभी शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड एतद्द्वारा निदेश देता है कि नीचे की अनुसूची के स्तम्भ 2 में विनिर्दिष्ट रेंजों के सहायक आयकर आयुक्त (अपील) उन सभी व्यक्तियों की और उस आय की बाबत अपने कृत्यों का पालन करेंगे जो उस अनुसूची के स्तम्भ 3 की तत्स्थानी प्रविष्टि में विनिर्दिष्ट आयकर सर्किलों, वार्डों और जिलों में आयकर या अधिकर के लिए निर्धारित हुए हैं।

अनुसूची

क्र० सं०	रेंज	आयकर सर्किल वार्ड या जिला
1	2	3
1.	रेंज I, इलाहाबाद	1. क, ख और ग वार्ड, इलाहाबाद 2. वेतन सर्किल, इलाहाबाद 3. संपदा शुल्क-एवं आयकर सर्किल, इलाहाबाद 4. गोंडा 5. फैजाबाद
2.	रेंज II, इलाहाबाद	1. घ, ङ और च वार्ड, इलाहाबाद 2. मिर्जापुर
3.	बरेली	1. बरेली 2. नैनीताल 3. शाहजहांपुर 4. हलद्वानी 5. पीलीभीत 6. बदायूं 7. अल्मोड़ा 8. काशीपुर
4.	रेंज I, लखनऊ	1. सर्किल I, लखनऊ 2. सर्किल II, लखनऊ जो 31-5-1968 तक विद्यमान था । 3. लखीमपुर 4. लखनऊ
5.	रेंज II, लखनऊ	1. सर्किल II, (लखनऊ जो 1-8-68 की ओर से बनाया गया) 2. कम्पनी सर्किल, लखनऊ 3. वेतन सर्किल, लखनऊ 4. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सर्किल, लखनऊ 5. संपदा शुल्क-एवं-आयकर सर्किल, लखनऊ 6. हरदोई 7. परियोजना सर्किल, लखनऊ 8. सीतापुर
6.	मुरादाबाद	1. मुरादाबाद 2. रामपुर 3. बुलन्दशहर 4. नजीबाबाद

(1)	(2)
7. रेंज I, वाराणसी . .	1. क से च तक के वार्ड, वाराणसी 2. विशेष सर्किल, वाराणसी 3. आयकर अधिकारी संग्रहण, वाराणसी 4. गोरखपुर 5. जोनपुर 6. बस्ती
8. रेंज II, वाराणसी . .	1. छ, ज और झ वार्ड, वाराणसी 2. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सर्किल, वाराणसी 3. परियोजना सर्किल, वाराणसी 4. बलिया 5. आजमगढ़ 6. आयकर अधिकारी प्रशासन, वाराणसी ।

जहां इस अधिसूचना द्वारा कोई आयकर सर्किल वार्ड या जिला या उसका कोई भाग एक रेंज से दूसरे रेंज को अन्तरित हो गया है वहां उस आयकर सर्किल वार्ड जिले या उसके किसी भाग में निर्धारणों से होने वाली अपीलें जो इस अधिसूचना की तारीख से ठीक पहले उस क्षेत्र के उस सहायक आयकर आयुक्त (अपील) के समक्ष लम्बित थीं जिससे वह आयकर सर्किल वार्ड या जिला या उसका कोई भाग अन्तरित कर दिया गया है इस अधिसूचना के प्रभावी होने की तारीख से उस रेंज के जिसे उक्त सर्किल, वार्ड या जिला या उसका कोई भाग अन्तरित कर दिया गया है सहायक आयकर आयुक्त (अपील) को अन्तरित कर दी जाएंगी और उनके सम्बन्ध में कार्यवाही उक्त सहायक आयकर आयुक्त (अपील) द्वारा की जाएगी ।

यह अधिसूचना 23 जून, 1969 से प्रभावी होगी ।

[सं० 83 फा० सं० 50/44/69-आई० टी० जे)]

नई दिल्ली, 30 जून, 1969

एस० ओ० 3606.—आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 122 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का और इस निमित्त अपने को समर्थ बनाने वाली अन्य सभी शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए तथा इस संबंध की सभी पूर्व अधिसूचनाओं को अधिक्रान्त करते हुए, केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड एतद्द्वारा निदेश देता है कि नीचे की अनुसूची के स्तम्भ (1) में विनिर्दिष्ट रेंजों के सहायक आयकर आयुक्त (अपील) उन सभी व्यक्तियों के और उस आय की बाबत अपने कृत्यों का पालन करेंगे जो उस अनुसूची के स्तम्भ (2) की तत्स्थानी प्रविष्टि में विनिर्दिष्ट आयकर अधिकारियों द्वारा सर्किलों में आयकर या अधिकर के लिए निर्धारित हुए हैं ।

अनुसूची

रेंज	आयकर सर्किल एवं आयकर आफिसर
1	2
सहायक आयकर आयुक्त (अपील) क-रेंज, बंगलौर	1. बंगलौर नगर सर्किल—I 2. बंगलौर नगर सर्किल—III 3. कोलार सर्किल 4. कम्पनी सर्किल, बंगलौर 5. चित्रदुर्गा सर्किल 6. तुलकर सर्किल 7. संपदा शुल्क एवं आयकर सर्किल, मंगलौर 8. मंगलौर सर्किल 9. उदीपी सर्किल 10. बंगलौर सर्किल, बंगलौर के निम्नलिखित आयकर अधिकारियों द्वारा आयकर अधिनियम के अधीन पारित आदेशों की बाबत आयकर अधिकारी, प्रशासन आयकर अधिकारी, सहायक (1) आयकर अधिकारी, सहायक (2) आयकर अधिकारी, सहायक (3) आयकर अधिकारी, सहायक (8) आयकर अधिकारी, सहायक (9) 11. सर्किल–I, बंगलौर
II. सहायक आयकर आयुक्त (अपील) "ख" रेंज, बंगलौर	1. बंगलौर नगर सर्किल. II 2. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सर्किल, बंगलौर 3. वेतन सर्किल, बंगलौर 4. केन्द्रीय सर्किल–I और II, बंगलौर 5. मैसूर सर्किल 6. कुर्ग सर्किल, भरकारा 7. संपदा शुल्क एवं आयकर सर्किल, बंगलौर 8. बंगलौर सर्किल, बंगलौर के निम्नलिखित आयकर आयकर अधिकारियों द्वारा आयकर अधिनियम के अधीन पारित आदेशों की बाबत आयकर अधिकारी, सहायक (4) आयकर अधिकारी, सहायक (5) आयकर अधिकारी, सहायक (6) आयकर अधिकारी, सहायक (7) आयकर अधिकारी, सहायक (10) आयकर अधिकारी, संग्रहण 9. सर्किल—II, बंगलौर

1	2
III. सहायक आयकर आयुक्त (अपील) धारवाड़ रेंज, धारवाड़ ।	1. धारवाड़ सर्किल 2. हुबली सर्किल 3. कारवाड़ सर्किल 4. बेलारी सर्किल 5. संपदा शुल्क एवं आयकर सर्किल, धारवाड़ 6. शिमोगा सर्किल 7. हसन सर्किल 8. देवनगेरे सर्किल
1. सहायक आयकर आयुक्त (अपील), बेलगाव रेंज, बेलगांव ।	1. बेलगांव सर्किल 2. बिजापुर सर्किल 3. पंजी सर्किल, गोवा 4. रायचूर सर्किल 5. गुलबर्ग सर्किल 6. मरगांव सर्किल

जहां इस अधिसूचना द्वारा कोई आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड या जिला या उसका कोई भाग एक रेंज से दूसरे रेंज को अंतरित हो गया है वहां उस आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड, जिले या उसके किसी भाग में निर्धारणों से होने वाली अपीलें, जो इस अधिसूचना की तारीख से ठीक पहले उस क्षेत्र के उस सहायक आयकर आयुक्त (अपील) के समक्ष लम्बित थीं, जिससे वह आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड या जिला या उसका कोई भाग अन्तरित कर दिया गया है, इस अधिसूचना के प्रभावी होने की तारीख से उस रेंज के जिसे उक्त सर्किल वार्ड या जिला या उसका कोई भाग अन्तरित कर दिया गया है, सहायक आयकर आयुक्त (अपील) को अन्तरित कर दी जाएंगी और उनके सम्बन्ध में कार्यवाही उक्त सहायक आयकर आयुक्त (अपील) द्वारा की जाएगी ।

यह अधिसूचना 1 जुलाई, 1969 से प्रभावी होगी ।

स्पष्टीकरण टिप्पण :—

यह संशोधन इस लिए आवश्यक हो गया है कि दो नव-निर्मित सर्किलों, अर्थात् बंगलौर सिटी सर्किल–1 और बंगलौर सिटी सर्किल–2 पर अपील अधिकारिता सौंपी जा सके और सम्पदा-शुल्क-एवं-आयकर सर्किल, बंगलौर और सम्पदा-शुल्क-एवं-आयकर सर्किल मंगलौर पर अपील अधिकारिता का पुनरीक्षण किया जा सके ।

(यह अधिसूचना का भाग नहीं है किन्तु इसका आशय केवल स्पष्टीकरण करना है ।)

[सं० 90 (फा० संख्या 50/33/69–आई० टी० जे०)]

नई दिल्ली, 5 जुलाई 1969

एस० ओ० 3607 :—आयकर अधिनियम 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 122 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का तथा इस निमित्त अपने को समर्थ बनाने वाली अन्य सभी शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड अपनी अधिसूचना संख्या 60(50/41/69आई० टी० जे०) तारीख 28 मई, 1969 में संलग्न अनुसूची में एतद्द्वारा निम्नलिखित संशोधन करता है, अर्थात्—

उक्त अनुसूची में ख–रेंज अमृतसर, क–रेंज लुधियाना और अम्बाला रेंज के सामने स्तम्भ 2 के नीचे प्रविष्टियों के लिए निम्नलिखित प्रविष्टियां प्रतिस्थापित की जाएंगी, अर्थात्—

ख–रेंज, अमृतसर	1. सभी आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड या जिले जिनका मुख्यालय बटाला में है ।
	2. आयकर अधिकारियों द्वारा 14–7–67 तक, जिसमें यह तारीख भी सम्मिलित है, पारित आदेशों की बाबत ।
	(i) जिला III (i), III (ii), III (iii), III (v) और III (vi), अमृतसर ।
	(ii) केन्द्रीय सर्किल I, II और III, अमृतसर ।
	(iii) केन्द्रीय सर्किल, I और II, लुधियाना ।
	(iv) केन्द्रीय सर्किल, अम्बाला ।
	(v) विशेष सर्वेक्षण सर्किल, अमृतसर (उन व्यक्तियों की बाबत जिनके कारबार का प्रधान स्थान जिला III(i), III(ii), III(iii), III(v) और III(vi), अमृतसर, केन्द्रीय सर्किल I, II, III, अमृतसर, केन्द्रीय सर्किल, लुधियाना और बटाला में है या जो उनकी अधिकारिता में निवास करते हैं) ।
	(vi) विशेष सर्वेक्षण सर्किल, पटियाला (उन व्यक्तियों की बाबत जिनके कारबार का प्रधान स्थान केन्द्रीय सर्किल, अम्बाला में है या जो उसकी अधिकारिता में निवास करते हैं) ।
	(vii) वेतन सर्किल, जलन्धर (उन व्यक्तियों की बाबत जो जिला III(i), III(ii), III(iii), III(v) और III (vi) अमृतसर, केन्द्रीय सर्किल I, II, III, अमृतसर और बटाला की अधिकारिता में निवास करते हैं) ।
	3. आयकर अधिकारियों द्वारा 14–7–67 के पश्चात् पारित आदेशों की बाबत
	(i) जिला II (i) से II(iii) तक, अमृतसर ।
	(ii) केन्द्रीय सर्किल अमृतसर ।
	(iii) केन्द्रीय सर्किल, लुधियाना ।
	(iv) केन्द्रीय सर्किल, अम्बाला ।
क–रेंज, लुधियाना	1. सभी आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड या जिले जिनके मुख्यालय निम्नलिखित स्थानों में हैं :—
	(i) सिरसा.
	(ii) मोगा.

(iii) संगरूर

2. अतिरिक्त-क-वार्ड, लुधियाना

3. ख-वार्ड, लुधियाना

4. अतिरिक्त ख-वार्ड, लुधियाना

5. ड०-वार्ड, लुधियाना

6. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सर्किल, अमृतसर (उन व्यक्तियों की बाबत जिनके कारबार का प्रधान स्थान क-वार्ड, लुधियाना, ख-वार्ड, लुधियाना, अतिरिक्त ख-वार्ड, लुधियाना, और ड०-वार्ड, लुधियाना और मोगा है या जो वहां के आयकर अधिकारियों की अधिकारिता में निवास करते हैं) ।

7. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सर्किल, पटियाला (उन व्यक्तियों की बाबत जिनके कारबार का प्रधान स्थान सिरसा और संगरूर है या जो वहां के आयकर अधिकारियों की अधिकारिता में निवास करते हैं) ।

8. वेतन सर्किल, जलन्धर (उन व्यक्तियों की बाबत जो अतिरिक्त क-वार्ड, लुधियाना, ख-वार्ड, लुधियाना, अतिरिक्त ख-वार्ड, लुधियाना, ड०-वार्ड लुधियाना के आयकर अधिकारियों की अधिकारिता में निवास करते हैं) ।

अम्बाला

1. सभी आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड या जिले जिनके मुख्यालय निम्नलिखित स्थानों में हैं:—

(i) अम्बाला, केन्द्रीय सर्किल, अम्बाला के सिवाय

(ii) यमुनानगर

(iii) करनाल

(iv) पानीपत

(v) शिमला

2. विशेष सर्वेक्षण सर्किल, पटियाला (उन व्यक्तियों की बाबत जिनके कारबार का प्रधान स्थान अम्बाला, करनाल और शिमला, जिले हैं या जो उनमें निवास करते हैं)।

3. वेतन सर्किल, जलन्धर (उन व्यक्तियों की बाबत जो अम्बाला और करनाल जिलों में निवास करते हैं) ।

यह अधिसूचना 8 जुलाई, 1969 से प्रभावी होगी ।

स्पष्टीकरण टिप्पण

ये संशोधन उपर्युक्त अपील रेंजों के कार्य के पुनः आवंटन के कारण आवश्यक हो गए हैं।

(उपर्युक्त टिप्पण अधिसूचना का भाग नहीं है बल्कि उसका आशय केवल स्पष्टीकरण करना है)।

[सं० 92(फा० सं० 50/41/69-आई० टी० जे)]

एस० वी० सुब्बाराव, अवर सचिव ।

INCOME-TAX

New Delhi, the 29th August 1969,

S. O. 3508.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-Section (1) of section 121 of the Income tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby makes the following amendments to the Schedule appended to its Notification No. 20 F.No 55/1/62-IT) dated the 30th April, 1963, published as S.O. 1293 on pages 1454-1457 of the Gazette of India, Part II Section 3, Sub-section (ii), dated the 11th May, 1963 as amended from time to time.

1. Existing entries under columns (1), (2) and (3) against S.No. 7 shall be substituted by the following entries:—

Income-tax Commissioner	*Headquarters*	*Jurisdiction*
(1)	(2)	(3)
7. Delhi-I	New Delhi.	1. District II 2. District III (24), (25), 26), (27), (28), (29), (30), (31), (32), & (33). 3. District IV (7), (8), (9), (10) & (11). 4. District VI (11), (12), (13). (14) & (15). 5. District VIII. 6. District X. 7. Company Circles II, III, VII, X, XII, XIII, XIV and XV. 8. Special Circles III, IV, V, IX and X. 9. Foreign Section. 10. Estate Duty-cum-Income-tax Circle. 11. Salary Circles. 12. Private Salary Circles.

This Notification shall take effect from the 1st September, 1969.

[No. 116 (F.No. 55/243/69-IT(AI)]

L. N. GUPTA, *Under Secy.*

PRINTED BY THE GENERAL MANAGER, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA PRESS, MINTO ROAD, NEW DELHI AND PUBLISHED BY THE MANAGER OF PUBLICATIONS, DELHI, 1969